

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 24 July 2017

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Galveston District, SWG-2014-00478, Simonton Community Church, Wet 1

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Texas County/Parish: Fort Bend City: Simonton

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format, NAD-83): Lat. See Table° N, Long. ° W;

Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM: 15, N., E.,NAD: 83

Name of nearest water body: Unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Brazos River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lower Brazos - 12070104

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 2 May 2017

Field Determination. Date(s): 10 May 2017

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. **[Required]**

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. **[Required]**

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):¹

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres

Wetlands: appx. 0.63 acres

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”:

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, fill out Section III.D.2 and Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the water body⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the water body has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 150.07 square miles

Drainage area: 3.64 square miles

Average annual rainfall: 46.24 inches

Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek - Bessies Creek - Brazos River
Tributary stream order, if known: **First Order**

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is: Natural
 Artificial (man-made). Explain:
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: **Some areas appear to have been more deeply excavated.**

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width: **40** feet
Average depth: **8** feet
Average side slopes: **Pick List**

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

Silts Sands Concrete
 Cobbles Gravel Muck
 Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover:
 Other. Explain:

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: **The unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek is sinuous.**

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:

Tributary geometry: **Meandering**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Intermittent but not seasonal flow**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**

Describe flow regime: **The unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek is an intermittent RPW.**

Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: **Pick List**. Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):

Bed and banks
 OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply):
 clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris
 changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 shelving the presence of wrack line
 vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting
 leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour
 sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events
 water staining abrupt change in plant community
 other (list):
 Discontinuous OHWM.⁷ Explain:

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum;
 fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;
 physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
 tidal gauges
 other (list):

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶ A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the water body's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: **Water color is discolored.**

Identify specific pollutants, if known: **The unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek is not on 303 (d) list. The downstream TNW, the Brazos River, is not on the 303 (d) list either.**

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: **approximately 0.63** acres

Wetland type. Explain: **Herbaceous**

Wetland quality. Explain: **Wet 1 is located in a depression, and surface water was present in this wetland.**

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain: **No channelized entrance or egress was observed flowing between Wet 1 and the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek. Wet 1 does not abut the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek. However, Wet 1 is within the 100-year floodplain of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek, and as such, is neighboring and demonstrates a known hydrological connection to the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek.**

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics: **The wetland is located within the most recent mapped 100-year flood plain of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek.**

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings:

- Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: **The wetland is located within the anticipated high flow of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek (i.e. the 100-year floodplain of Bessies Creek).**

Ecological connection. Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **15-20** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **2-5** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters.**

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **50 - 100-year** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .

Identify specific pollutants, if known: **Unknown**

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: **Herbaceous**

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **30 (or more)**

Approximately (103) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>
See table			

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Based on our analysis, we determined there are approximately a total of 56 adjacent wetlands (appx. 103 acres) located within the 11-mile reach of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek. Of the 103 acres of wetlands being evaluated along this relevant reach, approximately 73 acres are abutting the relevant reach of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek, and approximately 30 acres are neighboring the relevant reach.

The wetlands provide for the removal of pollutants (phytosequestration), floodplain storage, and biotic diversity.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek within this reach is a non-RPW. This entire reach is approximately 11 miles long. This reach concludes approximately 7.3 river miles upstream of the nearest TNW. There is one wetland (Wet 1) adjacent to the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek within the project boundary. Wet 1 is located approximately 4.5 aerial miles from the downstream TNW, the Brazos River. Wet 1 is located within the 100-year floodplain of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek, and therefore, neighbors the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek. Wet 1, in combination with 55 wetlands located within the 100-year floodplain of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek within the approximate 11 mile relevant reach, total approximately 103 acres. Of the 103 acres of wetlands being evaluated along this relevant reach, approximately 73 acres are abutting the relevant reach of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek, and approximately 30 acres are neighboring the relevant reach. Additionally, there are approximately 53 acres of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek within this reach.
 - Neither the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek nor the Brazos River are identified in the TCEQ 303(d) list of impaired waters. The approximate 103 acres of adjacent wetlands and 53 acres of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek provide important filtration and support to aid in the elimination and treatment of bacteria, thermal, and chemical pollutants in the Brazos River (TNW) located appx 7.3 miles downstream of the relevant reach; but that effect to the downstream TNW is speculative.
 - Within this relevant reach of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek, there are approximately 103 acres of similarly situated wetlands located within the 100-year floodplain. These adjacent wetlands provide for retention of water and retardation of overbank flooding. These adjacent wetlands and the approximate 53 acres of the tributary itself provide vital flood plain retention and storage which aid in preventing water from rushing into the downstream TNW. Increased flow will increase "out of bank" flooding and scouring, resulting in loss of property and the physical attributes of the TNW. The effects of removing these approximate 103 acres of adjacent wetlands and the 53 acres of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek would increase the velocity and flow of liquids into the Brazos River, resulting in a more than speculative or insubstantial effect upon the physical attributes for the downstream TNW.
 - There are no known species found in this review that require these aquatic resources within this relevant reach and/or review area and the waters of the TNW to fulfill their life cycle requirements. However, based on the fact that the waterway in this reach has a direct hydrologic connection with the TNW, it is highly feasible that species of fishes and/or invertebrates can utilize locations of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek for portions of their lifecycles, but there is not sufficient evidence to identify a species that requires both the aquatic resources within this reach and the waters of the TNW to full lifecycle requirements. The aquatic resources within this review area aid and support the biological integrity of the downstream TNW.
 - In conclusion, it is the Corps' opinion that there is sufficient evidence to support the statement that the aquatic resources within this approximate 11 mile relevant reach, including approximately 53 acres of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek and its 103 acres of adjacent wetlands, provide a significant nexus (more than a speculative or insubstantial) effect upon the chemical, physical, and/or biological integrity of the downstream TNW. As such, they are subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

- TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft)
 Other non-wetland waters: acres
Identify type(s) of waters:

3. **Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Water body that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
 Other non-wetland waters: acres
Identify type(s) of waters:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: **appx. 0.63** acres

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹**

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
 Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
 Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft)
- Other non-wetland waters: acres
 Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
- Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Request received 21 May 2014
 - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report
 - Data sheets prepared by the Corps: 10 May 2017
 - Corps navigable waters’ study:
 - U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: Lower Brazos - 12070104
 - USGS NHD data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps
 - Galveston District’s Approved List of Navigable Waters

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1955 USGS Richmond, Texas Quadrangle; 1971, 1980, 2010 and 2013 USGS Fulshear, Texas Quadrangles
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Survey of Fort Bend County, Texas
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Mapper
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps: National Flood Hazard Layer (FEMA) Map
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro (1994-2017) and Digital Globe (2015-2017).
or Other (Name & Date): 2009 and 2016 Color Infrared Maps
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify): 14 StratMap Fort Bend 50 cm Lidar data

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Based on our 10 May 2017 site visit and a review of on and off-site data associated with this request, we determined there is one wetland within the project boundary. The wetland (Wet 1) is adjacent to the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek. Wet 1 is located approximately 1,100 feet from the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek and approximately 4.5 aerial miles from the downstream TNW, the Brazos River. Wet 1 is located within the 100-year floodplain of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek, and therefore, neighbors the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek. Wet 1, in combination with 55 wetlands located within the 100-year floodplain of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek within the approximate 11 mile relevant reach, total approximately 103 acres. Of the 103 acres of wetlands being evaluated along this relevant reach, approximately 73 acres are abutting the relevant reach of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek, and approximately 30 acres are neighboring the relevant reach. Additionally, there are approximately 53 acres of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek within this reach.

Neither the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek nor the Brazos River are identified in the TCEQ 303(d) list of impaired waters. The approximate 103 acres of adjacent wetlands and 53 acres of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek provide important filtration and support to aid in the elimination and treatment of bacteria, thermal, and chemical pollutants in the Brazos River (TNW) located approx 7.3 miles downstream of the relevant reach; but that effect to the downstream TNW is speculative.

Within this relevant reach of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek, there are approximately 103 acres of similarly situated wetlands located within the 100-year floodplain. These adjacent wetlands provide for retention of water and retardation of overbank flooding. These adjacent wetlands and the approximate 53 acres of the tributary itself provide vital flood plain retention and storage which aid in preventing water from rushing into the downstream TNW. Increased flow will increase "out of bank" flooding and scouring, resulting in loss of property and the physical attributes of the TNW. The effects of removing these approximate 103 acres of adjacent wetlands and the 53 acres of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek would increase the velocity and flow of liquids into the Brazos River, resulting in a more than speculative or insubstantial effect upon the physical attributes for the downstream TNW.

There are no known species found in this review that require these aquatic resources within this relevant reach and/or review area and the waters of the TNW to fulfill their life cycle requirements. However, based on the fact that the waterway in this reach has a direct hydrologic connection with the TNW, it is highly feasible that species of fishes and/or invertebrates can utilize locations of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek for portions of their lifecycles, but there is not sufficient evidence to identify a species that requires both the aquatic resources within this reach and the waters of the TNW to full lifecycle requirements. The aquatic resources within this review area aid and support the biological integrity of the downstream TNW.

In conclusion, it is the Corps' opinion that there is sufficient evidence to support the statement that the aquatic resources within this approximate 11 mile relevant reach, including approximately 53 acres of the unnamed tributary to Bessies Creek and its 103 acres of adjacent wetlands, provide a significant nexus (more than a speculative or insubstantial) effect upon the chemical, physical, and/or biological integrity of the downstream TNW. As such, they are subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Wetlands Considered in the Cumulative Analysis

NWI Wetland Type	Attribute	Approximate Amount (Acres)	Directly Abuts?
<i>Inside Project Site</i>			
PEM	PEM	0.63	No
<i>Outside Project Site</i>			
PAB	PAB4F	0.58	Yes
PAB	PAB4F	8.00	Yes
PEM	PEM1A	0.63	No
PEM	PEM1C	0.10	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.10	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.11	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.19	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.21	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.23	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.59	No
PEM	PEM1C	0.74	No
PEM	PEM1C	0.79	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.79	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.82	No
PEM	PEM1C	0.84	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	0.95	Yes
PEM	PEM1C	1.29	No
PEM	PEM1C	2.22	No
PEM	PEM1C	4.46	No
PEM	PEM1F	0.33	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	5.93	No
PFO	PFO1C	4.87	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.10	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.22	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.24	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.28	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.28	No
PFO	PFO1A	0.43	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.63	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.66	No
PFO	PFO1A	0.71	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.83	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	0.93	No
PFO	PFO1A	1.25	No
PFO	PFO1A	1.51	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	1.61	Yes
PFO	PFO1A	2.72	No
PFO	PFO1A	7.10	No
PFO	PFO1C	0.16	No
PUB	PUBF	0.10	No
PUB	PUBF	0.20	Yes

Latitude Longitude
Wet 1 29.674956°N -95.972395°W

PUB	PUBF	0.79	Yes
PUB	PUBF	1.26	Yes
PUB	PUBFh	0.25	Yes
PUB	PUBFh	0.41	Yes
PUB	PUBFh	0.87	Yes
PUB	PUBFh	1.00	Yes
PUB	PUBFx	0.15	No
PUB	PUBHh	1.00	Yes
PUB	PUBHh	1.31	Yes
PUB	PUBHh	1.87	Yes
PUB	PUBHx	10.40	Yes
PUB	PUBHx	11.10	Yes
PUB	PUBHx	12.30	Yes
PUB	PUBHx	5.54	Yes
Approximate Total:		103.61	