APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORM	MATION	
------------------------------	--------	--

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 21 November 2017

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Galveston District, SWG-2017-00440, Harris County Engineering Department, 20-acre Site, Wetlands EW-1 through SS-4, West Lake Houston Parkway, Atascocita, Harris County, Texas

•	
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Texas
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 18 October 2017 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 01 August 2017
SEC A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
revi	we area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. (city. Atascocita dinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format, NAD-83): Lat. See Table 1. ° N, Long. ° W; ransverse Mercator: UTM: , N, E.,NAD: arest water body: Lake Houston arest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: None attershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): West Fork San Jacinto 12040101 if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a nt JD form. **PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): (Desk) Determination. Date: 18 October 2017 **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS** ION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. **navigable waters of the U.S.** within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the quired subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. **s are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. In: **TON 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.** **National Operations** **TON 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.** **PROVED OF THE W.S.** within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [*Required**] **TON 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.** **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs **Wetlands adjacent to trun or directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs **Wetlands adjacent to to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs **Wetlands adjacent to to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters including isolated wetlands **Autifulation of the U.S.** in the review area: **Evetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres **Lands: acres**
The	ere Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	Wetlands: acres
	c. Limits (houndaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The approximate 20-acre site contains nine (9) wetlands, comprising approximately 9.0 acres and one (1) approximately 1.0 acre open water feature (Wetland EW-1: approximately 0.1 acre, Wetland FW-1: approximately 0.26 acre, Wetland FW-2: approximately 1.7 acre, Wetland FW-3: approximately 0.19 acre, Wetland OW-1: approximately 2.1 acre (1.1 acre open water and 1.0 acre wetland fringe); Wetland SS-1: approximately 0.42 acre, Wetland SS-2: approximately 0.44 acre, Wetland SS-3: approximately 1.07 acre, and Wetland SS-4: approximately 3.09 acres). An unnamed tributary to Lake Houston, located approximately 0.6 mile southeast from the subject site, discharges to the San Jacinto River, a traditional navigable water (TNW), approximately 3.8 miles to the southeast.

Based on a review of multiple exhibits, our 01 August 2017 site visit, topographical maps, historical aerials, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory map, the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Cooperative Soil Survey (NCSS) map data, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), there appear to be no discrete surface hydrological connections between the subject wetlands and the unnamed tributary to Lake Houston or any water of the U.S. The exact boundaries (as standard with isolated wetlands) were not verified, but the feature polygons were examined via aerial photography and during the 08 September 2016 site visit to ensure that they are enclosed polygons surrounded by uplands.

The subject wetlands are located outside the 1% annual flood risk zone (100-year floodplain) of any water of the U.S.

- The subject wetlands are neither currently used, nor has been used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce
- The subject wetlands are not subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide.
- The subject wetlands do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries.
- There are no indications that these "Isolated*" wetlands would 1) affect or be used by any interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes, 2) affect or be used for fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, or 3) be involved in any direct current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- The subject wetlands are not impoundments of any water of the U.S.
- The subject wetlands are not part of a surface tributary system to any water body.
- The subject wetlands are not part of the territorial seas.
- The subject wetlands are not located "Adjacent**" to waters of the U.S. (other than waters that are themselves wetlands).
- The subject wetlands are not located reasonably close to a waters of the US as to infer they are "ecologically adjacent"; for a water/wetland to be determined to "reasonably close" it must be in a geomorphic position such that an ecologic interconnectivity is beyond speculation or insubstantial for a known biologic species that requires both, the subject waters/wetlands and the nearest known waterbody (a known water of the U.S. other than an adjacent wetland) to fullfill spawning and/or life cycle requirements. There are no known species in this geo-region that requires both these waters/wetlands under review and the nearest known waterway to fulfill their life cycle requirements, therefore these waters/wetlands are ecologically isolated.
- * 33 CFR 330.2 (e): Isolated waters means those non-tidal waters of the U.S. that are:
 - (1) Not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the US; and
 - (2) Not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies.

** 33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7) adjacent wetlands: Federal regulations, specifically 33 CFR 328.3 c) defines "ADJACENT" as: bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are "adjacent wetlands."

In summary, the subject wetlands have been identifed per the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region Supplement of the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. The subject wetlands are not inseparably bound to a water of the U.S., are not located within the 1% flood risk zone (100-year floodplain of any water of the U.S.), and do not have a discrete hydrological surface connection to any water of the U.S. Therefore, the site wetlands are "isolated" with no known nexus to interstate commerce and as such would not be subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

Wetland adjacent to TNW

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, fill out Section III.D.2 and Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the water body⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the water body has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	Wat Drai Ave	neral Area Conditions: ershed size: Pick List inage area: Pick List rage annual rainfall: inches rage annual snowfall: inches
(ii)	Phy (a)	sical Characteristics: Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects Fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Physical markings/characteristics Other (list): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; Physical markings; Vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	mical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:
Biol	ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(iii)

(iv)

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the water body's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Thid.

	(i)		vsical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteri	stics:		
			Properties:			
			Wetland size: acre Wetland type. Explain:	es		
			Wetland quality. Explain.	in:		
				rve as state boundaries. Expla	in:	
		(b)	General Flow Relationship Flow is: Pick List . Explain:			
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:			
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Dye (or other) test per			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determ Directly abutting	ination with Non-TNW:		
			☐ Not directly abutting			
			Discrete wetland hy	drologic connection. Explain	:	
			Ecological connecti			
			Separated by berm/l	barrier. Explain:		
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to			
			Project wetlands are Pick L	ist river miles from TNW. t aerial (straight) miles from T	PNW/	
			Flow is from: Pick List.	t acriai (straight) fillies from	IIIVV.	
			Estimate approximate locati	on of wetland as within the P	ick List floodplain.	
	(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:			
	()		racterize wetland system (e.g		oil film on surface; water qua	ality; general watershed
		т 1	characteristics; etc.). Expla			
		Idei	ntify specific pollutants, if kn	own:		
	(iii)	Bio	logical Characteristics. We Riparian buffer. Characteris	tland supports (check all the	at apply):	
		H	Vegetation type/percent cov			
			Habitat for:	r		
			Federally Listed species			
			Fish/spawn areas. Expla	in findings: ensitive species. Explain find	linge:	
			Aquatic/wildlife diversit		inigs.	
3.	Cha	All	wetland(s) being considered	cent to the tributary (if any) in the cumulative analysis: Pi total are being considered in t	ck List	
	For	each	wetland, specify the following	ng:		
			Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft) Other non-wetland waters: acres Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Water body that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rational indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft) Other non-wetland waters: acres Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres

E.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: ~9.0 acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland delineation submitted by the agent, Halff Associates, dated April 2017. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Data sheets collected during the 01 August 2017 site visit Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: West Fork San Jacinto 12040101 USGS NHD data USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps Galveston District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K - Harmaston, Texas. 1982 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: USDA NRCS National Cooperative Soil Survey (NCSS), accessed 31 July 2017. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Online USFWS NWI Mapper, accessed 31 July 2017.
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: Harris County, Texas and Incorporated Areas, Panel 31 July 2017 (06/18/2007) 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro Aerials (1995-2014) or Other (Name & Date): Site visit photos for 01 August 2017 Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The approximate 20-acre site contains nine (9) wetlands, comprising approximately 9.0 acres and one (1) approximately 1.0 acre open water feature (Wetland EW-1: approximately 0.1 acre, Wetland FW-1: approximately 0.26 acre, Wetland FW-2: approximately 1.7 acre, Wetland FW-3: approximately 0.19 acre, Wetland OW-1: approximately 2.1 acre (1.1 acre open water and 1.0 acre wetland fringe); Wetland SS-1: approximately 0.42 acre, Wetland SS-2: approximately 0.44 acre, Wetland SS-3: approximately 1.07 acre, and Wetland SS-4: approximately 3.09 acres). An unnamed tributary to Lake Houston, located approximately 0.6 mile southeast from the subject site, discharges to the San Jacinto River, a traditional navigable water (TNW), approximately 3.8 miles to the southeast.

Based on a review of multiple exhibits, our 01 August 2017 site visit, topographical maps, historical aerials, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory map, the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Cooperative Soil Survey (NCSS) map data, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), there appear to be no discrete surface hydrological connections between the subject wetlands and the unnamed tributary to Lake Houston or any water of the U.S. The exact boundaries (as standard with isolated wetlands) were not verified, but the feature polygons were examined via aerial photography and during the 08 September 2016 site visit to ensure that they are enclosed polygons surrounded by uplands.

The subject wetlands are located outside the 1% annual flood risk zone (100-year floodplain) of any water of the U.S.

- The subject wetlands are neither currently used, nor has been used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce
- The subject wetlands are not subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide.
- The subject wetlands do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries.
- There are no indications that these "Isolated*" wetlands would 1) affect or be used by any interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes, 2) affect or be used for fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, or 3) be involved in any direct current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- The subject wetlands are not impoundments of any water of the U.S.
- The subject wetlands are not part of a surface tributary system to any water body.
- The subject wetlands are not part of the territorial seas.
- The subject wetlands are not located "Adjacent**" to waters of the U.S. (other than waters that are themselves wetlands).
- The subject wetlands are not located reasonably close to a waters of the US as to infer they are "ecologically adjacent"; for a water/wetland to be determined to "reasonably close" it must be in a geomorphic position such that an ecologic interconnectivity is beyond speculation or insubstantial for a known biologic species that requires both, the subject waters/wetlands and the nearest known waterbody (a known water of the U.S. other than an adjacent wetland) to fullfill spawning and/or life cycle requirements. There are no known species in this geo-region that requires both these waters/wetlands under review and the nearest known waterway to fulfill their life cycle requirements, therefore these waters/wetlands are ecologically isolated.
- * 33 CFR 330.2 (e): Isolated waters means those non-tidal waters of the U.S. that are:
 - (1) Not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the US; and
 - (2) Not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies.

** 33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7) adjacent wetlands: Federal regulations, specifically 33 CFR 328.3 c) defines "ADJACENT" as: bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are "adjacent wetlands."

In summary, the subject wetlands have been identifed per the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region Supplement of the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. The subject wetlands are not inseparably bound to a water of the U.S., are not located within the 1% flood risk zone (100-year floodplain of any water of the U.S.), and do not have a discrete hydrological surface connection to any water of the U.S. Therefore, the site wetlands are "isolated" with no known nexus to interstate commerce and as such would not be subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Table 1.								
Site	Latitude	Longitude	UTM	UTM Easting	UTM Northing	Approximate	Approximate	Approximate
			Zone			Size (acres)	Distance to	Distance to
							Waterway (miles)	TNW (miles)
Wetland EW-1	29.974219	-95.170483	15N	290582.6	3317911	0.1	0.83	4.39
Wetland FW-1	29.974351	-95.170661	15N	290565.7	3317925.9	0.26	0.84	4.40
Wetland FW-2	29.97406	-95.170758	15N	290555.7	3317893.8	1.7	0.82	4.37
Wetland FW-3	29.974888	-95.167599	15N	290862.3	3317979.8	0.19	0.7	4.32
Wetland SS-1	29.975512	-95.168502	15N	290776.5	3318050.7	0.42	0.75	4.40
Wetland SS-2	29.975269	-95.168784	15N	290748.7	3318024.2	0.44	0.76	4.39
Wetland SS-3	29.974396	-95.170926	15N	290540.2	3317931.4	1.7	0.82	4.40
Wetland SS-4	29.973577	-95.168665	15N	290756.7	3317836.5	3.09	0.6	4.25
OW-1	29.974570	-95.167965	15N	290826.3	3317945.3	2.1	0.7	4.32