APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: E	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION
--------------	------------	-------------

Α.
REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL
DETERMINATION (JD): 10/16/201

 ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 05/22/12 ☑ Field Determination. Date(s): 05/2312-05/25/12 SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] ☑ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. ☑ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: 	В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Galveston District, HCFCD B509-03-00-00-E001, SWG-2012-00613
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "mavigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable.	C.	State:Texas County/parish/borough: Harris City: Pasadena Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. ECLOSED LIST ° N, Long. ENCLOSED LIST 95.098013° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: E N Name of nearest waterbody: Spring Gully Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: None Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Armand Bayou Watershed Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable.	D.	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 05/22/12
review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required. 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable.		
There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required 1.] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable.		ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable.	В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable.	The	re Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable.		a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
		Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³		Elevation of established OHWM (if known):Not Applicable.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Seven wetlands associated with HCFCD Project ID B509-03-00-E001 (WET 5 through WET 11) were within the reviewed area. Precipitation and surface water runoff from adjacent upland and urban developed areas are the primary sources of hydrology in these depressional areas. Based on the topography and aerial imagery, surface water flows from scrub-shrub upland communities and urbanized areas that surround the wetlands towards the southeast,

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

providing the hydrology required to establish wetlands. The wetlands were identified using the 1987 Manual Regional Supplement: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region, which requires that all three wetland criteria be present under normal circumstances for areas to be determined a wetland. All seven wetlands are depressional areas that experience seasonal hydrology during and after rain events, providing the conditions necessary for wetlands to establish. The nearest Waters of the U.S. (Spring Gully) is located approximately 60 feet to the east of the eastern site boundary. These wetlands are located in relatively flat forested and scrub-shrub habitats surrounded by upland and urbanized areas that are approximately 2-3 feet higher than the concave depressional wetlands. All seven wetlands are located in the FEMA 500-year floodplain.

- WET5 through WET11 are not anticipated to share surface hydrology with Spring Gully. They are not tidal waters, or parts of a surface water tributary system to interstate water or navigable Waters of the U.S., nor are they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations) to any tributary waters. As such, all seven wetlands have been determined to be "isolated" as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)). WET 5 shows no hydrological connection to Spring Gully and is separated from Spring Gully by a large berm.
- "Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3(c) is defined: "bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other Waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are 'adjacent wetlands'." The nearest Waters of the U.S. to the wetlands is Spring Gully. WET5 through WET11 are not expected to share hydrology with Spring Gully during expected high flow (e.g., the 100-year floodplain) and although WET 5 is the closest to Spring Gully geographically, it is not expected to share hydrology with Spring Gully. In addition, there is no demonstrable species ecological interconnection requiring both the wetlands in question and the nearest Waters of the U.S. to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. WET5 through WET11 are separated from other Waters of the U.S. by infrastructure that does not allow the exchange of waters via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and these wetlands are not inseparably bound with Spring Gully.
- "Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) are: "those non-tidal Waters of the U.S. that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable Waters of the U.S.; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." All seven wetlands have been identified as wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated.
- "Waters of the U.S." are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 through 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or were used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of Waters of the U.S.; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to Waters of the U.S. identified in (a) (1) through (6) these are not Waters of the U.S.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

TNW

Identify TNW: Not Applicable.

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: square miles Drainage area: square miles Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Kelauonship with Tiv w	(a)	Relationship with	TNW
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	-----

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Not Applicable. Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b)	General Tributary C	Characteristics (check all that apply	<u>/):</u>	
		Tributary is:	☐ Natural		
		·	Artificial (man-made). Explain	n: Not Applica	ble.
			☐ Manipulated (man-altered). E	Explain: Not Ap	pplicable.
			es with respect to top of bank (esting	mate):	
		Average width			
		Average depth:			
		Average side sl	lopes: Pick List.		
		D: 47.4	2. (1.1.11.4)	. 13	
		Silts	bstrate composition (check all that Sands	t appry):	Concrete
		Cobbles	Gravel		Muck
		Bedrock	☐ Vegetation. Type/%	cover.	Witten
		Other. Expl		cover.	
		outer, Emp.	1 // 1		
		Tributary condition/	stability [e.g., highly eroding, slow	ighing banks].	Explain: .
			e/pool complexes. Explain:		•
		Tributary geometry:	Pick List		
		Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): N/A S	%	
	(c)	Flow:			
		Tributary provides f		, 511.	
			imber of flow events in review are	a/year: Pick Li	st
		Describe flow	regime: n duration and volume: N/A.		
		Other information o	ii duration and volume. N/A.		
		Surface flow is: Pic	k List. Characteristics: N/A.		
		Subsurface flow: Pi	ck List. Explain findings: N/A.		
			er) test performed: .		
		Tributary has (check			
		Bed and bar			
			heck all indicators that apply):		61'44 1.1.1.1
			atural line impressed on the bank		nce of litter and debris
		☐ change ☐ shelvin	s in the character of soil		on of terrestrial vegetation nce of wrack line
			ion matted down, bent, or absent	sediment	
			er disturbed or washed away	scour	sorting
			nt deposition	_	observed or predicted flow events
		water s			nange in plant community
		other (l		,	a go r a co
			ous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:N/A.		
					t of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
			Line indicated by:		ater Mark indicated by:
			cum line along shore objects		available datum;
			ell or debris deposits (foreshore)	physical m	lines/changes in vegetation types.
		tidal ga	al markings/characteristics	vegetation	mies/changes in vegetation types.
		other (1			
		outer (i	100).		
(iii)	Che	emical Characteristi	cs:		
				, oily film; wat	er quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
		Explain: No water a	t time of survey.		
	Ider	ntify specific pollutan	ts, if known: N/A.		

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

	(iv)		logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): N/A. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: N/A. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: N/A. Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: N/A. Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: N/A. Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: N/A.
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain:Not Applicable. Wetland quality. Explain:Not Applicable. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Not Applicable.
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: N/A.
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: N/A
			Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: N/A. Dye (or other) test performed: N/A.
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: N/A. httify specific pollutants, if known: N/A.
	(iii)	Biol	Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):N/A. Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:N/A. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings:N/A. Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:N/A. Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:N/A. Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:N/A.
3.	Cha		eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List

3.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List Approximately (N/A) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	Size (in acres)
N/A	N/A	N/A	

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALI
	THAT APPLY):

TH	AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	on-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
Pı	rovide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feetwidth (ft). Other non-wetland waters:acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4. W	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
Pı	rovide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5. W	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
Pı	rovide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6. W	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
Pı	rovide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	npoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹ s a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DEGR SUCH wh fro wh Int	ATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, ADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 ich are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. m which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. ich are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. erstate isolated waters. Explain: her factors. Explain:
Identif	y water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:Occur outside of the 100-year floodplain and do not have significant chemical, physical, or biological effect on a TNW or its tributaries. ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: 4.77 acres.
	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:7.5-minute topography quadrangle, La Porte, Texas. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Survey Harris County. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps:FEMA FIRM June 18, 2007 Panel 48201C0920L. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth, March 2011 / National Agriculture Imagery Program, 2010. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting case law. Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Seven wetlands associated with HCFCD Project ID B509-03-00-E001 (WET 5 through WET 11) were within the reviewed area. Precipitation and surface water runoff from adjacent upland and urban developed areas are the primary sources of hydrology in these depressional areas. Based on the topography and aerial imagery, surface water flows from scrub-

shrub upland communities and urbanized areas that surround the wetlands towards the southeast, providing the hydrology required to establish wetlands. The wetlands were identified using the 1987 Manual Regional Supplement: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region, which requires that all three wetland criteria be present under normal circumstances for areas to be determined a wetland. All seven wetlands are depressional areas that experience seasonal hydrology during and after rain events, providing the conditions necessary for wetlands to establish. The nearest Waters of the U.S. (Spring Gully) is located approximately 60 feet to the east of the eastern site boundary. These wetlands are located in relatively flat forested and scrub-shrub habitats surrounded by upland and urbanized areas that are approximately 2-3 feet higher than the concave depressional wetlands. All seven wetlands are located in the FEMA 500-year floodplain.

WET5 through WET11 are not anticipated to share surface hydrology with Spring Gully. They are not tidal waters, or parts of a surface water tributary system to interstate water or navigable Waters of the U.S., nor are they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations) to any tributary waters. As such, all seven wetlands have been determined to be "isolated" as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)). WET 5 shows no hydrological connection to Spring Gully and is separated from Spring Gully by a large berm.

"Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3(c) is defined: "bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other Waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are 'adjacent wetlands'." The nearest Waters of the U.S. to the wetlands is Spring Gully. WET5 through WET11 are not expected to share hydrology with Spring Gully during expected high flow (e.g., the 100-year floodplain) and although WET 5 is the closest to Spring Gully geographically, it is not expected to share hydrology with Spring Gully. In addition, there is no demonstrable species ecological interconnection requiring both the wetlands in question and the nearest Waters of the U.S. to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. WET5 through WET11 are separated from other Waters of the U.S. by infrastructure that does not allow the exchange of waters via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and these wetlands are not inseparably bound with Spring Gully.

"Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) are: "those non-tidal Waters of the U.S. that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable Waters of the U.S.; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." All seven wetlands have been identified as wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated.

"Waters of the U.S." are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 through 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or were used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of Waters of the U.S.; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to Waters of the U.S. identified in (a) (1) through (6) these are not Waters of the U.S.

Table 1: Isolated N	Non-Jurisdictional Wo	etlands
---------------------	-----------------------	---------

Wetland ID	Type	Longitude	Latitude	Northing	Easting	Acres	Distance to Waters of the US (RPW)
WET5	PFO	-95.095299	29.642300	3280985.24	297169.20	0.16	117 feet
WET6	PFO	-95.096497	29.640900	3280832.17	297050.39	0.27	530 feet
WET7	PFO	-95.096100	29.640900	3280831.47	297088.83	0.03	405 feet
WET8	PFO	-95.096298	29.646500	3281452.52	297080.90	0.30	410 feet
WET9	PFO	-95.097000	29.646601	3281464.95	297013.13	1.96	600 feet
WET10	PFO	-95.097298	29.645300	3281321.27	296981.67	0.32	700 feet
WET11	PSS	-95.099602	29.646200	3281425.07	296760.40	1.73	1,330 feet

Total Acres 4.77