TEXAS
PARKS &
WILDLIFE

Life's better outside.®

Commissioners

Peter M. Holt
Chairman
San Antonio

T. Dan Friedkin
Vice-Chairman
Houston

Mark E. Bivins
Amarillo

Ralph H. Duggins
Fort Worth

Antonio Falcon, M.D.
Rio Grande City

Karen J. Hixon
San Antonio

Dan Allen Hughes, Jr.
Beeville

Margaret Martin
Boerne

S. Reed Morian
Houston

Lee M. Bass
Chairman-Emeritus
Fort Worth

Carter P. Smith
Executive Director

January 28, 2011

Ms. Janelle Stokes
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Galveston District
P.O. Box 1229
Galveston, Texas 77553-1229

TCEQ 401 Coordinator
Mail Code 150
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 73711-3087

Re: Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Proposed Freeport Harbor Channel Improvement Project

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) has reviewed the DEIS for the proposed Freeport Harbor Channel Improvement Project (FHCIP). TPWD has participated in two site visits to the proposed project area and in a letter dated October 6, 2005 provided comments regarding the functions and values of the habitat, made recommendations regarding the beneficial use (BU) of the dredge material, and the use of two potential disposal areas for the placement of dredge material. Additionally, in a letter dated February 22, 2008 TPWD provided comments regarding the document entitled Freeport Harbor Channel Improvement Project- Environmental Mitigation for Habitat Impacts on Proposed Placement Areas 8 & 9 dated November 15, 2007.

In various locations of the DEIS it states, "Coordination with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) regarding these [vegetation and wetlands] impacts has resulted in proposed mitigation that includes creation and maintenance of forested habitat and creation of wetland areas adjacent to impact areas." While this statement is correct, the coordination did not result in a mitigation plan that adequately compensates for the proposed project's impacts to habitat.

Appendix H-1, Mitigation and HEP/Cost Analysis Report located in Volume II of the DEIS, does acknowledged that "agencies [USFWS and TPWD] made a number of recommendations we [the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers] could not concur with for project mitigation." Particularly, "TPWD requested preservations in perpetuity of a 5-acre ephemeral wetland swale located between PA 8 and SH 36 as a mitigation feature. However, the Port does not wish to make this property available for project mitigation. The resource agencies also requested mitigation for the 358 acres of pasture impacted by PA's 8 and 9. The agencies classify these pastures as wet-coastal prairie. We [the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers] do not concur with this classification. Although the land may have at one time been coastal prairie, it is now degraded grassland primarily consisting of non-native pasture grasses limited of wildlife habitat value that does not merit mitigation."

As stated from our letter dated February 22, 2008, "TPWD disagrees with the Corps classification of the habitat present at the proposed disposal areas and their assessment of it as not significant. The Service also considers this habitat as wet 1

4200 SMITH SCHOOL ROAD
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78744-3291
512.389.4800
www.tpwd.state.tx.us

To manage and conserve the natural and cultural resources of Texas and to provide hunting, fishing and outdoor recreation opportunities for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations.

coastal prairie and provided a species list of vegetation and wildlife observed to support this classification in their PAL [Planning Aid Letter] dated April 5, 2007. In the same letter the Service describes coastal prairie as a valuable and declining wildlife resource." The information (species list of vegetation and wildlife observed) provided in the PAL contradicts the Corps classification of the habitat present at the proposed disposal areas.

The DEIS states, "Mitigation refers to the avoidance, minimization, and rectification, reduction, or compensation of impacts resulting from implementation of an action. For the proposed FHCIP, the majority of the potential project-related impacts were avoided. Thus, mitigation would be required only for impacts to forested and wetland habitat at the proposed new upland PA's." It is unclear how or why the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) determined that "the majority of the potential project-related impacts were avoided." Even though the Corps does not concur with the USFWS and TPWD regarding the habitat value of the wet coastal prairie that is proposed to be impacted by PA 8 and 9, the proposed project will still impact 358 acres of habitat and does merit mitigation (the avoidance, minimization, and rectification, reduction, or compensation of impacts resulting from implementation of an action). 2

As proposed, the project would impact 358 acres of coastal prairie, 39 acres of freshwater wetlands, and 21 acres of riparian forest. The Corps mitigation plan would improve 12 acres of exiting forest, a 0.57 to 1.0 compensation ratio, create 3.0 acres of freshwater wetlands, a 0.077 to 1 compensation ratio, and no mitigation is proposed to compensate for impacts to coastal prairie. The Corps proposed mitigation plan is inadequate and does not compensate for the majority of the proposed impacts at the project site. 3

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department is not opposed to the widening and deepening of the Freeport Harbor Channel system, but recommends that all impacts to habitat associated with the proposed action be appropriately compensated for. Specifically, TPWD continues to recommend that, if suitable dredge material exists, the Corps and local sponsor consider the beneficial use of the dredge material to restore and enhance fish and wildlife habitat. If the material is not suitable, TPWD does not object to the upland disposal on PA-8 or PA-9, but recommends that the entire 21-acre wooded areas on PA-9 and 117-acre wooded area directly to adjacent PA-9 be avoided. TPWD continues to recommend the wetland swale on PA-8 with an upland buffer component also be avoided and included as mitigation for the impacts to freshwater wetlands. An appropriate upland buffer for this wetland would be the upland between the wetland and SH 36 and the uplands between the wetland and the barbed wire fence dividing the area. Additionally, TPWD recommends all impacts to the coastal prairie be assessed and be compensated for appropriately. Due to the 4 5 6

inadequacy of the mitigation plan presented in this DEIS, TPWD does not concur with the findings of the DEIS.

Questions can be directed to Cherie O'Brien in the Dickinson Field Office at 281-534-0132.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Hensley

Rebecca Hensley
Regional Director, Ecosystem Resources Program
Science and Policy Branch
TPWD Coastal Fisheries Division

RH:COB

TEXAS
PARKS &
WILDLIFE

COMMISSIONERS

JOSEPH B.C. FITZSIMONS
CHAIRMAN
SAN ANTONIO

ALVIN L. HENRY
VICE-CHAIRMAN
HOUSTON

J. ROBERT BROWN
EL PASO

NED S. HOLMES
HOUSTON

PETER M. HOLT
SAN ANTONIO

PHILIP MONTGOMERY
DALLAS

JOHN D. PARKER
LUFKIN

DONATO D. RAMOS
LAREDO

MARK E. WATSON, JR.
SAN ANTONIO

LEE M. BASS
CHAIRMAN-EMERITUS
FORT WORTH

ROBERT L. COOK
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

October 6, 2005

Mr. George Dabney
Environmental Lead
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers-ERB
P.O. Box 1229
Galveston, Texas 77553-1229

Re: Freeport Harbor- Proposed Upland Placement Areas

Dear Mr. Dabney,

Provided below are Texas Parks and Wildlife Department's comments and recommendations regarding the use of two potential disposal areas for the placement of maintenance dredge material from the Freeport Harbor.

Parcel 9 is a 442-acre parcel adjacent to a designated placement area ("spoil easement Reserved by U.S.A.") and to the Brazos River. The majority of Parcel 9 is over grazed cow pasture vegetated with Seacoast sumpweed, Carolina wolfberry, frog fruit, carpet grass, Bermuda grass, and smutgrass. The designated placement area encompasses a 2.29-acre wooded area at the northern most portion directly adjacent to the Brazos River. Parcel 9 also encompasses a wooded area at its northern most portion adjacent to the Brazos River. The 2.29-acre area is vegetated with a diverse range of species including; hackberry, cedar elm, toothache tree, pecan, red mulberry, honey locust, gum bumelia, Jerusalem tree, Chinaberry, yaupon holly, palmetto, green briar, peppervine, trumpet creeper, poison ivy, dewberry, native chili peppers, iron weed, turk's cap, frog fruit, basketgrass, and unknown grasses sedged and rushes. The wooded are at the northern potion of Parcel 9 is also vegetated with some of these same species but not as mature.

ENJOY THE TEXAS OUTDOORS!

Take a kid hunting or fishing

Visit a state park or historic site

Wooded areas along the coast provide important habitat to migrating songbirds particularly during spring migration when exhausted from their flight across the Gulf of Mexico songbirds flock to wooded areas along the Gulf of Mexico to rest and refuel. Wooded areas such as the two described above provide important habitat for and are used as a "fall out area" by Neotropical migrating birds. Dr. Sidney Gauthreaux's radar studies of Neotropical migrant songbirds have identified the area between Matagorda Bay and east of Sabine Lake into Louisiana as one of the most important migration corridors in North America.

Parcel 8 is 254.5-acre parcel located northeast of State Highway 36. This parcel is also utilized as a grazing pasture but does not show signs of being overgrazed as parcel 9. There are two stock ponds vegetated with common arrowhead, Walteri millet, rattle bush, Seacoast sumpweed, and Chinese tallow. An

4200 SMITH SCHOOL ROAD
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78744-3291
512-389-4800
www.tpwd.state.tx.us

To manage and conserve the natural and cultural resources of Texas and to provide hunting, fishing and outdoor recreation opportunities for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations.

additional wetland exists in the southern portion of the parcel between SH 36 and a barbed wire fence. The wetland is vegetated with knotroot bristlegrass, Gulf cordgrass, Carolina wolfberry, seashore paspalum, sea-ox daisy eye, frog fruit, and marsh elder. This wetland swale which has 100 percent vegetative cover conveys runoff to Tobey Ditch. Tobey Ditch is a tidally influenced ditch which parallels the Brazos River and drains into the Gulf Intracoastal Water Way. The margins of Tobey Ditch are vegetated with Gulf cordgrass, marshhay cordgrass, smooth cordgrass, saltglass, saltwort, sea-ox daisy eye, and coastal saltgrass.

Wetland swales such as these provide important feeding and cover habitat for amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals and feeding habitat for the for predator bird species such as Marsh Hawks (Northern harrier) and Black-shouldered Kites. Shallow vegetated swale wetlands also provide feeding habitat for numerous wading birds such as yellow-crowned and black-crowned night herons, American bitterns, great blue herons, and great egrets. The wetlands and adjacent upland provided feeding, breeding, and cover habitat for resident and migrating waterfowl and prairie songbirds. They also protect and improve water quality by retaining freshwater runoff and associated pollutants from adjacent areas. This particular wetland improves the quality of runoff from the adjacent cow pastures before discharging into Tobey Ditch and eventually the GIWW.

For years dredge material, particularly maintenance dredge material, was considered to be a useless by-product of dredging projects and stockpiled in dredged spoil areas. But today dredge material is considered to be a resource that, if suitable, can be used beneficially to restore and enhance fish and wildlife habitat. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department advocates and supports the beneficial use of dredge material. If stored in an upland disposal unit, this particular project will require approximately 300-350 acres.

Texas Parks and Wildlife Departments first recommends, if suitable material exists, the Corps and local sponsor consider the beneficial use of the dredge material to restore and enhance fish and wildlife habitat.

If the material is not suitable TPWD does not object to the upland disposal on parcel 8 or 9 but recommends that the entire wooded areas on Parcel 9 and 2.29-acre wooded area directly adjacent described above be avoided. Additionally we recommend the wetlands swale on Parcel 8 with an upland buffer component also be avoided. An appropriate upland buffer for this wetland would be the upland between the wetland and SH 36 and the uplands between the wetland and the barbed wire fence dividing the pasture.

As always, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department is willing to assist in the protection and restoration of fish and wildlife habitat. If additional assistance,

including technical guidance regarding fish and wildlife habitat, its restoration, or beneficial use of dredge material please don't hesitate to contact us.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments and to be involved in the planning and selection of potential disposal for the Freeport Harbor.

Questions can be directed to Cherie O'Brien in Dickinso n at 281-534-0132.

Sincerely,

Jarrett (Woody) Woodrow
Coastal Conservation Program Director

JOW:COB