#### DRAFT

### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PUMP STATION

### ALLIGATOR BAYOU PUMP STATION NO. 16, PORT ARTHUR AND VICINITY, TEXAS, HURRICANE FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS

### JEFFERSON COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 7

AND

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS** 

NOVEMBER 2012

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	SECTION				PAGE
LIST OF FIGURES  iv    LIST OF APPENDICES  iv    LIST OF ACRONYMS  v    1.0  INTRODUCTION  1    1.1  PROJECT AUTHORITY  1    1.2  PROJECT LOCATION  1    1.3  PURPOSE AND NEED OF PROJECT  4    2.0  ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS  6    2.1  ALTERNATIVE I: NO ACTION  6    2.2  ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT  6    2.3  OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED  10    3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES  10    3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3  Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOCICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3  HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23	LIST OF	TAB	BLES		iv
LIST OF APPENDICES  iv    LIST OF ACRONYMS  v    1.0  INTRODUCTION  1    1.1  PROJECT AUTHORITY  1    1.2  PROJECT LOCATION  1    1.3  PURPOSE AND NEED OF PROJECT  4    2.0  ALTERNATIVE SANALYSIS  6    2.1  ALTERNATIVE 1: NO ACTION  6    2.2  ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT  6    2.3  OTHER ALTERNATIVE SCONSIDERED  10    3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES  10    3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3  Eloodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Theratened or Endangered Species  21    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environment and the Texas  16    3.3.3  Resource Conservation and Recovery Information Sy	LIST OF	FIG	URES		iv
LIST OF APPENDICES					
LIST OF ACRONYMS  v    1.0  INTRODUCTION  1    1.1  PROJECT AUTHORITY  1    1.2  PROJECT LOCATION  1    1.3  PURPOSE AND NEED OF PROJECT  4    2.0  ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS  6    2.1  ALTERNATIVE 1: NO ACTION  6    2.2  ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT  6    2.3  OTHER ALTERNATIVE SCONSIDERED  10    3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES  10    3.1  PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT  10    3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3  Eloodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment.  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database.  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental R	LIST OF	APP	ENDICE	S	iv
1.0  INTRODUCTION  1    1.1  PROJECT AUTHORITY  1    1.2  PROJECT LOCATION  1    1.3  PURPOSE AND NEED OF PROJECT  4    2.0  ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS  6    2.1  ALTERNATIVE 1: NO ACTION  6    2.2  ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT  6    2.3  OTHER ALTERNATIVE SCONSIDERED  10    3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES  10    3.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3  Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database	LIST OF	ACR	RONYMS	5	v
1.1  PROJECT AUTHORITY  1    1.2  PROJECT LOCATION  1    1.3  PURPOSE AND NEED OF PROJECT  4    2.0  ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS  6    2.1  ALTERNATIVE 1: NO ACTION  6    2.2  ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT  6    2.3  OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED  10    3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES  10    3.1  PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT  10    3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3  Eloodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment.  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database.  25    3.3.4  Emergency Res	1.0	INT	RODUC	TION	1
1.2  PROJECT LOCATION.  1    1.3  PURPOSE AND NEED OF PROJECT.  4    2.0  ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS.  6    2.1  ALTERNATIVE 1: NO ACTION.  6    2.2  ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT  6    2.3  OTHER ALTERNATIVE SCONSIDERED.  10    3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES  10    3.1  PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT.  10    3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality.  12    3.1.3  Eloodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality.  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terestrial and Aquatic Environment.  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  25    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25		1.1	PROJ	ECT AUTHORITY	1
1.3  PURPOSE AND NEED OF PROJECT  4    2.0  ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS  6    2.1  ALTERNATIVE 1: NO ACTION  6    2.2  ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT  6    2.3  OTHER ALTERNATIVE S CONSIDERED  10    3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES  10    3.1  PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT  10    3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3  Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11980)  18    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  25    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5  Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas  26		1.2	PROJ	ECT LOCATION	1
2.0  ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS		1.3	PURF	'OSE AND NEED OF PROJECT	4
2.1  ALTERNATIVE 1: NO ACTION	2.0	AL	FERNAT	IVES ANALYSIS	6
2.2  ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT		2.1	ALTER	NATIVE 1: NO ACTION	6
2.3 OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED  10    3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES  10    3.1 PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT  10    3.1.1 Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2 Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3 Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4 Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1 Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment.  17    3.2.2 Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3 Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3 HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23    3.3.1 National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  25    3.3.4 Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5 Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas  26    3.3.7 TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted  26    3.3.8 Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9 TCEQ Spills List  27    3.3.10 Brownfields  27    3.3.11 Dry Cleaners  27		2.2	ALTER	NATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT	6
3.0  AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 10    3.1  PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT		2.3	OTHER	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	10
3.1  PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT  10    3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3  Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment.  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3  HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  25    3.3.3  Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database  25    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9  TCEQ Spills List  27	3.0	AFI	FECTED	ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCE	E <b>S</b> 10
3.1.1  Geology and Soils  10    3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality  12    3.1.3  Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment.  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.3.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.4  HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  25    3.3.3  Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database  25    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5  Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.10 <t< td=""><td></td><td>3.1</td><td>PHYSIC</td><td>CAL ENVIRONMENT</td><td>10</td></t<>		3.1	PHYSIC	CAL ENVIRONMENT	10
3.1.2  Water Resources and Water Quality			3.1.1	Geology and Soils	10
3.1.3  Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)  13    3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3  HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  23    3.3.3  Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database  25    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5  Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9  TCEQ Spills List  27    3.10  Brownfields  27    3.11  Dry Cleaners  <			3.1.2	Water Resources and Water Quality	12
3.1.4  Climate and Air Quality  14    3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment.  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3  HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  23    3.3.3  Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System  25    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5  Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9  TCEQ Spills List  27    3.3.10  Brownfields  27    3.3.11  Dry Cleaners  27			3.1.3	Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)	13
3.2  BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT  17    3.2.1  Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment  17    3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3  HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  23    3.3.3  Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System  25    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5  Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9  TCEQ Spills List  27    3.3.10  Brownfields  27    3.3.11  Dry Cleaners  27			3.1.4	Climate and Air Quality	14
3.2.1Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment.173.2.2Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)183.2.3Threatened or Endangered Species213.3HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)233.3.1National Priority List Database233.3.2Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database233.3.3Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database253.3.4Emergency Response Notification System Database253.3.5Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program253.3.6Texas State Superfund Database263.3.7TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites263.3.9TCEQ Spills List273.10Brownfields273.11Dry Cleaners27		3.2	BIOL	OGICAL ENVIRONMENT	17
3.2.2  Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)  18    3.2.3  Threatened or Endangered Species  21    3.3  HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  23    3.3.3  Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System  25    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5  Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9  TCEQ Spills List  27    3.3.10  Brownfields  27			3.2.1	Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment	17
3.2.3Threatened or Endangered Species213.3HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)233.3.1National Priority List Database233.3.2Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database233.3.3Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database233.3.4Emergency Response Notification System Database253.3.5Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program253.3.6Texas State Superfund Database263.3.7TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted 			3.2.2	Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)	18
3.3  HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)  23    3.3.1  National Priority List Database  23    3.3.2  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database  23    3.3.3  Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database  23    3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5  Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites  26    3.3.9  TCEQ Spills List  27    3.3.10  Brownfields  27    3.3.11  Dry Cleaners  27			3.2.3	Threatened or Endangered Species	21
3.3.1National Priority List Database233.3.2Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database233.3.3Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database233.3.4Emergency Response Notification System Database253.3.5Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program253.3.6Texas State Superfund Database263.3.7TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites263.3.8Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks263.3.10Brownfields273.3.11Dry Cleaners27		3.3	HAZA	ARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)	23
3.3.2Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System Database233.3.3Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database253.3.4Emergency Response Notification System Database253.3.5Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program253.3.6Texas State Superfund Database263.3.7TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites263.3.8Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks263.3.10Brownfields273.3.11Dry Cleaners27			3.3.1	National Priority List Database	23
Liability Information System Database233.3.3Resource Conservation and Recovery Information SystemDatabase253.3.4Emergency Response Notification System Database3.3.5Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the TexasInnocent Owner/Operator Program253.3.6Texas State Superfund Database3.3.7TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and UnpermittedLandfill Sites263.3.8Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks3.3.10BrownfieldsDry Cleaners273.3.11Dry Cleaners			3.3.2	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and	
3.3.3Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database253.3.4Emergency Response Notification System Database253.3.5Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program253.3.6Texas State Superfund Database263.3.7TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites263.3.8Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks263.3.10Brownfields273.3.11Dry Cleaners27				Liability Information System Database	23
Database253.3.4Emergency Response Notification System Database253.3.5Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas253.3.6Texas State Superfund Database263.3.7TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted263.3.8Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks263.3.9TCEQ Spills List273.3.10Brownfields273.3.11Dry Cleaners27			3.3.3	Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System	
3.3.4  Emergency Response Notification System Database  25    3.3.5  Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9  TCEQ Spills List  27    3.3.10  Brownfields  27    3.3.11  Dry Cleaners  27				Database	
3.3.5  Iexas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas    Innocent Owner/Operator Program  25    3.3.6  Texas State Superfund Database  26    3.3.7  TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9  TCEQ Spills List  27    3.3.10  Brownfields  27    3.3.11  Dry Cleaners  27			3.3.4	Emergency Response Notification System Database	
Innocent Owner/Operator Program253.3.6Texas State Superfund Database263.3.7TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and UnpermittedLandfill Sites263.3.8Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks263.3.9TCEQ Spills List273.3.10Brownfields273.3.11Dry Cleaners27			3.3.3	Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas	25
3.3.6 <u>Texas State Superfund Database</u>			226	Innocent Owner/Operator Program	
3.3.7  ICEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Onautionized and Onperlinited    Landfill Sites  26    3.3.8  Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks  26    3.3.9  ICEQ Spills List  27    3.3.10  Brownfields  27    3.3.11  Dry Cleaners  27			5.5.0 2 2 7	TCEO Solid Weste Excilition and Unputhorized and Uppermitted	
3.3.8Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks203.3.9TCEQ Spills List263.3.10Brownfields273.3.11Dry Cleaners27			5.5.1	I andfill Sites	76
3.3.9TCEQ Spills List273.3.10Brownfields273.3.11Dry Cleaners27			338	Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks	,
Brownfields    27      3.3.10    Brownfields    27      3.3.11    Dry Cleaners    27			339	TCEO Spills List	
3.3.11 Dry Cleaners			3.3.10	Brownfields	
			3.3.11	Dry Cleaners	

		3.3.12	No Action Alternative	
		3.3.13	Proposed Project Alternative	
	3.4	SOCI	OECONOMICS	
		3.4.1	Zoning and Land Use	28
		3.4.2	Visual Resources	28
		3.4.3	<u>Noise</u>	28
		3.4.4	Public Services and Utilities	28
		3.4.5	Traffic and Circulation	28
		3.4.6	Environmental Justice (Executive Order 12898)	31
		3.4.7	Safety and Security	31
		3.4.8	No Action Alternative	32
		3.4.9	Proposed Project Alternative	32
	3.5	CUL	ΓURAL RESOURCES	
		3.5.1	No Action Alternative	33
		3.5.2	Proposed Project Alternative	33
4.0	CU	MULAT	TVE IMPACTS	33
5.0	PU	BLIC PA	ARTICIPATION	34
6.0	MI	TIGATI	ON, INCREMENTAL ANALYSIS, MONITORING, AND	
	AD	APTIVE	Z MANAGEMENT PLANS	
7.0	CO	ORDIN	ATION	36
8.0	RE	FEREN	CES	37

### LIST OF TABLES

#### **TABLE** FEDERALLY LISTED T/E SPECIES OF POTENTIAL OCCURRENCE IN JEFFERSON 1 2 3

### LIST OF FIGURES

### FIG<u>URE</u>

VICINITY MAP	2
2006 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH	3
DRAINAGE AREA PROPOSED PUMP STATION	5
PLAN VIEW OF PROPOSED PUMP STATION	8
CROSS-SECTION OF PROPOSED PUMP STATION	9
SOILS MAP	. 11
FEMA FLOOD HAZARD MAP	. 15
IMPACT ANALYSIS MAP	. 20
OIL/GAS WELL AND PIPELINE LOCATIONS	. 30

### LIST OF APPENDICES

### APPENDIX

#### AGENCY COORDINATION А

- A.1 USDA NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
- A.2 US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
- A.3 TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
- **TEXAS GENERAL LAND OFFICE** A.4
- A.5 **US FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE**
- A.6 NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE
- TEXAS PARKS AND WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT A.7
- TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION A.8
- A.9 FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
- A.10 APPENDIX A AGENCY LETTER ATTACHMENTS
- В **ON-SITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- С **BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT**
- D PUBLIC COORDINATION
- Е MITIGATION, INCREMENTAL ANALYSIS, MONITORING, AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PLANS
- F RELATIVE SEA LEVEL RISE CALCULATION
- ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY G
- Η **RESPONSES TO COMMENTS**
- HABITAT EVALUATION PROCEDURE ANALYSIS I

PAGE

### LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ac - acreAPE - Area of Potential Effect ASTM - American Society for Testing and Materials BA - Biological Assessment CERCLA - Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act CERCLIS - Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System CEQ - Council on Environmental Quality CESQGs – Conditionally Exempt, Small-Quantity Generators CFR – Code of Federal Regulations CH - Critical Habitat **CORRACT** – Corrective Action CWA - Clean Water Act cy – cubic yards DD7 - Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 DM – Design Memorandum DRYC – Dry Cleaning EA – Environmental Assessment EFH – Essential Fish Habitat EPA – US Environmental Protection Agency ER – Engineering Regulation ERNS – Emergency Response Notification System ESA - Endangered Species Act FEMA – Federal Emergency Management Agency FMA – Flood Mitigation Assistance FPPA – Farmland Protection Policy Act GLO - General Land Office gpm – gallons per minute HEC-1 – Hydrologic Engineering Center – 1 Model HEP – Habitat Evaluation Procedure iHGM – Interim Tidal Fringe Hydrogeomorphic Model LFUN - TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill LOMA – Letter of Map Adjustment LOMR - Letter of Map Revision LQGs – Large-Quantity Generators MSA - Metropolitan Statistical Area MSL – Mean Sea Level NDD - Natural Diversity Database NEPA - National Environmental Policy Act NFIP – National Flood Insurance Program NFRAP - No Further Remedial Action Planned NHPA – National Historic Preservation Act NMFS - National Marine Fisheries Service NOI – Notice of Intent NPL – National Priority List NRCS - Natural Resources Conservation Service NRHP - National Register of Historic Places

NWI - National Wetland Inventory NWS - National Weather Service PRPs – Potentially Responsible Parties PS – pump station RCRA - Resource Conservation and Recovery Act RCRA-G - RCRA Generators RCRA-TSD - RCRA Treatment, Storage, or Disposal RCRIS - Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System RCT – Railroad Commission of Texas RSLR - Relative Sea Level Rise SALs - State Archeological Landmarks SARA - Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act SHPO - State Historic Preservation Officer SQGs - Small-Quantity GeneratorsSWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan TAC - Texas Administrative Code TCEQ - Texas Commission on Environmental Quality TCMP - Texas Coastal Management Program T/E – Threatened and Endangered Species THC - Texas Historical Commission TMDL - Total Maximum Daily Load TPDES - Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System TPWD - Texas Parks and Wildlife Department TSMASS - Texas State Minimum Archeological Survey Standards TXIOP - Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program TXLUSTs - Texas Leaking Underground Storage Tanks TXSPILL – Hazardous or Potentially Hazardous Substances Spills TXUSTs - Texas Underground Storage Tanks TXVCP - Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program USACE - US Army Corps of Engineers USC - United States Code USFWS - US Fish & Wildlife Service USDA - US Department of Agriculture UT-BEG – University of Texas Bureau of Economic Geology

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 PROJECT AUTHORITY

Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) (the Applicant) is a conservation and reclamation district and a political subdivision of the state of Texas established in 1946. The District covers an area of 107.42 square miles and encompasses the cities of Port Arthur, Groves, Nederland, and Port Neches, as well as unincorporated areas of Jefferson County. DD7 and the County are jointly responsible for many flood mitigation activities. Given the shared responsibility for flood mitigation, the County recognizes and supports the DD7's desire to obtain this project grant and understands that it will directly benefit both repetitive loss and insurable properties within the County.

The Proposed Project is construction of a new pump station at Alligator Bayou Pump Station No. 16 (PS 16) (the Project), sponsored by DD7, which will require issuance of Department of the Army Permit Application No. SWG-2007-00850 Amendment, and Section 408 authorization from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for modification of the Federal Port Arthur and Vicinity, Texas, Hurricane Flood Protection Project (Hurricane Flood Protection Project). The purpose of this Environmental Assessment (EA) is to comply with the USACE's responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). This EA is required for purposes of evaluating an application submitted to the USACE under 33 USC Section 408 (Section 408) by DD7, and for a Department of Army permit. NEPA compliance is required under 33 CFR Section 230, ER 200-2-2), ER 1105-2-11, and the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines, 40 CFR Parts 1500 to 1508. As part of the environmental review process, consultation letters have been sent to eight state and Federal resource agencies. This correspondence and responses from the various agencies are found in Appendix A (A.1-A.8).

### 1.2 PROJECT LOCATION

The area covered by DD7 is located in southern Jefferson County in southeast Texas. The DD7 district is bounded on the north by the John's Gully watershed; on the east by the Neches River; on the south by the Sabine-Neches Waterway and Sabine Lake; and on the west by Hillebrandt and Taylors Bayous.

The Proposed Project is located approximately two miles southwest of Port Arthur at the confluence of Alligator and Taylors Bayous, and consists of an improvement to PS 16 that would be accomplished by the construction of an additional low-flow pump station at the existing PS 16 facility. As part of the extensively modified DD7 interior drainage system, Alligator Bayou flow into Taylors Bayou is entirely controlled by PS 16 through the Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur, approximately two miles south of PS 16. The Canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico, approximately 12 miles south of PS 16; although the system of canals is about 19 miles long. The locations of the existing pump station and the proposed pump station are illustrated in Figure 1. Figure 2 is a color aerial view of the project area. DD7 possesses a perpetual easement for the pump station locations.



250

Feet

<u>Horizon</u>

Environmental Services, Inc.

0

500



## **FIGURE 2**

2006 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PUMP STATION ALLIGATOR BAYOU PUMP STATION #16 CONFLUENCE OF ALLIGATOR BAYOU AND TAYLORS BAYOU PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS

### 1.3 PURPOSE AND NEED OF PROJECT

The existing PS 16 is part of the Hurricane Flood Protection Project, a system of levees, concrete and steel sheet pile floodwalls, and 12 pump stations constructed in the early 1980s to protect urban and industrial development at Port Arthur and surrounding communities from a 100-year event hurricane storm surge. The levee and floodwall system extends approximately 30 miles from Groves to the east, paralleling the Sabine Neches Canal to the south through the city of Port Arthur, and north, paralleling Taylor's Bayou, to the vicinity of the Jefferson County Airport. The levees range from 14 to 19 feet high. The system includes a series of 12 pump stations to drain the area behind the levees during high rainfall and flood events, and was authorized to provide protection within the levee system for a 50-year rainfall or flood event.

Within the Hurricane Flood Protection Project system, the main outfall channel system is controlled by the pump stations which convey storm water flow from within the levees to Taylors Bayou and the Port Arthur Canal. The normal water surface elevations within the levee system are held at +2.0 to +3.0 feet MSL in order to maintain continuous low flow in Alligator Bayou and other interior drainages in the system. Prior to a forecast runoff event, the storage system is pumped down in order to provide additional storage capacity. Pumps are operated during and after the runoff event until levels again reach normal pre-storm water surface elevations. Natural drainages within the system have been channelized to improve conveyance to the pump stations, and to increase in-line storage during high-flow runoff events.

As originally constructed, PS 16 consists of a pump station with four pumps on the north bank of Alligator Bayou and a gravity drain structure with six gates, approximately 150 feet long, across the channel of Alligator Bayou, which provide total control over the flow of the bayou. Although authorized for a 50-year storm event, the original pump station and gravity drain structure at PS 16 provided capacity for only a 25-year storm event. Subsequent subsidence has rendered the gravity drain structure non-functional, resulting in only an 11.5-year storm event capacity for the remaining old pump station. As a result of the 2002 Jefferson County Master Drainage Study, DD7 identified PS 16 as needing restoration to its originally constructed 25-year storm event capacity.

The drainage basin controlled by PS 16 is large, at approximately 28,643 acres, protecting a population of about 100,000 people and significant industrial infrastructure. This drainage basin has been substantially altered through the years, with many of the secondary drainages channelized. Portions of the channelized drainage system that flow into PS 16 include Main A, Main B, Main C, and West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas (Figure 3). In addition to these conveyances, the system also includes 10 large detention basins with 8 large forebay detention areas for the pump stations, and 2 large regional detention ponds (4,000 acre-feet and 1,100 acre-feet, respectively), plus numerous small detention areas for commercial and residential developments. As a result of these modifications to the internal drainage system and on-going urban and industrial development, the remaining natural wetlands in the area behind the Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee system consist of depressional areas that no longer have surface water connectivity, and survive by rainfall events and groundwater sources.





On-going urban and industrial development and increased run-off coupled with decreased pumping efficiency has resulted in increased flooding in Jefferson County that averages once every 3 years, with \$306,545 annual property damage. Based on the Jefferson County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2005; updated 2010), there are 530 FEMA Repetitive Loss properties in the county, 153 of which are located within DD7, with 12 of these properties on FEMA's "Top 10,000" repetitive loss list. As a result, DD7 has received a FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program grant, DR 1791-014, for \$24.4 million dollars for this project.

Major rainfall events now overwhelm the capacity of PS 16. DD7 is proposing improvements to PS 16 in order to restore its original 25-year storm event pumping capacity. As described below, the improvements would include retaining the original pump station and gravity drain structure and building a new pump station on the east bank of Alligator Bayou.

### 2.0 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

### 2.1 ALTERNATIVE 1: NO ACTION

The No Action Alternative would result in increased flooding potential for homes, commercial structures, industry, and roads in the project area. This alternative does not achieve the stated purpose of providing the originally constructed 25-year storm event capacity for flood relief at PS 16.

### 2.2 ALTERNATIVE 2: PROPOSED PROJECT

The Proposed Project would achieve 25-year storm pumping capacity at PS 16. With the loss of function of the gravity drain structure, PS 16 is only capable of handling an 11.5-year event, yet based on the hydrological models developed for the 2002 COMPREHENSIVE STUDY AND DRAINAGE PLAN OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 7 SYSTEM AND SERVICE AREA, more flow is now generated within the main outfall system during a 25-year storm event than the system was originally designed to accommodate, making restoration of capacity at PS 16 critical. The Proposed Project would include retaining the existing pump station on the west bank of Alligator Bayou and the gravity drain structure across Alligator Bayou, with construction of a second pump station on the east bank of Alligator Bayou. The new pump station would take over the continuous low-flow pumping, and, in concert with the existing pump station, would provide overall pumping capacity to handle a 25-year storm event at PS 16. The addition of more efficient pumps at the new pump station would replace the capacity provided by the now non-functional gravity drain structure. Maintaining two pumping stations at this location also provides redundancy in the event of a pump failure. The new pump station would add 1.5 million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.25 million gpm capacity at PS 16, for a total 3.75 million gpm capacity for PS 16. As modeled, this increased capacity would mean that flood waters from a 25-year storm event would be removed from the system about 18 hours faster than is currently possible with the existing pumps.

The new pump station on the east bank of Alligator Bayou would consist of a 4-level concrete structure designed to withstand 200 mph winds (a Category 5 hurricane) housing six 250,000-gallon diesel

pumps, with office space, a bunk room, showers, potable water, generators, and fuel storage. Construction access would be from the immediately adjacent 57<sup>th</sup> Street, a non-public road, which is constructed on top of the Hurricane Flood Protection Levee in the project area. The construction site on the east bank of Alligator Bayou is currently mowed and maintained. The footprint of the new pump station and ancillary parking would cover 2.9 acres. Construction would require two temporary coffer dams (one on Taylors Bayou and one on Alligator Bayou), to allow construction in the dry; temporary staging areas; a temporary construction access road originating at Highway 82 with a temporary floating bridge across Alligator Bayou (see Figure 1); permanent excavated material placement areas with a capacity of 124,000 cu yds with concrete retainers and silt fencing to prevent sloughing or erosion of material into adjacent wetlands or waters of the US; and excavation (in the dry) on both the Alligator Bayou side and Taylors Bayou side to allow proper depth for pump operation. The excavated material would be stored for an indeterminate time for possible future use in levee repairs or improvements. A plan view of the proposed pump station is provided in Figure 4. A cross-section of the proposed pump station is provided in Figure 5. The coffer dams would be constructed with two sheet pile walls 30 feet apart and filled with clean soil. Material for the coffer dams would be obtained from a commercial dirt source, possibly Halbouty Detention Pond owned by DD7, a sand and clay pit that has been in operation for 40 years and which is also used for floodwater detention. Construction is anticipated to take 24 to 30 months to complete, with project completion anticipated in late 2014.

Direct construction impacts of the Proposed Plan are summarized as follows:

Wetlands permanently filled	0.10 ac
Wetlands permanently excavated	0.67 ac
Wetlands temporarily disturbed and restored	0.21 ac
Open water (Taylors Bayou) Excavated	1.07 ac
Open water (Taylors Bayou) temporarily disturbed and restored	0.11 ac
Open water (Alligator Bayou) temporarily filled (coffer dam)	0.37 ac
Existing upland used for excavated material placement	7.79 ac
Existing upland (levee) excavated to open water	2.32 ac
Existing upland (levee) converted to pump building and parking	2.90 ac
Existing upland (levee) used for temporary construction staging	<u>1.51 ac</u>
Total Project Footprint Impact	17.05 ac

The temporary construction access road would follow existing roads that require no modification and is not expected to have any material impact. The temporary floating bridge for construction access to the east side of Alligator Bayou would be located adjacent to the existing railroad bridge crossing of the bayou in an area with existing fill and graded banks on both sides of Alligator Bayou. No material impacts from the floating bridge are anticipated. A more detailed discussion of impacts is provided in Section 3.0.

While existing open water to be excavated totals 1.07 acre, only a zone of shallow (< 3 ft) open water adjacent to the wetland fringes along the shoreline is deemed to be impacted by conversion to deeper water. This zone is variable in width, but generally represents 20 to 30 feet from the shoreline. The acreage

"Do Not Scale This Drawing"

060108A21PA\_Plan.dwg | JEA | 12/5/2011 || Revised: JEA | 10/31/2012



2. 2006 AERIAL: JEFFERSON COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT (2008)



PLAN VIEW OF PROPOSED PUMP STATION CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PUMP STATION ALLIGATOR BAYOU PUMP STATION #16 CONFLUENCE OF ALLIGATOR BAYOU AND TAYLORS BAYOU PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS "Do Not Scale This Drawing"

060108A09PA\_XSec.dwg | JEA | 4/15/08 || Revised: JEA | 10/30/2012



of this zone is 0.53 ac and is combined with the fringe marsh (0.77 ac) to represent 1.3 acres of estuarine emergent habitat in the HEP analysis. The balance of open water exceeding 3 ft in depth is not deemed to be materially impacted by additional deepening.

# 2.3 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED, BUT ELIMINATED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION

2.3.1 Additional Storage. The possibility of providing additional storage by construction of new detention basins was evaluated. However, this alternative was limited by lack of available land and was not deemed feasible.

2.3.2 Additional Pumping Capacity at the Existing Alligator Bayou Pump Station. A modification of the existing PS 16 was evaluated. The option of adding driven pumps at the existing station was considered, but insufficient room exists to add additional pumps at that facility. The only feasible change in the existing pump station would have been modification of the existing gravity drain structure. It was determined after Hurricane Rita that the gravity drain structure needed to remain in operation in order to provide emergency overflow capabilities in the event that the levee system was overtopped.

### 3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

### 3.1 PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

### 3.1.1 <u>Geology and Soils</u>

Jefferson County is located on the coastal plain of the upper Texas coast, an area of little topographic relief. Characterized as a strandplain-chenier system, the general project area consists of extensive fresh to saltwater marshes, with coastal prairies and urban and industrial development on the higher chenier strandplains. The Hurricane Flood Protection Project system of which Alligator Bayou PS 16 is a part, extends from high ground near Groves on the Neches River to the east, along the Sabine Neches Canal to the south, and extending northward paralleling Taylors Bayou PS 16 is located roughly in the southwest corner of the city of Port Arthur. Alligator Bayou PS 16 is located roughly in the southwest corner of this larger flood protection system, which encompasses most of the naturally occurring high ground in this area and protects the city and industry of Port Arthur. A review of existing literature indicates that the Proposed Project is located in an area of outcropping sediments from Quaternary Alluvium of unconsolidated clay, silt, and sand originating from primarily stream channel, point-bar, natural levee, backswamp, and, to a lesser extent, coastal marsh and mud-flat depositional systems. Specifically, the project site is located on Neel-Urban land complex soils (NuC) (Figure 6) (NRCS, 2006a). The NuC soils consist of deep and very deep, somewhat poorly drained to well drained, very slowly permeable soils that have 2% to 5% slopes (NRCS, 2006b).



250 500 Feet <u>Horizon</u> Environmental Services, Inc.

SOILS MAP CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PUMP STATION ALLIGATOR BAYOU PUMP STATION #16 CONFLUENCE OF ALLIGATOR BAYOU AND TAYLORS BAYOU PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS 3.1.1.1 No Action Alternative. The No Action Alternative would have no effect on geology or soils.

3.1.1.2 Proposed Project Alternative. The Proposed Project would have no effect on geology or soils. The Proposed Project site does not contain soils classified as Important Farmland and would therefore not be subject to regulation by the NRCS under the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The NRCS concurred that the project site is exempt from the FPPA on 4 May 2007 (Appendix A.1). The hydrologic effects of the project will not result in landform changes or alteration of soils within the benefit area for any of the alternatives considered.

### 3.1.2 Water Resources and Water Quality

On-site topography is generally flat and approximately 0 to 5 feet above MSL (USGS, 1974). The water surface in Taylors Bayou downstream of the project area is at or near sea level and is subject to tidal influence.

The Chicot Aquifer (in Holocene- and Pleistocene-age sediments) is the primary source of fresh groundwater in the Port Arthur area and is part of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System. The hydrogeologic units are laterally discontinuous fluvial-deltaic deposits of gravel, sand, silt, and clay that dip and thicken from northwest to southeast. Recharge to the Gulf Coast Aquifer System generally occurs through the percolation of fresh water (precipitation, stream flow, lakes, etc.) along the aquifers' areas of outcrop at the surface. The aquifers crop out in bands inland from and approximately parallel to the coast and become progressively more deeply buried and confined toward the coast. The Chicot, which comprises the youngest sediments, outcrops nearest to the coast. These outcrop areas are located a number of miles north and west of the project area. Groundwater movement is generally from the area of outcrop toward the southeast (down-dip), but may vary in the vicinity of natural discharge points (along stream banks) or artificial discharge points (groundwater wells).

A review of water well records at the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) revealed no documented water wells on or within a 0.5-mile radius from the Proposed Project area (TWDB, 2006). Based on water well drillers' records, nearby water wells draw water from the Chicot Aquifer, which yields water at depths greater than 7 feet in the vicinity of the project area (TWDB, 2006). No evidence of water wells was present on the project site during the field reconnaissance effort. The results of this survey do not preclude the existence of an abandoned well. If a water well or casing is encountered during construction, work should be halted near the feature until the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) is contacted.

Two segments of receiving streams for the Proposed Project are listed as impaired by TCEQ. Alligator Bayou (Segment 0702A) is rated Category 5c. Category 5c indicates the segment does not meet applicable water quality standards or is threatened for one or more uses by one or more pollutants. The ranking is based on toxicity in sediments for the lower reach of Alligator Bayou. The TCEQ designation also indicates that additional data and information will be collected before a TMDL is scheduled for the

stream segment. The Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, including the tidal portion of Taylors Bayou (Segment 0702) adjacent to the project, is not listed as impaired.

3.1.2.1 No Action Alternative. It is unlikely that there would be any changes to water quality under the No Action Alternative; however, flooding would increase.

3.1.2.2 Proposed Project Alternative. The Proposed Project would not affect groundwater, public water supplies, freshwater supply sources, or water conservation projects in the region, nor result in further deterioration of water quality in impaired segments. During the original 404/10 permit process, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) requested sediment testing in areas to be excavated for the project to determine if possible release of pollutants in the sediments might be a concern for disposal of excavated materials during construction. It is estimated that approximately 7,500cy of material will be excavated in Taylors and Alligator Bayous during construction. Sediment testing was conducted in accordance with TCEQ criteria in Alligator Bayou and Taylors Bayou. All samples had detectable concentrations of RCRA metals, namely arsenic, barium, chromium, lead, and mercury. The Alligator Bayou samples also had detectable concentrations of 4,4'-DDT. None of these contaminants were unexpected since the region has been in agricultural use for the past century, and these are all historically common constituents of agricultural chemicals that can be persistent in soils and sediments. All testing results for VOCs, Semi-VOCs, and all other herbicides/pesticides were below quantification limits. The sampling results were compared to the most recent Tier I Texas Risk Reduction Program (TRRP) Protective Concentration Limits (PCL) for residential soils. In all cases, the detected concentrations were well below the PCLs for every contaminant (Appendix A.3). As such, the sediment that will be excavated is not considered contaminated and no special handling is required.

This project was found compliant with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, and Sections 401 and 404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act under Department of the Army Permit No. SWG-2007-00850. Coordination of the subsequent application for amendment of this permit to address project design changes resulting from Section 408 engineering review of the project has not resulted in substantive comments or issues that will preclude completion of evaluation of the permit amendment upon approval of the Section 408 project review by USACE Headquarters.

Temporary erosion and sedimentation control measures for the Proposed Project would comply with the TCEQ Best Management Practices under the Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) program and include silt fencing along the lower edge of the construction zone, construction rock entrances to prevent tracking sediment off-site, and temporary coffer dams to be used in the aquatic areas (Alligator and Taylors Bayous) to help reduce sedimentation during construction. Permanent stabilization measures would include revegetation of the construction zone. Restoration of 25-year event functioning at PS 16 would not result in increased erosion on Taylors Bayou.

### 3.1.3 Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)

Executive Order 11988 mandates that all Federal agencies shall provide leadership and take action to reduce the risk of flood loss; to minimize the impact of floods on human safety, health, and

welfare; and to restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains in carrying out their responsibilities for (1) acquiring, managing, and disposing of federal lands and facilities; (2) providing federally undertaken, financed, or assisted construction and improvements; and (3) conducting federal activities and programs affecting land use, including, but not limited to, water and related land resources planning, regulating, and licensing activities.

There are many flood-mitigation activities within Jefferson County that are the joint responsibility of Jefferson County and DD7. Jefferson County has land use and permit authority over the land within its corporate boundaries that includes the project area. Given the shared responsibility for flood mitigation, Jefferson County recognizes and supports DD7's desire to construct this project that would help reduce the flooding of existing homes and roads in the benefit area. According to FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps, 100% of the project area is located within the 100-year and 500-year floodplains indicated as Zone A and X500 (FEMA, 1998) (Figure 7). The existing PS 16 and the Proposed Project are part of the Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee system, which forms the boundary between the 100-year and 500-year floodplains.

3.1.3.1 No Action Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative, impacts the floodplain within the Port Arthur Hurricane-Flood Protection Project levee system would continue. As development and impervious cover continue to increase within the protected area, flooding would increase.

3.1.3.2 Proposed Project Alternative. The Proposed Project would reduce 25-year event flood durations within the 100-year floodplain protected by the levee system. This project would not further modify the existing floodplain or induce increased development. The project would reduce the hazards and risks associated with floods and minimize the impacts of floods on human safety, health, and welfare. FEMA has previously determined that the project would have no significant effects on the 100- or 500- year floodplains (Appendix A.9).

### 3.1.4 <u>Climate, Air Quality, and Sea Level Rise</u>

Jefferson County is located in extreme southeastern Texas and exhibits a subtropical climate. Extremely high summer temperatures are rare due to sea breezes from the Gulf of Mexico, and winter cold temperatures are generally moderate due to the county's southern location. Average temperatures range from 53.3 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) in January to 82.9 °F in August. Relative humidity is high due to the nearby Gulf of Mexico. Yearly rainfall averages 55.21 inches and is distributed unevenly throughout the year. Heavy rains associated with tropical disturbances generally strike the area from June through August. Eighty to 100 inches of precipitation have not been uncommon in certain areas over the past several years.

The General Conformity Rule promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for air quality mandates that the Federal government not engage in, support, or provide financial assistance for licensing or permitting, or approving an activity not conforming to an approved State Implementation Plan. The General Conformity Rule is applicable to nonattainment and maintenance areas. The Beaumont-Port Arthur area is classified as a maintenance area for air conformity.





CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PUMP STATION ALLIGATOR BAYOU PUMP STATION #16 CONFLUENCE OF ALLIGATOR BAYOU AND TAYLORS BAYOU PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS

Environmental Services, Inc.

son

FEET

As a result of a global climate change and melting glaciers and polar ice caps, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has observed a general trend of rising sea levels in the Gulf of Mexico. Impacts of sea level change were considered in the design of the Alligator Bayou Pump Station in accordance with EC 1165-2-211 (Incorporating Sea-Level Change Considerations in Civil Works Programs). Historic trends in local MSL are best determined from tide gauge records. The Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services (CO-OPS), of the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), provides historic information and local MSL trends for tidal stations operated by NOAA/NOS in the US. Most US tide stations experienced a rise in local MSL during the 20th century. The highest rates of local MSL rise in the US have occurred along the Gulf Coast.

The closest gauge to Port Arthur is at Sabine Pass (8770570). At this gauge, the MSL trend is a rise of 5.66 mm/year, based on monthly MSL data from 1958 to 2006. This is equivalent to a change of 0.96 feet (low rate, historic sea level rise), 2.1 feet (intermediate rate, modified NRC curve I), or 3.64 feet (high rate, modified NRC curve III) by 2064, the expected life of the pump station (see Appendix F).

3.1.4.1 No Action Alternative. The No Action Alternative would not affect air quality.

3.1.4.2 Proposed Project Alternative. Minor and temporary diesel emissions and fugitive dust emissions from equipment during construction are possible. The new pump station would utilize 6 diesel engines and 2 backup diesel generators that are compliant with EPA Tier II criteria. The normal run times for the new diesel engines are anticipated to be about one pump running 30-60 minutes per week for "no rainfall event" flows. Run times during storm events would be highly variable depending on rainfall, but would be temporary and occasional.

TCEQ has conducted a review of the project for General Conformity impact in accordance with 40 CFR Part 93 and Title 30, Texas Administrative Code § 101.30 and has indicated that Jefferson County, in which the Proposed Project is located, is currently classified as a maintenance ozone area. Therefore, General Conformity rules apply. The two criteria pollutants of concern as precursors to ozone formation are volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx). An increase of 100 tons per year for VOCs or NOx, resulting from the Proposed Project, could trigger general conformity analysis. However, the TCEQ determined that the emissions from the Proposed Project would be expected to be well below the 100 tons per year significance level. Therefore, a General Conformity analysis would not be required (see Appendix A.3).

TCEQ has reviewed this information and has agreed with a finding of no significant impact as long as the construction and waste disposal activities are completed in accordance with applicable local, state, and federal statutes and regulations. Additionally, TCEQ indicated that any minimal dust and particulate emissions should be easily controlled by the use of standard dust mitigation techniques, and best management practices should be implemented to control storm water runoff (see Section 3.1.2).

Tidal influences and relative sea level rise (RSLR, Appendix F) were considered during the design phase of the new pump station. The projected intermediate rate of RSLR for this project area is 2.1 feet. The discharges from the proposed new pumps are located such that the flowline is at elevation +8.0

and is capable of pumping against a tide of +12.0 msl. The highest sustained high tide that could be found on record for this project area was +5.5 msl for 7 days immediately following Tropical Storm Francis. Otherwise the normal high tide is +2.0 to +3.0 msl. Additionally, the highest tide that the District has ever pumped against was associated with Hurricane Ike, an approximate elevation of +13.0 msl for approximately six hours. Therefore, the tide will not impact the proposed pump station especially in the lower part of the stage-frequency curve.

In addition, the finish floor elevation for the proposed pump station would be set at elevation +21.5 while the top of Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee ranges from +14.0 to +19.0 MSL. Based on tide elevations and the proposed finished floor elevations, project engineers have determined that any RSLR expected during the life of this project would not impact the performance of the proposed pump station.

### 3.2 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

### 3.2.1 Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment

3.2.1.1 Proposed Project Construction Site Description. The Proposed Project site would be constructed immediately adjacent to the Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee separating Alligator Bayou from Taylors Bayou. Dominant plant species on and adjacent to the levee include bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), St. Augustine grass (*Stenotaphrum secundatum*), bedstraw (*Gallium uncinulatum*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), and dewberry (*Rubus trivialis*). Scattered sugarberry (*Celtis laevigata*) and baccharis (*Baccharis* sp.) are also present. A fringe of wetland vegetation is present along portions of Alligator and Taylors Bayous that includes spikerush (*Eleocharis* sp.), primrose willow (*Ludwigia decurrens*), common reed, sedge (*Carex* sp.), and marshhay cordgrass (*Spartina patens*).

Aquatic habitat is restricted to the bayous. Fish samples were not collected from the bayous during reconnaissance surveys of the area. Common fish species that could occur in the bayous in the project area include the western mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*), black bullhead (*Ameiurus melas*), variegated pupfish (*Cyprindon variegatus*), largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), alligator gar (*Lepisosteus spafula*), blacktail redhorse (*Moxostoma poecilurum*), rainwater killifish (*Lucania parva*), inland silversides (*Menidia beryllina*), several sunfish species (*Lepomis* spp.), and possibly 1 or 2 species of minnows (Cyprinidae). In addition to the fish species, the area could support frogs, turtles, snakes, crayfish, and numerous insect species. Estuarine or marine species that potentially inhabit Taylors Bayou downstream of the proposed structure include species such as the blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*), brown shrimp (*Farfantepenaeus aztecus*), white shrimp (*Litopenaeus setiferus*), red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*), spotted seatrout (*Cynoscion nebulosus*), croaker (*Micropogonias undulatus*), menhaden (*Brevoortia patronus*), and bay anchovy (*Anchoa mitchelli*).

3.2.1.2 Project Area Description. The 28,643-acre project area within the Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee system (see Figure 3) includes over 15,000 acres of urban and industrial development, with the remaining acreage including forested areas, open pasture land, water features (natural and manmade), and wetlands.

There are approximately 1,448 acres of forested areas within the general project area. Many of the present-day forested areas were historically open pastures or agricultural lands. Dominant species in the forested patches include Chinese tallow (*Triadica sebifera*), loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), and mixed oaks (*Quercus sp.*). There are also approximately 3,750 acres of open pastureland, much of which is utilized for grazing or hay production and approximately 2,134 acres of water features including numerous large water impoundments, detention basins, and miles of drainage ditches and canals. All of the interior natural drainages within the levee system have been modified for flood water conveyance and retain few natural functions or characteristics..

3.2.1.3 No Action Alternative. The No Action Alternative would result in continued and increased flooding that could place additional stresses on terrestrial plant and animal communities.

3.2.1.4 Proposed Project Alternative. Construction would impact 17.05 acres of levee and mowed property immediately adjacent to the levee, and including several wetland areas and open water areas of Taylors Bayou. These areas are characterized by largely non-native, invasive grasses. Based on hydrological modeling for Alligator Taylors Bayous, the Proposed Project would not change the overall project's hydraulic effects on the two waterways; therefore, no impacts to aquatic communities would be expected.

Coordination letters and project information requesting comments were sent to natural resource agencies including TCEQ, General Land Office of Texas (GLO), and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). TCEQ responded on 27 April 2007 and 24 February 2011 that the project is consistent with the agency's environmental regulations and policies (Appendix A.3). GLO has determined that the Proposed Project is within the Texas Coastal Management Program (TCMP) boundary and is consistent with the TCMP (Appendix A.4). NMFS concurred that the Proposed Project would not present an adverse impact to Essential Fish Habitat with regard to the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Management and Conservation Act (Appendix A.6). USFWS was additionally afforded the opportunity to comment on the project regarding USFWS's responsibilities under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act during the original 404/10 permit Public Notice period (5 December 2008 to 6 January 2009) (see Appendix A.2), but declined to comment.

### 3.2.2 Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)

Executive Order 11990 provides that, in order to avoid to the extent possible the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with the destruction or modification of wetlands and to avoid direct or indirect support of new construction in wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative, all Federal agencies shall provide leadership and shall take action to minimize the destruction, loss, or degradation of wetlands, and to preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands in carrying out the agency's responsibilities for (1) acquiring, managing, and disposing of federal lands and facilities; (2) providing federally undertaken, financed, or assisted construction and improvements; and (3) conducting federal activities and programs affecting land use, including, but not limited to, water and related land resources planning, regulating, and licensing activities. This Order does not apply to the issuance by

federal agencies of permits, licenses, or allocations to private parties for activities involving wetlands on non-federal property.

The Port Arthur South, Texas, National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map (USFWS, 1998) indicates 3 potential wetland areas on or immediately adjacent to the project site. The areas within and immediately adjacent to the project site are described as riverine, lower perennial, unconsolidated bottom, permanently flooded, excavated (R2UBHx), which is Alligator Bayou; estuarine, subtidal, unconsolidated bottom, subtidal, excavated (E1UBLx), which is Taylors Bayou; and estuarine, intertidal, emergent, persistent, irregularly flooded (E2EM1P).

Further review and a field reconnaissance effort by Horizon biologists determined that Alligator Bayou, Taylors Bayou, and several small wetland areas adjacent to Taylors Bayou and Alligator Bayou are the only "waters of the US" located within or adjacent to the construction footprint of the Proposed Project (Figure 8). Field photographs are provided in Appendix B.

Based on NWI mapping, the drainage area within the Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee system contains approximately 5,889 acres of wetlands (USFWS, 1998) including a multitude of wetland types encompassing freshwater emergent, freshwater forested/shrub, estuarine and marine, freshwater ponds, and lakes. Some examples include palustrine, emergent, persistent, seasonal (PEM1C), and palustrine, scrub/shrub, farmed (PSSf). Many of these wetland areas within the levee system include highly modified flood conveyance channels and detention basins.

 $3.2.2.1\,$  No Action Alternative. The No Action Alternative would not impact wetlands or waters of the US.

3.2.2.2 Proposed Project Alternative. Approximately 0.98 acres of herbaceous/shrub wetland vegetation are present along the shorelines of Taylors and Alligator Bayous within the construction footprint of the Proposed Project (Figure 8). These wetland areas are sparsely vegetated with spikerush (*Eleocharis* sp.), marsh elder (*Iva frutescens*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), sedge (*Carex* sp.), saltgrass (*Distichlis* spicata), and marshhay cordgrass (*Spartina patens*). They are situated above the mean high tide level of Taylors Bayou and are Section 404 jurisdictional. An additional 1.07 acres of open water in Taylors Bayou are also present within the construction footprint.

An application for permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act was originally submitted to the USACE for the new pump station in 2007. A Public Notice was issued by the USACE on 5 December 2008. Comments were received on the Public Notice from the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas, TCEQ, and TPWD. Responses to those comments were provided to the USACE on 20 March 2009. A permit was issued by the USACE for the project on 12 February 2010 (Department of the Army Permit No. SWG-2007-850). However, the footprint and design of the Proposed Project was altered and a new application was submitted 21 February 2012 to amend the issued permit. A decision on Department of the Army Permit Application No. SWG-2007-00850 Amendment will be reached at the conclusion of the USACE Section 408 review and coordination of this project.



#### 060108A26PA\_Plan.dwg | JEA | 10/31/2012



### 3.2.3 Threatened or Endangered Species

The following threatened or endangered (T/E) species and designated critical habitats (CH) listed by the USFWS were reviewed for potential impacts from the Proposed Project.

### TABLE 1 FEDERALLY LISTED T/E SPECIES OF POTENTIAL OCCURRENCE IN JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS

SPECIES	USFWS STATUS	NMFS STATUS	DETERMINATION
Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus)	Threatened	N/A	No effect; critical habitat in Texas, but not in Jefferson County; species unlikely in project area.
Atlantic hawksbill sea turtle ( <i>Eretmochelys</i> <i>imbricate</i> )	Endangered	Endangered	No effect; critical habitat designated outside of Texas; species unlikely in project area.
Green sea turtle (Chelonia mydas)	Threatened	Threatened	No effect; critical habitat designated outside of Texas; species unlikely in project area.
Kemp's ridley sea turtle ( <i>Lepidochelys kempii</i> )	Endangered	Endangered	No effect; species unlikely in project area.
Leatherback sea turtle (Dermochelys coriacea)	Endangered	Endangered	No effect; critical habitat designated outside Texas; species unlikely in project area.
Loggerhead sea turtle ( <i>Caretta caretta</i> )	Threatened	Threatened	No effect; species unlikely in project area.
Smalltooth sawfish (Pristis pectinata)	N/A	Endangered	No effect; species unlikely in project area.
West Indian Manatee (Trichechus manatus)	Endangered	N/A	No effect; species unlikely in project area.

(USFWS 2012; NMFS 2012; Appendix C)

Additionally, USFWS lists the following migratory bird species as being of potential transitory occurrence in many or all Texas counties during migration: Eskimo curlew (*Numenius borealis*), interior least tern (*Sterna antillarum athalossos*), and whooping crane (*Grus americana*). The Texas Parks and Wildlife Department list for Jefferson County (TPWD, 2012; Appendix C), lists a number of additional species. A Biological Assessment (BA) can be found as Appendix C. The following is a general summary of the BA findings for federally listed species.

### Bald Eagle

The bald eagle has been delisted in Texas under the Endangered Species Act, but remains protected under the Federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. Bald eagle habitat in Texas is generally concentrated around rivers and undisturbed coastal habitat containing large, tall trees and a substantial body of water nearby. Eagle nests are often found in the ecotone between a forest and adjacent marsh, grassland, or body of water. No bald eagle nests have been reported from any areas near the Proposed Project, and potentially suitable nesting habitat is not present on or adjacent to the site. No bald eagle nests are known within the benefit area.

### Brown Pelican

The brown pelican has been delisted in Texas under the Endangered Species Act, but remains protected under the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act. The brown pelican is found largely in coastal and near-shore areas. They typically loaf, roost, and nest on nearshore islands. None have been reported from the project area, and no suitable nesting habitat is present in the project area.

### **Piping Plover**

Piping plover habitat in Texas consists of sandy beaches, swash zones, and tidal flats that provide marine worms, flies, beetles, spiders, crustaceans, mollusks, and other small marine invertebrates during the over-wintering portion of their migration. CH has been designated for piping plover in a number of areas on the Texas coast, but not near the project area. The closest designated CH is in the Bolivar-Galveston area, approximately 50 miles southwest of Port Arthur. There are no areas near the project area that would provide suitable habitat for the piping plover. There will be no impact to piping plovers or their habitat.

### Sea Turtles

All 5 federally listed sea turtle species are known to occur along the Texas Coast. Due to the mobility of these species and their preference for marine and estuarine environments, there is very little chance that they would enter Taylors Bayou in the vicinity of the project area, which is almost 12 miles from the Gulf of Mexico. They would be unable to enter Alligator Bayou because of the pump station facility and gravity drain structure. In the unlikely event that sea turtles were present in Taylors Bayou, they would be able to avoid direct construction impacts. The minimal dredging associated with the project would be executed by barge-mounted trackhoe or dragline. There would be no pipeline or hopper dredging, Because of their high mobility, construction would not impact sea turtles should they be present. Project operation would likewise not impact these turtles.

3.2.3.1 No Action Alternative. The No Action Alternative has no effect on threatened or endangered species.

3.2.3.2 Proposed Project Alternative. No listed T/E species or potential habitats have been observed in the immediate vicinity of the project area. Any potential utilization of the site by migratory T/E species would be limited to brief transitory occurrences or fly-overs. A lack of suitable habitat for listed species makes their occurrence highly unlikely. There are no species proposed for listing or designated CH in the project area. It is concluded that the Proposed Project would have no effect on either designated critical habitat or threatened or endangered species.

### 3.3 HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE (HTRW)

Database searches including TelALL Phase I Support Services, Inc. (TelALL) were conducted in 2007 and updated in April 2011, in order to provide an environmental database review of selected state and Federal agency records. TelALL conducted the database search for the project area using minimum search distances outlined in American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard E-1527-05 (ASTM, 2006). Table 2 provides the number of known occurrences by category within the prescribed search distances from the project site as of April 2011. Detailed environmental data search records are on file and are not presented in this Environmental Assessment. Based on site inspection and these database searches, the Proposed Project site has a low probability for the occurrence of HTRW materials. Any hazardous or potentially hazardous materials discovered, generated, or used during construction/excavation of the project would be disposed of and handled by the Applicant in accordance with applicable local, state, and Federal regulations.

### 3.3.1 <u>National Priority List Database</u>

The National Priority List (NPL) is a priority subset of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) list and contains those CERCLIS facilities or locations evaluated and confirmed as contaminated. The CERCLIS list was created by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) in order to fulfill the need to track contaminated sites. The CERCLA was enacted in 1980 and amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) of 1986. These acts established broad authority for the government to respond to problems posed by the release, or threat of release, of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants. The CERCLA also imposed liability on those responsible for releases and provided the authority for the government to undertake enforcement and abatement action against responsible parties. TelALL identified no NPL facilities on or within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area.

### 3.3.2 <u>Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System</u> <u>Database</u>

This database lists facilities reported to and identified by the EPA, pursuant to Section 103 of the CERCLA. The CERCLIS database contains sites that are either proposed to be listed or are listed on the NPL and sites that are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL. These sites are known to, or have the potential to, release hazardous substances or pollutants into the environment. TelALL identified no CERCLIS hazardous waste sites within the 0.5-mile search radius. No further remedial action planned (NFRAP) sites indicate a CERCLIS site that was designated as a site requiring no

further agency action by the EPA. TelALL identified no NFRAP sites on or within a 0.5-mile radius of the project area.

### TABLE 2

### SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SEARCH REPORT FINDINGS

DATABASE	ACRONYM	LAST UPDATED	MINIMUM SEARCH DISTANCE IN	FINDINGS
			MILES	
National Priority List	NPL	03/2011	1.0	0
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System	CERCLIS	03/2011	0.5	0
No Further Remedial Action Planned	NFRAP	03/2011	0.5	0
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information System - Treatment, Storage, or Disposal	RCRA-TSD	01/2011	1.0	0
Corrective Action	CORRACT	01/2011	1.0	0
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information System - Generators	RCRA-G	01/2011	0.25	0
Emergency Response Notification System	ERNS	02/2011	0.25	0
Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program	TXVCP	01/2011	0.5	0
Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program	TXIOP	01/2011	0.5	0
Texas State Superfund	TXSSF	02/2011	1.0	0
TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities	TXLF	03/2011	1.0	0
Unauthorized and Un-permitted Landfill Sites	LFUN	03/2011	0.5	0
Texas Leaking Underground Storage Tanks	TXLUST	02/2011	0.5	0
Texas Underground Storage Tanks	TXUST	02/2011	0.25	0
Texas Aboveground Storage Tanks	TXAST	02/2011	0.25	0
Texas Spills List	TXSPILL	03/2011	0.25	0
Brownfield	BRNFD	01/2011	0.5	0
Dry Cleaner	DRYC	02/2011	0.5	0
Indian Reservation Underground Storage Tanks	IRUST	02/2011	0.25	0

### 3.3.3 <u>Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System Database</u>

TelALL derived the data contained in this list from the Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS) database, which attempts to track the status of those regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The RCRA requires generators, transporters, treaters, storers, and disposers of hazardous waste to provide information concerning their activities to state environmental agencies, who, in turn, provide the information to regional and national EPA offices. The RCRA Treatment, Storage, or Disposal (RCRA-TSD) database is a subset of the RCRIS list that tracks facilities that fall under the treatment, storage, or disposal classification. TelALL reviewed the RCRA-TSD database for those facilities where treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste takes place and identified no RCRA-TSD facilities on or within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area.

The RCRA Generators (RCRA-G) database is a subset of the RCRIS list that tracks facilities that generate or transport either small or large quantities of substances regulated under the RCRA. The RCRA classifies 3 generators, including conditionally exempt, small-quantity generators (CESQGs); small-quantity generators (SQGs); and large-quantity generators (LQGs). The CESQG produces less than 100 kilograms (kg) per month of hazardous waste; the SQG produces at least 100 kg per month, but less than 1000 kg per month of hazardous waste; and the LQG produces at least 1000 kg per month of hazardous waste. TelALL reviewed the RCRA-G database and found no facilities on or within a 0.25-mile radius of the project area.

The Corrective Action (CORRACT) database lists RCRIS sites that are currently subject to or have in the past been subject to corrective action. No facilities are listed as RCRIS violators that have been subject to corrective action on or within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area.

### 3.3.4 <u>Emergency Response Notification System Database</u>

The Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS) supports the release of notification requirements of Section 103 of the CERCLA, as amended; Section 311 of the Clean Water Act; and Sections 300.51 and 300.65 of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan. Additionally, ERNS serves as a mechanism to document and verify incident location information as initially reported, and is utilized as a direct source of easily accessible data needed for analyzing oil and hazardous substances spills. TelALL reviewed the ERNS database and identified no oil or hazardous substance releases within the 0.25-mile search radius.

### 3.3.5 Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program and the Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program

The Texas Voluntary Cleanup Program (TXVCP) was established to provide administrative, technical, and legal incentives to encourage the cleanup of contaminated sites in Texas. Since future lenders and landowners receive protection from liability to the State of Texas for cleanup of sites under the TXVCP, most of the constraints for completing real estate transactions at those sites are eliminated. As a result, many unused or under-used properties may be restored to economically productive or community-beneficial uses. After cleanup, the parties receive a certificate of completion from the TCEQ,

which states that all lenders and future landowners who are not potentially responsible parties (PRPs) are released from all liability to the State. TelALL identified no TXVCP participants within a 0.5-mile radius of the project area.

The Texas Innocent Owner/Operator Program (TXIOP) provides a certificate to an innocent owner or operator if his or her property is contaminated as a result of a release or migration of contaminants from a source or sources not located on the project area and he or she did not cause or contribute to the source or sources of contamination. TelALL identified no TXIOP participants on or within a 0.5-mile radius of the project area.

### 3.3.6 <u>Texas State Superfund Database</u>

The Texas State Superfund (TXSSF) database is a list of sites that the State of Texas has identified for investigation or remediation. The TXSSF sites are reviewed for potential upgrading to CERCLIS status by the EPA. TelALL identified no state or federal Superfund sites on or within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area.

### 3.3.7 <u>TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities and Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill Sites</u>

The TCEQ Solid Waste Facilities (TXLF) listing, derived from the permit files of the TCEQ, contains known active and inactive solid waste disposal, transfer, and processing stations registered within a municipality and/or county. Subchapter R of Chapter 361 of the State of Texas Health and Safety Code regulates land use on sites determined to be, or contain, solid waste landfills. Based on the review of all available information developed during this Environmental Assessment, Horizon found no evidence that suggests that a municipal solid waste landfill exists on the Proposed Project area. TelALL identified no TXLF facilities on or within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area.

Unauthorized and Unpermitted Landfill (LFUN) sites have no permit and are considered abandoned. All information about these sites was compiled by Texas State University San Marcos (formerly Southwest Texas State University) under contract with the TCEQ. TelALL identified no LFUN sites on or within a 0.5-mile radius of the project area.

### 3.3.8 <u>Underground or Aboveground Storage Tanks</u>

TelALL reviewed the TCEQ database listings that contain information on permitted Texas Underground Storage Tanks (TXUSTs), permitted Texas Aboveground Storage Tanks (TXASTs), and known Texas Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (TXLUSTs). According to TCEQ records, 4 diesel-containing TXASTs were identified at the Alligator Bayou Pump Station; all are currently in use. No TXUST facilities were identified on or within a 0.25-mile radius of the project area, and no TXLUST facilities were identified on or within a 0.5-mile radius of the project area.

### 3.3.9 <u>TCEQ Spills List</u>

The TCEQ tracks cases where emergency response is needed for cleanup of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances spills (TXSPILL). TelALL identified no TXSPILL cases within 0.25 miles of the project area.

### 3.3.10 Brownfields

Brownfields are real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. TelALL identified no Brownfield sites on or within a 0.5-mile radius of the project area.

### 3.3.11 Dry Cleaners

House Bill 1366 requires all dry cleaning drop stations and facilities in Texas to register with the TCEQ and implement new performance standards at their facilities as appropriate. It also requires distributors of dry cleaning solvents to collect fees on the sale of dry cleaning solvents at certain facilities. TelALL identified no dry cleaner sites on or within a 0.5-mile radius of the project area.

### 3.3.12 <u>No Action Alternative</u>

Continued and increasing flooding within the Proposed Project drainage area would have the potential to entrain pollutants in floodwaters and transmit those pollutants to the pump station where they could be passed into Taylors Bayou.

### 3.3.13 <u>Proposed Project Alternative</u>

The Proposed Project Alternative would not impact any listed hazardous materials storage, treatment, or disposal areas. By reducing flooding within the benefit area, the potential for entrainment of pollutants in floodwaters and transmission downstream is reduced.

### 3.4 SOCIOECONOMICS

2010 US Census data indicate a population of 252,273 for Jefferson County. A demographic profile of the area shows that approximately 52% of the population is reported as white, 34% as black, 10% as Hispanic, and 4% as other. The project is not expected to affect the population of the area. The county population is the reference population for the Environmental Justice analysis below (Section 3.4.6). Local employment is dominated by manufacturing jobs, with the service industry and agricultural-related occupations also being common. The median household income is reported as \$51,688 and is approximately \$10,675 less than the US average.

### 3.4.1 Zoning and Land Use

The project area is not located within the corporate boundary of Port Arthur. The project is located on Alligator Bayou at the confluence with Taylors Bayou and is within the DD7 jurisdiction. There is no zoning applicable to the project site. The land use of the project site is currently open space/public infrastructure (flood control levee).

### 3.4.2 <u>Visual Resources</u>

Visual resources (aesthetics) are not expected to be substantially affected by the Proposed Project. Post-construction, the new pump station will be identical and adjacent to the original pump station.

### 3.4.3 <u>Noise</u>

The project site is in a remote location more than 2 miles from any residential areas or other sensitive noise receptors. Existing noise in the vicinity of the project site includes the noise generated by the existing pump station and industrial facilities to the northeast of the site.

### 3.4.4 <u>Public Services and Utilities</u>

The project site is located in a relatively remote area with minimal public services.

A review of the Railroad Commission of Texas (RCT) Well Location Database indicated that 25 pipelines are located within 0.5 miles of the project area. Table 3 describes the 23 pipelines that are currently in service. Figure 9 depicts the locations of the pipelines in proximity to the project area.

### 3.4.5 <u>Traffic and Circulation</u>

The Proposed Project is located in a remote area and would not interfere with major thoroughfares in Port Arthur. Access to the site will occur from Highway 82 and 57<sup>th</sup> Street.

Operator	Pipeline Diameter (inches)	Pipeline Product	No. of Pipelines
Air Products, LP	4.5	hydrogen gas	1
Buckeye Gulf Coast Pipelines, LP	6.63	natural gas liquids	1
Centana Intrastate Pipeline, LP	12.75	natural gas	1
Centana Intrastate Pipeline, LP	10.75	natural gas	2
Chevron Pipeline Company	8.63	EP mix/propane	2
Chevron Pipeline Company	10.75	ethylene	1
Enterprise Products Operating, LP	24	crude oil	1
Kinder Morgan Texas Pipeline, LP	16	natural gas	1
Praxair, Inc.	8.63	hydrogen gas	1
Premcor Pipeline Company	8.63	crude oil	2
Premcor Pipeline Company	10.75	crude oil	5
Premcor Pipeline Company	8.63	refined products	1
Premcor Pipeline Company	12.75	gasoline	1
Premcor Pipeline Company	12.75	fuel oil	1
Texas Gas Service Company	8.63	natural gas	1
Texas Petrochemicals, LP	8.63	crude butadiene	1

TABLE 3IN-SERVICE PIPELINES WITHIN 0.5 MILES OF THE PROJECT AREA



Environmental Services, Inc.

### PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
#### 3.4.6 Environmental Justice (Executive Order 12898)

Executive Order 12898, "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations," February 11, 1994, directs Federal agencies to take the appropriate and necessary steps to identify and address disproportionately high and adverse affects of Federal projects on the health of the environment of minority and low-income populations to the greatest extent practicable permitted by law. The Executive Order requires that minority and low-income populations not receive disproportionately high adverse human health or environmental impacts, and requires that representatives of any low-income populations not receive disproportionately high adverse human health or environmental impacts, and requires that representatives of any low-income or minority populations that could be affected by the Proposed Project be involved in the community participation and public involvement process.

Low income persons are defined as "a person whose household income is at or below the HHS poverty guidelines." The 2012 Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) guidelines indicate the poverty level for a family of four to be at or below an annual income level of \$23,050. The 2009-2010 estimated median household income for the City of Port Arthur is \$34,921; the City of Groves is \$50,370; for the City of Port Neches is \$55,682; and for the City of Nederland is \$59,648; which are all well above the 2012 HHS poverty guideline.

The nearest residential areas to the Proposed Project site are more than 1.5 miles distant from the existing pump station. Construction equipment access will occur through a remote access point from Highway 82 and from Taylors Bayou. No residential structures are near the access route.

Within the benefit area, approximately 30% of census tracts exhibit 50% or greater low and moderate income populations. In general, these low to moderate income residential areas are located in lower areas that are subject to the most frequent flooding. The Proposed Project would significantly reduce flooding from 25-year and smaller storm events that would cause flooding in these low to moderate income population areas. Therefore, there is a direct benefit from the project for low to moderate income persons. However, benefits would apply to all economic and ethnic sectors of the benefit area.

#### 3.4.7 <u>Safety and Security</u>

The pump station area is secured from public access. Construction equipment access will occur through a remote access point from Highway 82 and 57<sup>th</sup> Street. No residential structures are near the access point.

#### 3.4.8 <u>No Action Alternative</u>

The No Action Alternative would result in the continued flooding potential for homes, structures, and roads in the project area. This alternative does not achieve the stated purpose of providing flood relief.

#### 3.4.9 <u>Proposed Project Alternative</u>

The Proposed Project is not expected to significantly affect local employment or income, except for a temporary increase during construction. The project, however, would benefit the local economy by reducing flooding impacts on homes, structures, and infrastructure in the area.

The current land use of the project site (open space/public infrastructure) would not materially change due to the Proposed Project, but some vegetated open space would be converted to the new concrete structure for the new pump building. The new pump building would be very similar to the existing pump building but would result in an expansion of the pump station complex.

Following construction activities, there would be no significant noise-generating activities at the site. The only anticipated noises associated with the project would be due to the operation of heavy equipment during the construction phase. The closest sensitive noise receptors (residences) to the project site are approximately 2 miles away and would not be adversely affected by construction noise. The Proposed Project is not expected to impede the access of nearby residents to any public services or interfere with any existing pipelines. There are no anticipated long-term impediments to traffic due to construction or operation of the pumping station.

No minority or low income populations would be disproportionately affected due to construction of the Proposed Project. The benefits of the project from reduced flooding in the 28,083-acre benefit area would apply to all economic and ethnic sectors of the benefit area, including low-income residential areas, but may have greater potential benefits for low to moderate income populations. No project disturbances would occur in the internal drainage area where homes and other urban and industrial development is located. No significant safety or security issues are expected.

#### 3.5 CULTURAL RESOURCES

The Area of Potential Effect (APE) for the project is determined to be the existing Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee. Since no physical disturbances would occur within the leveed area as a result of the project, it is not included in the APE for cultural resources. To determine the potential for impacts to significant cultural resources within the APE of the Proposed Project, Horizon conducted an initial archival review and consulted with the Texas Historical Commission (THC)/State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). The archival review and consultation were conducted in compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended, and the Antiquities Code of Texas (ACT).

Archival research conducted via the Internet at the THC's Texas Archeological Sites Atlas web site indicated no recorded archeological sites, historic structures, or cemeteries within a 0.6-mile (1-kilometer) radius of the proposed pump station improvements (THC, 2006). The Atlas did indicate that the area due south of the location of the pump station was previously surveyed in 1972 for the USACE with negative results.

The area containing the existing pump station consists of heavily impacted areas resulting from the initial construction of the pump station, levee, and road. In addition to the impacts from the pump station/levee construction, the soil within the APE of the proposed pump station improvements consists of fill material used to build up the area for previous construction. Overall, the impacts to the area from the initial construction of the existing pump station and levee are substantial enough to suggest little or no potential for significant cultural deposits. More importantly, the fact that the soil within the APE consists entirely of excavated materials also suggests a low potential for significant cultural deposits. The Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee is less 50 years old and as such is not potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places.

#### 3.5.1 <u>No Action Alternative</u>

The No Action Alternative has no effect on cultural resources.

#### 3.5.2 <u>Proposed Project Alternative</u>

Based on the fact that the area has previously been heavily disturbed and consists entirely of excavated deposits, the Proposed Project would have no effect on cultural resources. By letter dated 27 November 2006, the Texas SHPO concurred that no further research or field work was necessary and no resources were present (Appendix A.8).

# 4.0 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

An assessment of cumulative impacts takes into consideration the consequences that past, present, and reasonable foreseeable future projects had, have, or will have on the natural and human environment. Every project must be considered on its own merits. Its impacts on the environment must be assessed in light of historical impacts, along with anticipated future activities in the area. Although a particular project may constitute a minor impact in itself, the cumulative impacts that result from a large number of such projects could cause a significant effect to the natural and human environment.

The impacts or expected impacts from this project include localized increased surface runoff, minor changes in hydrology in Alligator and Taylors Bayous, wetland loss, and temporary minor air-quality impacts. The overall impact that can be expected if the individual impacts are allowed to accumulate is loss of wetland function and value and increased erosion. Many of the historic impacts in the Taylors Bayou watershed occurred prior to the initiation of many environmental regulations. The project would provide for relief of 25-year event flooding in the 500-year floodplain within the Hurricane Flood Protection Project levee system. Development in Jefferson County has been slow to negligible in the prior decades, a trend

that does not appear to be changing. This project would not modify the base floodplain or support increased development. The project would reduce the hazards and risks associated with floods and minimize the impacts of floods on human safety, health, and welfare. The project should, however, lessen the economic burden felt by the existing residents through flood damage relief described previously. Based on the type of wetland being impacted, their low functional capacity relative to fish and wildlife and floodplain values and on balance, and the compensatory mitigation being offered, the project will result in minimal environmental impacts and minimal impacts on fish and wildlife values.

Several other projects in the project vicinity have resulted in wetland impacts, including modifications to or reconstruction of two salt-water barrier flood-gate structures upstream on Taylors Bayou, expansions at several local refineries, minor land development activities, and improvements or additions to transportive corridors (pipelines, transmission lines, roads). The permanent or temporary impacts from these activities have resulted in the loss or disturbance of several dozen acres of wetlands. No large-scale future projects have been identified in the immediate project area or the benefit area that would add substantially to cumulative impacts. When considering the overall impacts that will result from the project, in context with the overall impacts from similar past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects of any magnitude affecting wetlands or aquatic resources in the area will go through a comparable review process.

This project will provide enhanced protection for 153 repetitive-loss homes, as well as other structures, infrastructure, and roads in the area from future flood damage. The total avoided damages for these homes and roads are estimated at \$64 million. The Proposed Project would utilize \$16 million of public monies from various sources to provide enhanced flood relief for these flood-prone structures. The project would provide a positive economic benefit in terms of reduced liabilities.

The proposed construction of a new pump station would occur adjacent to the existing PS 16. This area has experienced significant modification in the past. No significant adverse impacts to aesthetic or environmental resources are expected. The Proposed Project would provide enhanced flood relief throughout the area. Benefits are expected for flood protection, local economics, and water quality.

#### 5.0 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Copies of documentation from previous public coordination activities for the project are included in Appendix D. A Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Assessment produced by FEMA in 2008 was published in *The Beaumont Enterprise* and *The Port Arthur News* on 9 March 2008 requesting public comments (Appendix D). No comments were received.

An additional Public Notice advertising the application for a Department of the Army permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act was published by the USACE on 5 December 2008. Three comments were received, two from Texas state agencies and one from the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas. Responses to those comments are included in Appendix A.2. As a result of project design changes, an amendment to the existing permit will be required. A Notice of Availability and Public Notice have been issued for proposed 404/10 permit amendment. For the Section 408 approval process, this EA will be released for public comment. Once the Section 408 USACE review is completed, if approval is given for construction of the Proposed Project and provided no other issues were identified, the Department of the Army permit amendment would be issued, and the project could proceed to construction.

# 6.0 MITIGATION, INCREMENTAL ANALYSIS, MONITORING, AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PLANS

Mitigation measures for construction of the Proposed Project would include restoration of tidal emergent marsh along the Taylors Bayou Diversion Channel, control of fugitive dust and particulates during construction, and application of best management practices to control storm water runoff under a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.

Mitigation for the loss of 1.3 acres of wetlands and shallow open water as a result of construction of the Proposed Project has been evaluated using the Habitat Evaluation Procedure (HEP; Appendix I) and Interim Tidal Fringe Hydrogeomorphic Model (iHGM). Under the original Section 10/404 permit, the Applicant purchased 3 acres of forested wetlands and upland buffers adjacent to a 1955-acre private mitigation area upstream on Taylors Bayou. This, however, constituted out-of-kind mitigation for the impact, and precluded meaningful comparison using HEP models certified for Federal project evaluation. For the Section 408 project approval, HEP analysis was conducted and resulted in an in-kind mitigation proposal to restore 1.8 acres of tidal marsh consisting of smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*) behind a recently constructed breakwater on the west shoreline of Taylors Bayou Diversion Channel near the project area. DD7 will retain the 3 acres of forested wetlands for future mitigation requirements.

Five alternative mitigation plans were considered using the Institute for Water Resources Planning Suite (IWR-Plan), as presented in Appendix E. Those mitigation plans included purchase of credits from a coastal marsh ecosystem mitigation bank, the proposed mitigation plan, the previously approved mitigation plan, a Nueces River estuary mitigation plan, and the no-action alternative. There are currently no mitigation banks that service the project area that have coastal herbaceous wetland credits, so this alternative was dropped from further consideration. The analysis of the other four alternatives using IWR-Plan resulted in two best-buy alternatives, the proposed plan and the no-action plan. However, the no-action alternative (no mitigation) is not acceptable because it does not achieve the stated goals of the proposed project and does not meet the guidelines in ER 1105-2-100.

The results of the analysis indicate that the proposed mitigation is the best option to compensate for loss of aquatic resources.

#### 7.0 COORDINATION

Agency comment letters from previous coordination of this project for Department of the Army Permit No. SWG-2007-00850 are included in Appendix A. A summary of this previous coordination follows.

NRCS was asked to evaluate the proposed area as required by the FPPA (Appendix A.1). The NRCS concurred that the project was exempt from the FPPA on 4 May 2007. The NRCS does encourage the use of accepted erosion control methods during construction. Alligator Bayou, Taylors Bayou, and a small wetland area are the only "waters of the US" located within or adjacent to the Proposed Project area. Accepted erosion control methods will be used during the proposed construction activities.

TCEQ correspondence of 27 April 2007 and on 24 February 2011 concur that the project is consistent with the agency's environmental regulations or policies (Appendix A.3). GLO has been requested to determine whether the Proposed Project is within the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) boundary and subject to consistency review under the Texas CMP (Appendix A.4). A response addressing the originally Proposed Project was received 24 June 2008.

NMFS concurred on 1 May 2007 that the originally Proposed Project would not present an adverse impact to Essential Fish Habitat with regard to the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Management and Conservation Act (Appendix A.6). SHPO concurrence can be found in Appendix A.8.

Public and agency coordination of the proposed permit amendment and this EA prepared for Section 408 approval will also be undertaken and documented in the Final EA.

#### 8.0 **REFERENCES**

- American Society for Testing and Materials. 2006. ASTM Standards on Environmental Site Assessment for Commercial Real Estate, 5th Edition, E-1527-05. West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM, 2006.
- Carroll & Blackman, Inc., Bob Shaw Consulting Engineers, and Pate Engineers. May 2002. *COMPREHENSIVE STUDY AND DRAINAGE PLAN OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 7 SYSTEM AND SERVICE AREA*.

Federal Emergency Management Agency. 1998. Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 4803850430B.

- Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. 2012. Draft Biological Assessment Construction of a New Pump Station, Alligator Bayou Pump Station No. 16, Port Arthur, Jefferson County, Texas. Prepared for Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Southeast Texas Regional Planning Commission. 2005; updated 2010. Jefferson County Hazard Mitigation Plan.
- Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. 2010. Draft 2010 Texas 303(d) List, February 5, 2010.
- Texas Historical Commission. 2006. *Texas Archeological Sites Atlas* restricted database, <<u>http://www.pedernales.thc.state.tx.us/></u>. Accessed 10 October 2006.
- Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. 2007. Natural Diversity Database, T/E and Rare Species Elemental Occurrences. Wildlife Division, Habitat Assessment Program, Austin, Texas. 4 April 2007.
- Texas State Historical Association. 2006. *The Handbook of Texas Online*. "Geology," <http://www.tsha.utexas.edu/handbook/online/articles/view/GG/swgqz.html>. Accessed 21 July 2006.
- Texas Water Development Board. 2006. Water Information Integration and Dissemination System. TWDB Groundwater Database (ArcIMS), <a href="http://wiid.twdb.state.tx.us/ims/wwm\_drl/viewer.htm?DISCL=1&>">http://wiid.twdb.state.tx.us/ims/wwm\_drl/viewer.htm?DISCL=1&></a>. Accessed 7 August 2006.
- US Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006a. Texas Online Soil Survey Manuscripts, <a href="http://soils.usda.gov/survey/online\_surveys/texas/">http://soils.usda.gov/survey/online\_surveys/texas/</a>. Accessed 7 August 2006.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2006b. Soil Series Description Query Facility, <a href="http://ortho.ftw.nrcs.usda.gov/cgi-bin/osd/osdnamequery.cgi">http://ortho.ftw.nrcs.usda.gov/cgi-bin/osd/osdnamequery.cgi</a>. Accessed 7 August 2006.
- US Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1998. National Wetlands Inventory maps, Port Arthur South, Texas, quadrangle.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Endangered Species Lists, <a href="http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/EndangeredSpecies/lists/default.cfm">http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/EndangeredSpecies/lists/default.cfm</a> Accessed 7 August 2006.

- US Geological Survey 1974. 197 7.5-minute series topographic maps, Port Arthur South, Texas, quadrangle.
- University of Texas Bureau of Economic Geology, C.A. Shelby, M.K. Pieper, S. Aronow, and V.E. Barnes. 1968; revised 1992. *Geologic Atlas of Texas*, Beaumont Sheet, Harold Norman Fisk Memorial Edition.

# APPENDIX A

# AGENCY CONSULTATION

NOTE: The following agency correspondence in Appendices A.1 through A.9 included redundant maps and photographs, a single copy of which are provided in Appendix A.10 for reference. Some agency correspondence also included various data sets and other information specific to an agency's area of interest. Those data sets and information are not included herein for brevity, however, are on file with each agency as well at the USACE and can be provided upon request.

# **APPENDIX A.1**

# USDA NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE



#### **Environmental Services, Inc.**

24 April 2007

Mr. Ken McCain Natural Resources Conservation Service US Department of Agriculture 8330 College Street, Suite B Beaumont, Texas 77707-2952

#### RE: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Addition of Pumping Capacity to Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 Jefferson County, Texas HJN 060108 EA

Dear Mr. McCain:

Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) is proposing improvements to its Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 (PS 16) in order to achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083acre drainage area flowing to PS 16. The proposed improvements will consist of the construction of a low-flow pump station on the bank of Alligator Bayou opposite PS 16. Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Horizon) has been contracted by DD7 to secure all applicable environmental clearances for the project.

The DD7-proposed project is located approximately 2 miles southwest of Port Arthur; more precisely, the proposed addition to PS 16 is located at north latitude 29.8626 and west longitude 93.9872 on Alligator Bayou. Alligator Bayou is now separated from Taylors Bayou by a hurricane protection levy and only communicates with Taylors Bayou via pumping. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur. The canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico.

Appendix 1 contains maps depicting the locations of the existing pump station and proposed pump station (Figure 1), NRCS-mapped soils within of the project area (Figure 2), and the project area on the FEMA floodplain map of the area (Figure 3). Note that the proposed pump station site is situated on the dividing line between the 500-year floodplain and the 100-year floodplain (the hurricane protection levee). On-site photographs are provided in Appendix 2.

The project area is located on Neel-Urban land complex soils (NuC) (Appendix 1, Figure 2) (NRCS, 2006a). The NuC soils consist of deep and very deep, somewhat poorly drained to well drained, very slowly permeable soils that have 2 to 5% slopes (NRCS, 2006b).

One of the main components of the DD7 system, PS 16 serves approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 24,083 acres, which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these communities. In turn, PS 16 pumps water out of the contained system into Taylors Bayou. However, more flow is actually generated within the main outfall system than PS 16 can remove in a peak flow situation or major storm event. The proposed additional pump station will add 1 060108 NRCS Itr ©

#### CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified WBE/DBE/HUB



Mr. Ken McCain HJN 060108 EA 24 April 2007 Page 2

million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.6 million gpm capacity at PS 16. The proposed project will achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre DD7 drainage area by allowing PS 16 to pump water from the surrounding drainages within the contained drainage basin into Taylors Bayou more guickly, thereby reducing flooding incidents. The proposed improvements to PS 16 would not only maintain continuous low flow, but would provide backup capacity in the event that one or more of the existing pumps should become non-operational. This much-needed project will benefit the entire drainage area and has a cost/benefit ratio of 4.00. The proposed action is badly needed to reduce flooding of homes and roads in the area.

The project does not contain Important Farmland Soils and is exempt from the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA). A completed Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form is attached in Appendix 3. Should you concur that the proposed project is exempt from the FPPA. please respond by letter or sign and date this letter below as your concurrence and return a signed copy. Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated, as your signed concurrence letter is necessary to complete the application for a Flood Mitigation Assistance Program Grant with the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Please call me should you have any questions concerning this project or if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely. For Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.

ameared. M anna,

James M. Wiersema Vice President

One further

# **APPENDIX A.2**

# US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS



# **Public Notice**

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers Galveston District Permit Application No: Date Issued:

Comments Due:

SWG-2007-00850 Amendment

14 August 2012 14 September 2012

# U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, GALVESTON DISTRICT AND TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**PURPOSE OF PUBLIC NOTICE:** To inform you of a proposal for work in which you might be interested. It is also to solicit your comments and information to better enable us to make a reasonable decision on factors affecting the public interest. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is not the entity proposing or performing the proposed work, nor has the Corps taken a position, in favor or against the proposed work.

**AUTHORITY:** This application will be reviewed pursuant to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

APPLICANT:	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 P.O. Box 3244 Port Arthur, Texas 77643-3244		
	Telephone: 409-985-4369		
	POC: Mr. Phil Kelly		
AGENT:	Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.		
	1507 South Interstate Highway 35		
	Austin, Texas 78741-2502		
	Telephone: 512-328-2430		
	POC: Mr. Lee Sherrod		

**LOCATION:** The proposed project is located on the east bank of Alligator Bayou on the Port Arthur Hurricane Protection Levee, opposite the existing Alligator Bayou Pump Station No. 16 (PS 16), at the confluence of Alligator Bayou and Taylors Bayou, approximately 2 miles southwest of Port Arthur, in Jefferson County, Texas. Alligator Bayou is separated from Taylors Bayou by the hurricane protection levee and only communicates with Taylors Bayou via pumping. The hurricane protection levee is the dividing line between the 500-year floodplain and the 100-year floodplain. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur. The canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico. The proposed project can be located on the U.S.G.S. quadrangle map entitled: Port Arthur South, Texas. LATITUDE & LONGITUDE (NAD 83): Latitude: 29.8626 North; Longitude: -93.9872 West.

The compensatory mitigation site is located shoreward of a recently constructed rock breakwater along the west shoreline of the Taylors Bayou Diversion Channel, approximately 2 miles southwest of the project site, in Jefferson County, Texas. LATITUDE & LONGITUDE (NAD 83), Midpoint: Latitude: 29.8425 North; Longitude: -94.0124 West.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** Department of the Army Permit SWG-2007-00850, issued to the applicant on 12 February 2010, authorized permanent excavation of 0.31 acres of open water (Taylors Bayou), permanent excavation of 0.21 acres of adjacent herbaceous wetlands (Taylors Bayou), and permanent fill of 0.037 acres of open water (Alligator Bayou), during construction of a new low-flow pump station. Approximately 970 linear feet of temporary steel sheet pile cofferdam was authorized, and the permit required sediment analyses of material to be excavated (58,500 cubic yards) in accordance with Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) criteria prior to construction, placement of contaminated material in a confined upland site approved for the contaminant of concern, and placement of uncontaminated material on uplands of hurricane protection levees near the project site, stabilized by seeding with grass. Compensatory mitigation consisted of enhancement and permanent preservation of a 3-acre forested upland/wetland complex containing 1.75 acres of forested wetlands and 1.25 acres of uplands; the area would be placed in a conservation easement held by a qualified third-party 501(c) (3) land trust. The authorized project has not been constructed, and the applicant proposes a modified project in which the new pump station would be constructed approximately 200 feet east of its originally-permitted location, with modified dimensions of some project features, modified impacts to waters of the U.S., and modified compensatory mitigation. The need for the adjusted project location was brought about by a 33 USC Section 408 review by the Corps, and to decrease steepness of the access road so that heavy machinery can travel from the existing pump station to the proposed pump station.

For the modified project, approximately 1,400 linear feet of temporary steel sheet pile cofferdam would initially be constructed around the construction site to allow excavation and construction in the dry. Construction of the cofferdam would result in 0.82 acre of temporary impact to waters of the U.S. (0.07 acre of estuarine emergent wetland and 0.75 acre of open water). The areas to be excavated consist mostly of man-made levee and access road with small herbaceous wetlands present at the lower ends of the levee toe slope on both ends of the proposed excavation area. The applicant conducted sediment sampling in the portions of Alligator and Taylors Bayous that would be excavated for construction of the pump station expansion and determined that the excavated materials could be disposed of on nearby levees utilizing appropriate best management practices for erosion and sedimentation control as specified in the Sampling Protocol. The new pump station, concrete wing walls, concrete slab, access road, and pumping machinery would then be constructed within the newly excavated area, with a bottom elevation at approximately 4.5 feet below sea level. Excavation and fill activities would permanently impact approximately 1.30 acre of waters of the U.S. (0.76 acre of herbaceous/shrub wetlands and 0.54 acre of open water habitat). Total excavation is estimated at 127,000 cubic yards (cy): 12,650 cy from Taylors Bayou (open water and adjacent wetlands), 7,454 cy from Alligator Bayou (open water and adjacent wetlands), and 106,896 cy from existing upland levee.

Approximately 6,000 cy would be reused for fill in the construction of the new pump station, and 121,000 cy would be stockpiled in two proposed excavated material placement areas for future use in levee repairs. These two placement areas would be permanently stabilized with concrete barriers and silt fence, and revegetated to prevent erosion into alligator Bayou or off-site.

Existing PS 16 is one of the main components of the Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 system, serving approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 37.6 square miles (24,083 acres), which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these communities. In turn, PS 16 pumps water out of the contained system into Taylors Bayou. However, more flow is actually generated within the main outfall system than PS 16 can remove in a peak flow situation or major storm event. The applicant proposes to add the new low-flow pump station to the existing PS16 in order to relieve shallow flooding within the drainage area, and thereby prevent structure and road flooding. The project would allow PS 16 to pump water from surrounding drainages within the contained basin into Taylors Bayou more quickly, adding 1 million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.6 million gpm capacity at PS 16, and from a lower elevation. The proposed improvements to PS 16 would maintain continuous low flow, reduce flooding incidents, and provide backup capacity in the event that one or more of the existing pumps should become non-operational.

**AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION:** The applicant stated that they have avoided and minimized the environmental impacts by reducing the area to be excavated to the smallest size possible. Adjustments to the footprints of the cofferdams and staging areas have been made to minimize impacts to coastal waters and coastal wetlands. The project was designed so that it will not interfere with navigation.

**MITIGATION:** The applicant proposes to mitigate for the proposed aquatic resource impacts by planting smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*), saltmarsh bulrush (*Scirpus robustus*), bulltongue (*Sagittaria lancifolia*), black rush (*Juncus roemerianus*), and giant bulrush (*Schenoplectis californicus*) behind a recently constructed rock breakwater along the west shoreline of the Taylors Bayou Diversion Channel. The area behind the breakwater averages 6 feet wide and is about 13,000 linear feet long (approximately 1.8 acre). The proposed mitigation area is owned by Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6, who constructed the rock breakwater to assist with abatement of erosion of existing levees along that shoreline that was threatening saltwater intrusion into the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department's J. D. Murphree Wildlife Management Area. The area behind the breakwater is currently sparsely vegetated (approximately 5 percent areal cover). Dense vegetation along this shoreline is desired by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department to further stabilize the eroded area and to restore habitat conditions along an otherwise barren shoreline. The applicant would plant on 3-foot centers within the 1.8-acre area behind the breakwater in the spring. It is expected that the planted area would achieve at least 50 percent areal coverage within the first growing season and 100 percent areal coverage by the third through fifth growing seasons.

**CURRENT SITE CONDITIONS**: The project site is a constructed hurricane protection levee separating Alligator Bayou from Taylors Bayou. Dominant plant species on the levee include bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), St. Augustine grass (*Stenotaphrum secundatum*), bedstraw (*Gallium uncinulatum*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), and dewberry (*Rubus trivialis*) with scattered sugarberry (*Celtis laevigata*) and baccharis (*Baccharis sp.*). A fringe of wetland vegetation is present along portions of Alligator Bayou and Taylors Bayou that includes spikerush (*Eleocharis sp.*), primrose willow (*Ludwigia decurrens*), common reed, sedge (*Carex sp.*), and marshay cordgrass (*Spartina patens*).

**NOTES:** This public notice is being issued based on information furnished by the applicant. This project information has not been verified by the Corps. The applicant's plans are enclosed in 8 sheets and the proposed compensatory mitigation plan, Attachment 1, in 12 sheets.

A preliminary review of this application indicates that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required. Since permit assessment is a continuing process, this preliminary determination of EIS requirement will be changed if data or information brought forth in the coordination process is of a significant nature.

Our evaluation will also follow the guidelines published by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to Section 404 (b)(1) of the Clean Water Act (CWA).

# **OTHER AGENCY AUTHORIZATIONS:**

Consistency with the State of Texas Coastal Management Plan is required. The applicant has stated that the proposed activity complies with Texas' approved Coastal Management Program goals and policies and will be conducted in a manner consistent with said program.

This project incorporates the requirements necessary to comply with the TCEQ's Tier I project criteria. Tier I projects are those which result in a direct impact of three acres or less of waters of the state or 1,500 linear feet of streams (or a combination of the two is below the threshold) for which the applicant has incorporated best management practices and other provisions designed to safeguard water quality. The Corps has received a completed checklist and signed statement fulfilling Tier I criteria for the project. Accordingly, a request for 401 certification is not necessary and there will be no additional TCEQ review.

The proposed project will require Section 408 authorization from the Corps for modification of a Federal structure, the Port Arthur Hurricane Protection Levee.

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES:** The staff archaeologist has reviewed the latest published version of the National Register of Historic Places, lists of properties determined eligible, and other sources of information. The following is current knowledge of the presence or absence of historic properties and the effects of the undertaking upon these properties:

The project has no potential to cause effects and coordination with the State Historic Preservation Office is not required.

**THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES:** Preliminary indications are that no known threatened and/or endangered species or their critical habitat will be affected by the proposed work.

**ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT:** This notice initiates the Essential Fish Habitat consultation requirements of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Our initial determination is that the proposed action would not have a substantial adverse impact on Essential Fish Habitat or Federally-managed fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico. Our final determination relative to project impacts and the need for mitigation measures is subject to review by and coordination with the National Marine Fisheries Service.

**PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FACTORS:** This application will be reviewed in accordance with 33 CFR 320-332, the Regulatory Programs of the Corps, and other pertinent laws, regulations and executive orders. The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impacts, including cumulative impacts, of the proposed activity on the public interest. That decision will reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. The benefits, which reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposal, must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors, which may be relevant to the proposal, will be considered: among those are conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, historic properties, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shore erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people.

**SOLICITATION OF COMMENTS:** The Corps is soliciting comments from the public, Federal, State, and local agencies and officials, Indian tribes, and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of this proposed activity. Any comments received will be considered by the Corps to determine whether to issue, modify, condition or deny a permit for this proposal. To make this decision, comments are used to assess impacts on endangered species, historic properties, water quality, general environmental effects, and the other public interest factors listed above. Comments are used in the preparation of an Environmental Impact Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. Comments are also used to determine the need for a public hearing and to determine the overall public interest of the proposed activity.

This public notice is being distributed to all known interested persons in order to assist in developing facts upon which a decision by the Corps may be based. For accuracy and completeness of the record, all data in support of or in opposition to the proposed work should be submitted in writing setting forth sufficient detail to furnish a clear understanding of the reasons for support or opposition.

**PUBLIC HEARING:** The purpose of a public hearing is to solicit additional information to assist in the evaluation of the proposed project. Prior to the close of the comment period, any person may make a written request for a public hearing, setting forth the particular reasons for the request. The District Engineer will determine if the reasons identified for holding a public hearing are sufficient to warrant that a public hearing be held. If a public hearing is warranted, all known interested persons will be notified of the time, date, and location.

**CLOSE OF COMMENT PERIOD:** All comments pertaining to this public notice must reach this office on or before **14 September 2012**. Extensions of the comment period may be granted for valid reasons provided a written request is received by the limiting date. **If no comments are received by that date, it will be considered that there are no objections**. Comments and requests for additional information should be submitted to:

Denise Sloan Regulatory Branch, CESWG-PE-RB U.S. Army Corps of Engineers P.O. Box 1229 Galveston, Texas 77553-1229 409-766-3962 Phone 409-766-6301 Fax swg\_public\_notice@usace.army.mil

> DISTRICT ENGINEER GALVESTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

# **APPENDIX A.3**

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



## Environmental Services, Inc.

24 April 2007

Mr. Mike Howard Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

#### RE: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Addition of Pumping Capacity to Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 Jefferson County, Texas HJN 060108 EA

Dear Mr. Howard:

Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) is proposing improvements to its Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 (PS 16) in order to achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre drainage area flowing to PS 16. The proposed improvements will consist of the construction of a low-flow pump station on the bank of Alligator Bayou opposite PS 16. Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Horizon) has been contracted by DD7 to secure all applicable environmental clearances for the project.

The DD7-proposed project is located approximately 2 miles southwest of Port Arthur; more precisely, the proposed addition to PS 16 is located at north latitude 29.8626 and west longitude 93.9872 on Alligator Bayou. Alligator Bayou is now separated from Taylors Bayou by a hurricane protection levy and only communicates with Taylors Bayou via pumping. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur. The canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico.

Appendix 1 contains maps depicting the locations of the existing pump station and proposed pump station (Figure 1), a color infrared aerial view of the project area (Figure 2), and the project area on the FEMA floodplain map of the area (Figure 3). Note that the proposed pump station site is situated on the dividing line between the 500-year floodplain and the 100-year floodplain (the hurricane protection levee). On-site photographs are provided in Appendix 2.

One of the main components of the DD7 system, PS 16 serves approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 24,083 acres, which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these communities. In turn, PS 16 pumps water out of the contained system into Taylors Bayou. However, more flow is actually generated within the main outfall system than PS 16 can remove in a peak flow situation or major storm event. The proposed additional pump station will add 1 million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.6 million gpm capacity at PS 16. The proposed project will achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre DD7 drainage area by allowing PS 16 to pump water from the surrounding drainages within the contained drainage basin into Taylors Bayou more quickly, thereby reducing flooding incidents.

#### **CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS**

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified WBE/DBE/HUB



The proposed improvements to PS 16 would not only maintain continuous low flow, but would provide backup capacity in the event that one or more of the existing pumps should become non-operational.

This much-needed project will benefit the entire drainage area and has a cost/benefit ratio of 4.00. The proposed action is badly needed to reduce flooding of homes and roads in the area. All work would be performed in conformance with TPDES construction stormwater control guidelines using recommended BMPs during construction.

Please review the attached figures and information concerning the proposed project to determine if the project is consistent with your agency's environmental regulations or policies. Please respond by letter or sign and date this letter below as your concurrence and return a signed copy. Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated, as your signed concurrence letter is necessary to complete the application for a Flood Mitigation Assistance Program Grant with the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Please call me should you have any questions concerning this project or if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely, For Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.

Ameaner M. M. anna,

James M. Wiersema Vice President

Concurrence / Date

Kathleen Hartnett White, *Chairman* Larry R. Soward, *Commissioner* H. S. Buddy Garcia, *Commissioner* Glenn Shankle, *Executive Director* 



# **TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution April 27, 2007

Mr. James M. Wiersema Vice President Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. 1507 South IH 35 Austin, Texas 78741

Re: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Addition of Pumping Capacity to Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 Jefferson County, Texas HJN 060108 EA

Dear Mr. Wiersema:

This is in response to your letter of April 24, 2007, concerning the referenced project. It has been determined from a review of the information you provided that an Application for Approval of Reclamation Project need not be filed for this project.

Our findings indicate that as a participant in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Jefferson County has approval authority for projects affecting floodplains, within the County, per Section §16.236 of the Texas Water Code.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

Sincerely,

Mike Howard, CFM NFIP State Coordinator



# Environmental Services, Inc.

15 February 2011

Ms. Tangela Nieman Intergovernmental Relations Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle Austin, Texas 78753

#### RE: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Alligator Bayou Pump Station No. 16 Expansion Port Arthur, Jefferson County, Texas HJN 060108 EA

Dear Ms. Nieman:

Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) is proposing improvements to its Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 (PS 16) in Port Arthur, Texas in order to achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre drainage area flowing to PS 16. The proposed improvements will consist of the construction of an additional low-flow pump station on the bank of Alligator Bayou opposite PS 16. This project has previously been reviewed by FEMA through a NEPA Environmental Assessment process with the issuance of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and has additionally received a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Section 10/404 individual permit for placement of fill and structures in waters of the US. The project is now being additionally reviewed by the USACE under 33 USC 408 since it will modify a federally maintained USACE project (Port Arthur Hurricane Levee). This additional review mandates NEPA compliance and agency consultations. This consultation letter is provided to your office to elicit your comments on the proposed project as it pertains to resources under the purview of your agency. More specifically, we are requesting your review and comments regarding effects to air quality and conformance with the Clean Air Act.

The DD7-proposed project is located approximately 2 miles southwest of Port Arthur; more precisely, the proposed addition to PS 16 is located at north latitude 29.8626 and west longitude 93.9872 at the confluence of Alligator Bayou and Taylors Bayou. Alligator Bayou is now separated from Taylors Bayou by a hurricane protection levy and only communicates with Taylors Bayou via pumping. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur. The canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico.

Appendix 1 contains maps depicting the locations of the existing pump station and proposed pump station (Figure 1) and a color infrared aerial view of the project area (Figure 2). On-site photographs are provided in Appendix 2.

One of the main components of the DD7 drainage system, PS 16 serves approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 24,083 acres, which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these

#### **CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS**

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified WBE/HUB/DBE/SBE



communities. In turn, PS 16 pumps water out of the contained system behind the hurricane levee into Taylors Bayou. However, more flow is actually generated within the main outfall system than PS 16 can remove in a peak flow situation or major storm event. The proposed additional pump station will add 1 million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.6 million gpm capacity at PS 16. The proposed project will achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre DD7 drainage area by allowing PS 16 to pump water from the surrounding drainages within the contained drainage basin into Taylors Bayou more quickly, thereby reducing flooding incidents. The proposed improvements to PS 16 would not only maintain continuous low flow, but would provide backup capacity in the event that one or more of the existing pumps should become non-operational.

The proposed action is badly needed to reduce flooding of homes and roads in the area. All work would be performed in conformance with TPDES construction stormwater control guidelines using recommended BMPs during construction.

Minor and temporary diesel emissions and fugitive dust emissions from equipment during construction are possible. The new pump station will utilize 6 diesel engines and 2 backup diesel generators. The engines will be compliant with EPA Tier II criteria since the production date of the engines was before the EPA changed to Tier III (see engine specifications in Attachment 3). The normal run times for the new diesel engines should be about one pump running 30 minutes-60 minutes per week for "no rainfall event" flows. Run times during storm events would be highly variable depending on rainfall, but would be temporary and occasional.

Please review the attached figures and information concerning the proposed project to determine if the project is consistent with your agency's environmental regulations or policies. Please respond by letter at your earliest convenience. Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated, as your correspondence is necessary to fulfill the NEPA requirements of 33 USC 408 review by the USACE.

Please call me should you have any questions concerning this project or if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely, For Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.

C. Lee Sherrod Vice President

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., *Chairman* Buddy Garcia, *Commissioner* Carlos Rubinstein, *Commissioner* Mark R. Vickery, P.G., *Executive Director* 



# **TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

February 24, 2011

Mr. Lee Sherrod Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. 1507 South IH 35 Austin, TXS 78741

Re: TCEQ Grant and Texas Review and Comment System (TRACS) #2011-087, City of Port Arthur, Jefferson County - Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Alligator Bayou Pump Station No. 16 Expansion

Dear Mr. Sherrod:

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) has reviewed the above-referenced project and offers following comments:

A review of the project for General Conformity impact in accordance with 40 CFR Part 93 and Title 30, Texas Administrative Code § 101.30 indicates that the proposed project is located in Jefferson County, which is currently classified as a maintenance ozone area. Therefore, General Conformity rules apply.

The two criteria pollutants of concern as precursors to ozone formation are volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides ( $NO_x$ ). An increase of 100 tons per year for VOCs or  $NO_x$ , resulting from the proposed project, could trigger general conformity analysis. However, the emissions from the proposed project are expected to be well below the 100 tons per year significance level. Therefore, a General Conformity analysis will not be required.

Although any demolition, construction, rehabilitation or repair project will produce dust and particulate emissions, these actions should pose no significant impact upon air quality standards. Any minimal dust and particulate emissions should be easily controlled by the construction contractors using standard dust mitigation techniques.

We do not anticipate significant long term environmental impacts from this project as long as construction and waste disposal activities are completed in accordance with applicable local, state and federal statutes and regulations. We agree with a finding of no significant impact and have no objection to the release of funds for this project. We recommend that best management practices to control runoff from construction sites be utilized to prevent impact to surface and groundwater.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this project. If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Tangela Niemann at (512) 239-3786 or <u>tangela.niemann@tceq.texas.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Jim Harrison, Director Intergovernmental Relations Division P.O. Box 13087 • Austin, Texas 78711-3087 • 512-239-1000 • www.tceq.state.tx.us



# Environmental Services, Inc.

31 March 2010

Mr. Philip Kelley General Manager Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 P.O. Box 3244 4401 Ninth Ave. Port Arthur, TX 77642

# Re: Alligator Bayou Pump Station Expansion Sediment Sampling Results HJN 060108-WQ

Dear Phil:

Per the condition of the USACE Permit (SWG-2007-00850), the TCEQ 401 Water Quality Certification, and the agreed Alligator Bayou Pump Station Sediment Sampling Protocol (attached), we have conducted sediment sampling in the portions of Alligator and Taylors Bayous that will be dredged for construction of the pump station expansion. On March 11, 2010, we took 3 samples of sediments from each water body as shown on Figure 1 attached. Samples were collected as a composite of sediment from the surface to a reasonable depth of penetration by a hand-held probe (2-4 feet). The samples were immediately placed on ice and transported to the laboratory in Austin for processing. Per the agreed Sampling Protocol, samples were analyzed for RCRA metals, volatile organic compounds (VOC), semi-volatile organic compounds (Semi-VOC), and herbicide/pesticide screen.

The sampling results are summarized in Table 1. The actual laboratory report is provided in Attachment A. All samples had detectable concentrations of RCRA metals, namely arsenic, barium, chromium, lead, and mercury. The Alligator Bayou samples also had detectable concentrations of 4,4'-DDT. None of these are particularly surprising since the region has been in agricultural use for the past century and these are all historically common constituents of agricultural chemicals that can be persistent in soils and sediments. All testing results for VOCs, Semi-VOCs, and all other herbicides/pesticides were below quantification limits.

To determine the proper disposal location and procedures for dredged materials, the agreed Sampling Protocol specified that the sampling results would be compared to the most recent Tier I Texas Risk Reduction Program (TRRP) Protective Concentration Limits (PCL) for residential soils. Table 1 also lists the 2009 TRRP PCL concentrations for the detected contaminants for comparison. In all cases, the detected concentrations were well below the PCLs for every contaminant. In accordance with the agreed Sampling Protocol, the dredged materials from the pump station construction can be

#### **CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS**

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified HUB/DBE/SBE



Phil Kelly HJN 060108 WQ 31 March 2010 Page 2

disposed of on the nearby levee tops utilizing appropriate BMPs for erosion and sedimentation control as specified in the Sampling Protocol.

Please call with any questions.

Sincerely,

NE

C. Lee Sherrod Vice President

c: Allen Sims Susannah Reilly, TCEQ Denise Sloan, USACE Kenny Jaynes, USACE



Phil Kelly HJN 060108 WQ 31 March 2010 Page 3

Table 1: Alligator Bayou Sediment Sampling Results			
Parameter	Result	Units	2009 TRRP-PCL (Res Soil) <sup>1</sup>
Station T-1			
Arsenic	3.73	mg/Kg	24
Barium	105	mg/Kg	8000
Chromium	18.1	mg/Kg	30000
Lead	49.2	mg/Kg	500
Mercury	0.0318	mg/Kg	8.3
All Other Parameters	BQL	0, 0	
Station T-2			
Arsenic	9.82	mg/Kg	24
Barium	52.8	mg/Kg	8000
Chromium	195	mg/Kg	30000
Lead	19.6	mg/Kg	500
All Other Parameters	BOL		500
	DQL		
Station T-3			
Arsenic	14 5	mg/Kg	24
Barium	71.5	mg/Kg	8000
Chromium	21.1	mg/Kg	30000
Lead	16.6	mg/Kg	500
All Other Parameters	BOL		500
	DQL		
Station A-1			
Arsenic	3 47	mg/Kg	24
Barium	132	mg/Kg	8000
Chromium	39.4	mg/Kg	30000
Lead	92.1	mg/Kg	500
Mercury	0.0482	mg/Kg	8.3
4.4'-DDT	15.2	ш <u>я/К</u> я	5.4 E+03
All Other Parameters	BOL	1.610	
	2.42		
Station A-2			
Arsenic	3.31	mg/Kg	24
Barium	108	mg/Kg	8000
Chromium	58	mg/Kg	30000
Lead	92.8	mg/Kg	500
Mercury	0.0508	mg/Kg	8.3
4.4'-DDT	16.2	ша/Ка	5.4 E+03
All Other Parameters	BOL	µ8/ 118	0111100
	2.12		
Station A-3			
Arsenic	2.79	mg/Kg	24
Barium	106	mg/Kg	8000
Chromium	37.5	mg/Kg	30000
Lead	47	mg/Kg	500
Mercury	0,0354	mg/Kg	83
4 4'-DDT	31.8	1116/ 1 <u>1</u> 8 μσ/Κσ	5.5 5.4 F+03
All Other Parameters	BOL	45/ 1 <u>5</u>	5.1 1 05

BQL – Below Quantification Limits

mg/kg - Milligrams per kilogram μg/kg – Micrograms per kilogram <sup>1</sup> TRRP-PCL - 2009 Texas Risk Reduction Program Protective Concentration Limits for Residential Soils

"Do Not Scale This Drawing"

#### 060108A17WQ\_Sampling.dwg | JEA | 3/12/10





Environmental Services, Inc.

# AGREED SEDIMENT SAMPLING PROTOCOL BETWEEN APPLICANT AND THE TCEQ ALLIGATOR BAYOU PUMP STATION SWG-2007-00850

The Applicant and the TCEQ have agreed to this sediment sampling protocol and implementation of BMPs for non-contaminated dredged materials disposal.

The lower segment of Alligator Bayou (Segment 0702A) is designated as impaired with sediment toxicity (2008 Texas 303(d) List). In a previous study of sediment and water chemistry of Alligator Bayou (Parsons, 2003), the following parameters were determined to exceed lowest screening values and could represent concern for toxic effects on aquatic life.

## <u>Metals</u>

Arsenic Barium Cadmium Chromium Copper Lead Nickel Zinc Mercury

# Semi-Volitiles

2-Methylnaphthalene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Chrysene Flouranthene Flourene Phenanthrene Pyrene

# **Pesticides**

# <u>4,4'-DDE</u>

Prior to dredging and disposal of sediments for the proposed pump station expansion, sediment samples will be collected for analysis to include the above parameters from Alligator Bayou and from Taylors Bayou within the footprint of the proposed pump station. Three composite grab samples will be collected with a core sampling devise to a depth of 4 feet below sediment

#### CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified HUB/DBE/SBE



surface from each water body within the footprint of the proposed pump station. Each sample will be composited from the 4 ft column. The samples will be immediately taken for laboratory analysis. Samples will be analyzed for RCRA metals, VOCs, Semi-VOCs, and herbicide/pesticide screen, including the above parameters previously determined to be present in Alligator Bayou sediments.

If contaminants in sediments do not exceed TRRP Tier 1 PCL levels for residential soils, dredged materials will be placed on the adjacent hurricane levees using appropriate BMPs to control runoff and sedimentation. The typical method of adding wet dredged materials to the top of existing levees involves the initial excavation of a trench on the top of the levee, then placement of the wet materials in the trench. Little or no runoff results from this method. Silt fence or hay bales may also be placed along the top edges of the levee to control any runoff that might occur during precipitation events before revegetation is complete. Dredged materials will be revegetated for permanent stabilization.

If dredged materials are determined in accordance with the sampling protocols to contain contaminants that exceed TRRP Tier 1 PCL levels for residential soils, those dredged materials will either be remediated to acceptable TRRP levels and disposed of in nearby dredged material disposal cells operated by the Sabine Neches Navigation District or will be taken to a State-permitted, land-based hazardous materials disposal site based on contaminant classification in accordance with 30 TAC 335 Subchapter R 335.501-508.

#### References:

Parsons. 2003. Assessment of the Presence and Causes of Ambient Water Toxicity in Alligator Bayou, Segment 0702A. Prepared for the TMDL Program, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.

# **APPENDIX A.4**

# **TEXAS GENERAL LAND OFFICE**



## Environmental Services, Inc.

24 April 2007

Tammy S. Brooks Program Specialist, Coastal Coordination Texas General Land Office P. O. Box 12873 Austin, Texas 78711-2873

#### RE: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Addition of Pumping Capacity to Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 Jefferson County, Texas HJN 060108 EA

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) is proposing improvements to its Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 (PS 16) in order to achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre drainage area flowing to PS 16. The proposed improvements will consist of the construction of a low-flow pump station on the bank of Alligator Bayou opposite PS 16. Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Horizon) has been contracted by DD7 to secure all applicable environmental clearances for the project.

The DD7-proposed project is located approximately 2 miles southwest of Port Arthur; more precisely, the proposed addition to PS 16 is located at north latitude 29.8626 and west longitude 93.9872 on Alligator Bayou. Alligator Bayou is now separated from Taylors Bayou by a hurricane protection levy and only communicates with Taylors Bayou via pumping. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur. The canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico.

Appendix 1 contains maps depicting the locations of the existing pump station and proposed pump station (Figure 1), a color infrared aerial view of the project area (Figure 2), and the project area on the FEMA floodplain map of the area (Figure 3). Note that the proposed pump station site is situated on the dividing line between the 500-year floodplain and the 100-year floodplain (the hurricane protection levee). On-site photographs are provided in Appendix 2.

One of the main components of the DD7 system, PS 16 serves approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 24,083 acres, which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these communities. In turn, PS 16 pumps water out of the contained system into Taylors Bayou. However, more flow is actually generated within the main outfall system than PS 16 can remove in a peak flow situation or major storm event. The proposed additional pump station will add 1 million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.6 million gpm capacity at PS 16. The proposed project will achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre DD7 drainage area by allowing PS 16 to pump water from the surrounding drainages within the contained drainage basin into Taylors Bayou more quickly, thereby reducing flooding incidents.

#### **CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS**

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified WBE/DBE/HUB



The proposed improvements to PS 16 would not only maintain continuous low flow, but would provide backup capacity in the event that one or more of the existing pumps should become non-operational. This much-needed project will benefit the entire drainage area and has a cost/benefit ratio of 4.00. The proposed action is badly needed to reduce flooding of homes and roads in the area.

Please review the attached figures and information concerning the proposed project to determine if the project is consistent with your agency's environmental regulations or policies. Please respond by letter or sign and date this letter below as your concurrence and return a signed copy. Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated, as your signed concurrence letter is necessary to complete the application for a Flood Mitigation Assistance Program Grant with the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Please call me should you have any questions concerning this project or if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

For Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.

Amearer ( . M amp,

James M. Wiersema Vice President

Concurrence / Date


# **Coastal Coordination Council**

P.O. Box 12873 • Austin, Texas 78711-2873 • (800) 998-4GLO • FAX (512) 475-0680

Chairman

Jerry Patterson Texas Land Commissioner

•

# Members

Karen Hixon Parks & Wildlife Commission of Texas

Jose Dodier Texas State Soil & Water Conservation Board

Edward G. Vaughan Texas Water Development Board

**Ned Holmes** Texas Transportation Commission

**Elizabeth Jones** Railroad Commission of Texas

**Robert "Bob" Jones** Coastal Resident Representative

James R. Matz Coastal Business Representative

> George Deshotels Coastal Government Representative

**Robert R. Stickney** Sea Grant College Program

John L. Sullivan Agriculture Representative

**Buddy Garcia** Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

•

Ben Rhame Council Secretary

Jesse Solis, Jr. Permit Service Center Corpus Christi 1-866-894-3578

Permit Service Center Galveston 1-866-894-7664 June 24, 2008

Mr. Lee Sherrod Horizon Environmental Services Inc 1507 South IH 35 Austin Texas 78741

# Re: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Addition of Pumping Capacity to Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16, Jefferson County, Texas - HJN 060108 EA

Dear Mr. Sherrod:

Based on information provided to the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) on the above project, it has been determined that it will likely not have adverse impacts on coastal natural resource areas in the coastal zone and is consistent with the goals and policies of the CMP.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (512) 463-9212 or at tammy.brooks@glo.state.tx.us.

Sincerely,

annung Sue Mon

Tammy S. Brooks Consistency Review Coordinator Texas General Land Office

# US FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE



# Environmental Services, Inc.

24 April 2007

Ms. Catherine Yeargan US Fish and Wildlife Service Ecological Services Field Office – Clear Lake 17629 El Camino Real, Suite 211 Houston, Texas 77058-3051

# RE: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Addition of Pumping Capacity to Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 Jefferson County, Texas HJN 060108 EA

Dear Ms. Yeargan:

Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) is proposing improvements to its Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 (PS 16) in order to achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre drainage area flowing to PS 16. The proposed improvements will consist of the construction of a low-flow pump station on the bank of Alligator Bayou opposite PS 16. Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Horizon) has been contracted by DD7 to secure all applicable environmental clearances for the project.

The DD7-proposed project is located approximately 2 miles southwest of Port Arthur; more precisely, the proposed addition to PS 16 is located at north latitude 29.8626 and west longitude 93.9872 on Alligator Bayou. Alligator Bayou is now separated from Taylors Bayou by a hurricane protection levy and only communicates with Taylors Bayou via pumping. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur. The canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico.

Appendix 1 contains maps depicting the locations of the existing pump station and proposed pump station (Figure 1), a color infrared aerial view of the project area (Figure 2), and the project area on the FEMA floodplain map of the area (Figure 3). Note that the proposed pump station site is situated on the dividing line between the 500-year floodplain and the 100-year floodplain (the hurricane protection levee). On-site photographs are provided in Appendix 2.

One of the main components of the DD7 system, PS 16 serves approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 24,083 acres, which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these communities. In turn, PS 16 pumps water out of the contained system into Taylors Bayou. However, more flow is actually generated within the main outfall system than PS 16 can remove in a peak flow situation or major storm event. The proposed additional pump station will add 1 million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.6 million gpm capacity at PS 16. The proposed project will achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre DD7 drainage area by allowing PS 16 to pump water from the surrounding drainages within the contained drainage basin into Taylors Bayou more quickly, thereby reducing flooding incidents.

## **CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS**

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified WBE/DBE/HUB



The proposed improvements to PS 16 would not only maintain continuous low flow, but would provide backup capacity in the event that one or more of the existing pumps should become non-operational. This much-needed project will benefit the entire drainage area and has a cost/benefit ratio of 4.00. The proposed action is badly needed to reduce flooding of homes and roads in the area.

Federally listed threatened or endangered (T/E) species known to occur in Jefferson County include piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*), green sea turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), Atlantic hawksbill sea turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*), leatherback sea turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*), and loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) (USFWS, 2006). Additionally, the USFWS lists the following migratory bird species as being of potential occurrence in many or all Texas counties: Eskimo curlew (*Numenius borealis*), interior least tern (*Sterna antillarum athalossos*), and whooping crane (*Grus americana*).

The project area is described as a dredged canal with uplands on both sides and a narrow fringe of wetland vegetation along the edges of the canal. Dominant plant species along the banks include sugarberry (*Celtis laevigata*), giant reed (*Arundo donax*), St. Augustine grass (*Stenotaphrum secundatum*), bedstraw (*Gallium uncinulatum*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), and dewberry (*Rubus trivialis*). A narrow fringe of wetland vegetation is present along the upland canal that includes spikerush (*Eleocharis* sp.), primrose willow (*Ludwigia decurrens*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), sedge (*Carex* sp.), and marshhay cordgrass (*Spartina patens*).

Horizon observed no federally listed T/E species or potential habitats on or within the immediate vicinity of the project area. Currently, we are seeking data concerning T/E species on the project site. TPWD data indicate no known occurrences of T/E species on the project site (TPWD, 2007). We are requesting that you notify us if your database indicates any occurrences of T/E species on the project site. Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated, as your response is important in completing the application for a Flood Mitigation Assistance Program Grant from the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Horizon is currently preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) for this project, which will be released for agency and public comment in the near future. The EA comment response period will afford the USFWS an opportunity to comment on potential impacts or other concerns.

Please call me should you have any questions concerning this project or if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

For Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.

Amearic(N.M amp,

James M. Wiersema Vice President



Ms. Catherine Yeargan HJN 060108 EA 24 April 2007 Page 3

# **References:**

(TPWD) Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. Natural Diversity Database, T/E and Rare Species Elemental Occurrences. Wildlife Division, Habitat Assessment Program, Austin, Texas. 4 April 2007.

(USFWS) Endangered Species List web site, <u>http://ifw2es.fws.gov/EndangeredSpecies/</u> Lists/ListSpecies.cfm. Accessed 7 August 2006.

# **Ashley Caldwell**

From:Jim WiersemaSent:Wednesday, May 23, 2007 1:04 PMTo:Ashley CaldwellCc:Lee SherrodSubject:USFWS consultation on HJN 060108

Ashley,

Yesterday, May 22, 2007 I was contacted via phone by Cathy Nemec of the US Fish and Wildlife Service's Houston, Texas office in response to our coordination letter seeking threatened or endangered sitings in the vicinity of the proposed Alligator Bayou Pump Station No. 16 expansion project site. Ms. Nemec informed me that the Service was not aware of any sitings of threatened or endangered species in the area of the project site. She indicated that no further consultation on this issue is required at this time. She made the point that the service does not make" no effect" rulings regarding projects. I pointed out to her that the Service , other interested agencies and the public would soon have access to the Environmental Assessment for the project for their review and comment.

Please insert this email into the project file and into the appropriate EA Appendix for USFWS coordination letters. Ms. Nemec indicated that this would be an acceptable means of recording our coordination since the Service is providing verbal and not written responses.

Jim Wiersema Vice-President/Partner Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. Office: 512.328.2430 Fax: 512.328.1804 www.horizon-esi.com

We've Moved!! Our new office address is:

1507 South IH 35 Austin, Texas 78741



# **United States Department of the Interior**

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Division of Ecological Services 17629 El Camino Real #211 Houston, Texas 77058-3051



November 2010

Thank you for your request for threatened and endangered species information in the Clear Lake Ecological Services Field Office's area of responsibility. According to Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act and the implementing regulations, it is the responsibility of each Federal agency to ensure that any action they authorize, fund, or carry out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any federally listed species.

Please note that while a Federal agency may designate a non-Federal representative to conduct informal consultation or prepare a biological assessment, the Federal agency must notify the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) in writing of such designation. The Federal agency shall also independently review and evaluate the scope and contents of a biological assessment prepared by their designated non-Federal representative before that document is submitted to the Service.

A county by county listing of federally listed threatened and endangered species that occur within this office's work area can be found at

<u>http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/EndangeredSpecies/lists/default.cfm</u>. You should use the county by county listing and other current species information to determine whether suitable habitat for a listed species is present at your project site. If suitable habitat is present, a qualified individual should conduct surveys to determine whether a listed species is present.

After completing a habitat evaluation and/or any necessary surveys, you should evaluate the project for potential effects to listed species and make one of the following determinations:

- No effect the proposed action will not affect federally listed species or critical habitat (i.e., suitable habitat for the species occurring in the project county is not present in or adjacent to the action area). No coordination or contact with the Service is necessary. However, if the project changes or additional information on the distribution of listed or proposed species becomes available, the project should be reanalyzed for effects not previously considered.
- Is not likely to adversely affect the project may affect listed species and/or critical habitat; however, the effects are expected to be discountable, insignificant, or completely beneficial. Certain avoidance and minimization measures may need to be implemented in order to reach this level of effects. The Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative should seek written concurrence from the Service that adverse effects have been eliminated. Be sure to include all of the information and documentation used to reach your decision with your request for concurrence. The Service must have this documentation before issuing a concurrence.



Threatened and Endangered Species Information November 2010 Page 2

• Is likely to adversely affect – adverse effects to listed species may occur as a direct or indirect result of the proposed action or its interrelated or interdependent actions, and the effect is not discountable, insignificant, or beneficial. If the overall effect of the proposed action is beneficial to the listed species but also is likely to cause some adverse effects to individuals of that species, then the proposed action "is likely to adversely affect" the listed species. An "is likely to adversely affect" determination requires the Federal action agency to initiate formal Section 7 consultation with this office.

Regardless of your determination, the Service recommends that you maintain a complete record of the evaluation, including steps leading to the determination of affect, the qualified personnel conducting the evaluation, habitat conditions, site photographs, and any other related articles.

The Service's Consultation Handbook is available online to assist you with further information on definitions, process, and fulfilling Endangered Species Act requirements for your projects at <a href="http://endangered.fws.gov/consultations/s7hndbk.htm">http://endangered.fws.gov/consultations/s7hndbk.htm</a>.

If we can further assist you in understanding a federal agency's obligations under the Endangered Species Act, please contact Donna Anderson, Moni Belton, Kelsey Gocke, David Hoth, Charrish Stevens, or Arturo Vale at 281/286-8282.

Sincerely,

Edith Effing

Edith Erfling Field Supervisor, Clear Lake Field Office

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE



# Environmental Services, Inc.

24 April 2007

Heather Young National Marine Fisheries Service 4700 Avenue U Galveston, Texas 77551

# RE: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Addition of Pumping Capacity to Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 Jefferson County, Texas HJN 060108 EA

Dear Ms. Young:

Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) is proposing improvements to its Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 (PS 16) in order to achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre drainage area flowing to PS 16. The proposed improvements will consist of the construction of a low-flow pump station on the bank of Alligator Bayou opposite PS 16. Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Horizon) has been contracted by DD7 to secure all applicable environmental clearances for the project.

The DD7-proposed project is located approximately 2 miles southwest of Port Arthur; more precisely, the proposed addition to PS 16 is located at north latitude 29.8626 and west longitude 93.9872 on Alligator Bayou. Alligator Bayou is now separated from Taylors Bayou by a hurricane protection levy and only communicates with Taylors Bayou via pumping. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur. The canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico.

Appendix 1 contains maps depicting the locations of the existing pump station and proposed pump station (Figure 1), a color infrared aerial view of the project area (Figure 2), and the project area on the FEMA floodplain map of the area (Figure 3). Note that the proposed pump station site is situated on the dividing line between the 500-year floodplain and the 100-year floodplain (the hurricane protection levee). On-site photographs are provided in Appendix 2.

One of the main components of the DD7 system, PS 16 serves approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 24,083 acres, which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these communities. In turn, PS 16 pumps water out of the contained system into Taylors Bayou. However, more flow is actually generated within the main outfall system than PS 16 can remove in a peak flow situation or major storm event. The proposed additional pump station will add 1 million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.6 million gpm capacity at PS 16. The proposed project will achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre DD7 drainage area by allowing PS 16 to pump water from the surrounding drainages within the contained drainage basin into Taylors Bayou more quickly, thereby reducing flooding incidents.

## **CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS**

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified WBE/DBE/HUB



Ms. Heather Young HJN 060108 EA 24 April 2007 Page 2

The proposed improvements to PS 16 would not only maintain continuous low flow, but would provide backup capacity in the event that one or more of the existing pumps should become non-operational. This much-needed project will benefit the entire drainage area and has a cost/benefit ratio of 4.00. The proposed action is badly needed to reduce flooding of homes and roads in the area.

The area downstream of the proposed structure is estuarine in nature. A such, essential fish habitat for the red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus), white shrimp (Litopenaeus setiferus), and brown shrimp (Farfantepenaeus aztecus), all species with management plans, will be present. The additional pumping capacity at PS 16 will allow for the more effective transfer of freshwater runoff through Taylors Bayou into the Sabine-Neches Canal and to the Gulf of Mexico while protecting the 24.083 acres drained above the pump station from flooding. The new capacity will also assure a continuous low flow of water through the estuarine area during low-flow periods, which should improve the water quality in that area. We seek your concurrence that the proposed project will essentially maintain the status quo and not present an adverse impact when considered under the aegis of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Management and Conservation Act.

Please review the attached figures and information concerning the proposed project and respond by letter or sign and date this letter below as your concurrence and return a signed copy. Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated, as your signed concurrence letter is necessary to complete the application for a Flood Mitigation Assistance Program Grant with the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Please call me should you have any questions concerning this project or if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

For Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.

ameaner M. M amp

James M. Wiersema Vice President

05/01/2007

Rushy Swaffond Team Lender

Habitat Conservation Division

# TEXAS PARKS AND WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT



# Environmental Services, Inc.

2 April 2007

Ms. Dorinda Scott Texas Parks and Wildlife Department 4200 Smith School Road Austin, Texas 78742

# RE: Proposed Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Project: Addition of Pumping Capacity to Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 Jefferson County, Texas HJN 060108 EA

Dear Ms. Scott:

Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) is proposing improvements to its Alligator Bayou Pumping Station No. 16 (PS 16) in order to achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083acre drainage area flowing to PS 16. The proposed improvements will consist of the construction of a low-flow pump station on the bank of Alligator Bayou opposite PS 16. Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Horizon) has been contracted by DD7 to secure all applicable environmental clearances for the project.

The DD7-proposed project is located approximately 2 miles southwest of Port Arthur; more precisely, the proposed addition to PS 16 is located at north latitude 29.8626 and west longitude 93.9872 on Alligator Bayou. Alligator Bayou is now separated from Taylors Bayou by a hurricane protection levy and only communicates with Taylors Bayou via pumping. Taylors Bayou ultimately flows into the Sabine-Neches Canal (tidal portion) below Port Arthur. The canal flows south through Sabine Pass, where it enters the Gulf of Mexico.

Appendix 1 contains maps depicting the locations of the existing pump station and proposed pump station (Figure 1), a color infrared aerial view of the project area (Figure 2), and the project area on the FEMA floodplain map of the area (Figure 3). Note that the proposed pump station site is situated on the dividing line between the 500-year floodplain and the 100-year floodplain (the hurricane protection levee). On-site photographs are provided in Appendix 2.

One of the main components of the DD7 system, PS 16 serves approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 24,083 acres, which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these communities. In turn, PS 16 pumps water out of the contained system into Taylors Bayou. However, more flow is actually generated within the main outfall system than PS 16 can remove in a peak flow situation or major storm event. The proposed additional pump station will add 1 million gallons per minute (gpm) of pumping capacity to the existing 2.6 million gpm capacity at PS 16. The proposed project will achieve relief of shallow flooding within the 24,083-acre DD7 drainage area by allowing PS 16 to pump water from the surrounding drainages within the contained drainage basin into Taylors Bayou more quickly, thereby reducing flooding incidents. The proposed improvements to PS 16 would not only maintain continuous low flow, but would

## **CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS**

1507 South IH 35 ★ Austin, Texas 78741 ★ 512.328.2430 ★ Fax 512.328.1804 ★ www.horizon-esi.com Certified WBE/DBE/HUB



provide backup capacity in the event that one or more of the existing pumps should become non-operational. This much-needed project will benefit the entire drainage area and has a cost/benefit ratio of 4.00. The proposed action is badly needed to reduce flooding of homes and roads in the area.

Federally listed threatened or endangered (T/E) species known to occur in Jefferson County include piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*), green sea turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), Atlantic hawksbill sea turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempil*), leatherback sea turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*), and loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) (USFWS, 2006). Additionally, the USFWS lists the following migratory bird species as being of potential occurrence in many or all Texas counties: Eskimo curlew (*Numenius borealis*), interior least tern (*Sterna antillarum athalossos*), and whooping crane (*Grus americana*).

The project area is described as a dredged canal with uplands on both sides and a narrow fringe of wetland vegetation along the edges of the canal. Dominant plant species along the banks include sugarberry (*Celtis laevigata*), giant reed (*Arundo donax*), St. Augustine grass (*Stenotaphrum secundatum*), bedstraw (*Gallium uncinulatum*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), and dewberry (*Rubus trivialis*). A narrow fringe of wetland vegetation is present along the upland canal that includes spikerush (*Eleocharis* sp.), primrose willow (*Ludwigia decurrens*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), sedge (*Carex* sp.), and marshhay cordgrass (*Spartina patens*).

Horizon observed no federally listed T/E species or potential habitats on or within the immediate vicinity of the project area. Currently, we are seeking data concerning T/E species on the project site. We are requesting that you notify us if your database indicates any occurrences of T/E species on the project site. Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated, as your response is important in completing the application for a Flood Mitigation Assistance Program Grant from the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Horizon is currently preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) for this project, which will be released for agency and public comment in the near future. The EA comment response period will afford the TPWD an opportunity to comment on potential impacts or other concerns.

Please call me should you have any questions concerning this project or if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely, For Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.

Amearie ( . M and,

James M. Wiersema Vice President



Ms. Dorinda Scott HJN 060108 EA 2 April 2007 Page 3

# **References:**

(USFWS) Endangered Species List web site, <u>http://ifw2es.fws.gov/EndangeredSpecies/</u> Lists/ListSpecies.cfm. Accessed 7 August 2006.



# TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION



3

# Environmental Services, Inc.

20 October 2006

Mr. Ed Baker Texas Historical Commission P.O. Box 12276 Austin, Texas 78711-2276 RECEIVED OCT 2 4 2000

Texas Historical Commission

RE: Initial Consultation Proposed Flood Mitigation Project (Alligator Bayou Pump Station Improvements) Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Jefferson County, Texas Texas Antiquities Code / Section 106 (USACE) HJN 060108 EA

Dear Mr. Baker:

The Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) is proposing the renovation of the Alligator Bayou Pump Station that was damaged last year by Hurricane Rita (maps enclosed). DD7's proposed renovations consist of the addition of a second pump station on the opposite (east) side of Alligator Bayou from an existing pump station. The Area of Potential Effect (APE) of the proposed additional pump station will consist of a 100 ft (30.5 m) by 300 ft (91.5 m) pad within a previously disturbed area that encompasses a portion of the existing levee and a concrete road that leads to the levee. The Alligator Bayou pump station and levee plays a role in the overall drainage of Jefferson County. As the project is for DD7, it falls under the regulations of the Texas Antiquities Code. Additionally, the project also falls under the regulations of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended, since it will require a Section 404 permit issued by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). DD7 has contracted with Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Horizon) to obtain the necessary environmental clearances for the project.

# Archival Review

Archival research conducted via the Internet at the Texas Historical Commission's (THC's) *Texas Archeological Sites Atlas* web site indicated no recorded archeological sites, historic structures, or cemeteries within a 0.6 mile (1 km) radius of the proposed pump station improvements. The Atlas did show that the area due south of the location of the pump station was previously surveyed in 1972 for the USACE with negative results.

Soils

The soils within the APE are classified as Neel-Urban land complex (NuC), 2 to 5 percent slopes, rarely flooded, tidal. Neel series soils are described as clayey soils that have been

## CORPORATE OFFICE

P.O. Box 162017 \* Austin, Texas 78716 \* 2600 Dellana Lane, Suite 200 \* Austin, Texas 78746 512.328.2430 \* FAX 512.328.1804 \* www.horizon-esi.com *Quality Service Throughout the Nation* 



dredged from bays and marshes. Furthermore, they are described as mixed soils that have been randomly deposited by draglines and dredging.

# **Discussion and Recommendations**

The area containing the existing pump station consists of heavily impacted areas resulting from the initial construction of the pump station, levee, and road. In addition to the impacts from the pump station/levee construction, the soil within the APE of the proposed pump station improvements consists of fill material used to build up the area for earlier construction. Overall, the impacts to the area from the initial construction of the existing pump station and levee are substantial enough to suggest little or no potential for significant cultural deposits. More importantly, the fact that the soil within the APE consists entirely of dredge materials also suggests a low potential for significant cultural deposits.

Based on the facts that the area is heavily disturbed and consists entirely of dredge deposits, it is Horizon's that DD7's proposed Alligator Bayou Pump Station Improvement project will have no effect on significant cultural resources. Horizon therefore recommends that no formal cultural resources investigations are warranted and that cultural resources clearance be granted for the undertaking.

On behalf of DD7, Horizon is requesting documented consultation with your office in compliance with the Texas Antiquities Code and Section 106 of the NHPA. Should you concur with Horizon's recommendations, please sign below and return. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (512) 328-2430.

Sincerely,

Hun Brownlow

Russ Brownlow, MA, RPA Principal / Cultural Resources Director Horizon Environmental Services, Inc.

NO HISTORIC	
PROJECT MAY PROCEED	
By_ Alullion a. Atom	>
for F. Lawerence Oaks	
Date Historic Preservation Officer	
Track#	

1

Concurrence

Date

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

U. S. Department of Homeland Security FEMA Region VI 800 N Loop 288 Denton, TX 76209



June 25, 2008

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Mark Price

FROM:

Donald R. Fairley, REM

SUBJECT:

HMGP DR-1606-TX, Project #32, Drainage Improvements-Alligator Bayou, Jefferson County, Texas, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The purpose of this memorandum is to notify you that the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) project for Drainage Improvements at Alligator Bayou, Jefferson County, Texas has been cleared under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The Code of Federal Regulations, 44 CFR Part 10, requires that the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) take into account environmental considerations when authorizing or approving major actions. Clearance of this project required an Environmental Assessment (EA). Based on the findings of the attached EA, coordination with the appropriate agencies, comments from the public and applicant adherence to the project conditions set forth in the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), FEMA has determined that the proposed project qualifies as a major federal action that will not significantly affect the quality of the natural and human environment, nor does it have the potential for significant cumulative effects. As a result of the FONSI, an EIS will not be prepared (44 CFR Part 10.9) and upon publication of the Notice of Availability of the Final EA and FONSI in the newspaper of record, the project may proceed.

Please ensure the applicant does not begin construction until 15 days after the NOA of the Final EA and FONSI and the final public notice for work in the floodplain in accordance with Executive Orders 11988 and 11990 and 44 CFR Part 9.12 has been published. Please provide this office with a copy of the final public notice and tear sheet from the newspaper. Also the applicant must not begin earth disturbing activities until the final Section 404 of the Clean Water Act permit is received from the US army Corps of Engineers as required by the FONSI. Failure to comply with the conditions listed in the FONSI may jeopardize Federal Funds.

Please note that this transmittal is a notification that this project has been cleared under NEPA only. This is not a notice of final approval or eligibility. Any change beyond the approved scope of work for this project will require additional environmental review by FEMA.

cc: Kathy Reimer, Hazard Mitigation Specialist Connie Dill, Hazard Mitigation Specialist

Enclosure: Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)

# FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

## FOR

# JEFFERSON COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 7 ALLIGATOR BAYOU PUMP STATION EXPANSION PROJECT HAZARD MITIGATION GRANT PROGRAM

## BEAUMONT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS

#### BACKGROUND

The Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 (DD7) has applied to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), through the Texas Department of Public Safety, Governor's Division of Emergency Management, for assistance with the construction of an additional low-flow pump station on the bank of Alligator Bayou opposite the existing Pump Station #16 (PS 16) at the outfall of Alligator Bayou into Taylors Bayou in Port Arthur, Texas in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Council for Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing regulations of NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500 - 1508), the National Historic Preservation Act, and the implementing regulations of FEMA (44 CFR Parts 9 and 10). The drainage area flowing to PS 16 is estimated to be 24,083 acres, which includes Main A, Main B, Main C, West Port Arthur Road, Pear Ridge, Central, El Vista, Vista Village, and Montrose drainage areas contained within the hurricane protection levees for these communities. Pump Station #16 is one of the main components of the DD7 system, serving approximately 90,000 residents in the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county. FEMA is proposing to provide assistance for this project through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program.

In accordance with 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for FEMA, Subpart B – Agency Implementing Procedures, Part 10.9, an Environmental Assessment (EA) was prepared pursuant to Section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as implemented by the regulations promulgated by the President's Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR Parts 1500 – 1508). The purpose of the EA was to analyze the potential environmental impacts of the proposed floodwater management structures in the City of Port Arthur, Jefferson County, Texas, and to determine whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

In the EA process, FEMA considered two alternatives: (1) No Action; and, (2) The Proposed Action, the construction of an additional pump station.

Finding of No Significant Impact 1 Alligator Bayou Pump Station Expansion Hazard Mitigation Project Beaumont, Jefferson County, Texas

In response to the risk to human health and safety associated with the occurrence of flooding in the Alligator Bayou watershed, Alternative 2, the Proposed Action, has been selected based on the needs of the population within the cities of Port Arthur, Port Neches, Groves, Nederland, and unincorporated areas of the county to reduce the flood hazard potential within the Alligator Bayou watershed and mitigate the impacts of flooding to the surrounding homes.

A legal notice was posted in two local newspapers, *The Beaumont Enterprise* and *The Port Arthur News*, on March 9, 2008 announcing the availability of the Draft Environmental Assessment for public review at the Beaumont Public Library, at the offices of the Jefferson County Drainage District #7 and at the offices of Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Austin, TX). No public comments were received during the 30-day public comment period which began March 9 and ended April 9.

# FINDINGS

Based upon the conditions and information contained in the EA for the Alligator Bayou Pump Station Expansion Hazard Mitigation Project (document dated April 2007) and in accordance with FEMA's regulations in 44 CFR Part 10 (Environmental Considerations) and Executive Orders 11988 (Floodplain Management), 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), and 12898 (Environmental Justice), FEMA has made the following determinations:

The proposed project, as described in the EA, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to existing land use, water resources (surface water, groundwater, wetlands, waters of the United States, and floodplains), air quality, noise, biological resources (vegetation, fish and wildlife, state-and federally listed threatened or endangered species and critical habitats), safety issues, hazardous materials and waste, and cultural resources, or result in disproportionately high or adverse effects on minority or low-income populations. The proposed action is also in compliance with all relevant federal, state, and local laws.

## CONDITIONS

The following conditions and all other conditions identified in the EA must be met as part of this project. Failure to comply with these conditions may jeopardize federal funds:

 In accordance with 44 CFR, Part 65.3 – Identification and Mapping of Special Hazard Areas, if floodplain boundaries will be changed as a result of the project, the applicant will submit to FEMA a Letter of Map

Revision (LOMR) not later than six month after the date such information becomes available.

- 2. Permit No. SWG-2007-850 must be received from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Galveston District for the proposed improvements in waters of the US prior to any ground disturbing activities associated with this project.
- 3. For haul routes and staging areas, priority will be given to nonresidential haul routes and previously developed areas to minimize disturbance to the residents in the project area, schools, and existing trees.
- 4. Excavated materials will not be stockpiled or disposed of in jurisdictional waters of the U.S., including wetlands, or in designated floodplain areas, unless the appropriate federal, state, and local permits are secured.
- 5. Following construction activities, exposed soils will be vegetated with native species to prevent future soil erosion.
- 6. Dust control measures such as water suppression and engineered controls (e.g., using mesh covers) will be utilized during construction to control dust emissions resulting from the loading and transportation of debris by trucks.
- 7. To reduce the potential impact on trees located outside the construction Right-of-Way (ROW), the applicant will establish temporary fences around the drip lines of the affected trees to prevent the encroachment of personnel and construction equipment on the root systems.

## CONCLUSIONS

00/20/2000 00.00 PAA

0164670970

Based on the findings of the attached EA, coordination with the appropriate agencies and adherence to the project conditions set forth in the EA and this FONSI, FEMA has determined that the proposed project qualifies as a minor federal action that will not significantly affect the quality of the natural and human environment. As a result of this FONSI, an EIS will not be prepared (44 CFR, Part 10.8) and the proposed project as described in the attached EA may proceed.

Finding of No Significant Impact 3 Alligator Bayou Pump Station Expansion Hazard Mitigation Project Beaumont, Jefferson County, Texas

# APPROVAL

Donald R. Fairley, REM Regional Environmental Officer FEMA Region VI

William Peterson Regional Administrator FEMA Region VI

6/25/2008 Date:

Date: <u>4/25/2008</u>

Finding of No Significant Impact 4 Alligator Bayou Pump Station Expansion Hazard Mitigation Project Beaumont, Jefferson County, Texas

# APPENDIX A AGENCY LETTER ATTACHMENTS

AGENCY LETTER

ATTACHMENT A

**PROJECT FIGURES** 



<u>Horizon</u> Environmental Services, Inc

AND TAYLORS BAYOU PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS



# 0 250 500 Feet Feet

# FIGURE 2

2001 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH ADDITION OF PUMPING CAPACITY TO ALLIGATOR BAYOU PUMP STATION #16 CONFLUENCE OF ALLIGATOR BAYOU AND TAYLORS BAYOU PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS



060108/060108A04fema.mxd | CNL | 12-27-2006

Environmental Services, Inc

AGENCY LETTER

ATTACHMENT B

**PROJECT AREA PHOTOGRAPHS** 



PHOTO 1 East bank of Alligator Bayou, north of PS 16, facing north



PHOTO 2 View of PS 16 from east bank of Alligator Bayou, facing west





PHOTO 3 Location of proposed pump station in foreground, facing west



PHOTO 4 East bank of Alligator Bayou, intake side of PS 16, facing south (material in foreground to be removed)

