APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEC A.	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 18-Jun-12
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Galveston District, 1333 Bay Area Development, SWG-2011-00703 - Wet 1
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Texas County/parish/borough: Galveston City: League City Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 29.478619° N, Long95.145158° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15N, 292006mN, 3263141mE Name of nearest waterbody: Magnolia Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: NONE Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 12040204 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 18-Jun-12 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 4-May-12
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Pick List "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Pick List "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

A Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Wet 1, a 0.14-acre palustrine, scrub-shrub wetland, has been determined by the Galveston District to be an Isolated, non-jurisdictional wetland. Wet 1 was delineated utilizing the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region Supplement to the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual that requires all three mandatory criteria be present under normal circumstances.

Wet 1 is a low depressional area surrounded by uplands: To the south - League City Parkway, to the west - Bay Area Blvd, to the north uplands, and to the east, uplands. Currently the project site has become an un-developed island surrounded by development and the agricultural canal that had split the property has been severed to the west by Bay Area Boulevard and to the east by League City Parkway and a child care facility. No hydrology flows off-site. The primary source of hydrology for the wetland is precipitation received on the project site. Wet 1 exhibits a defined wetland boundary and an abrupt topographic gradient. Hydrology that accumulates within Wet 1 does not leave the project site, the elevation of the developed roads is greater than 3-feet above the wetland boundary of Wet 1. No culverts or hydrologic surface conveyances exist connecting Wet 1 to any water of the United States. The soil under Wet 1 is mapped by the USDS-NRCS as Leton Loam and Bernard-Edna Complex. A poorly drained soil with moderately low to moderately high infiltration rate (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr) and a somewhat poorly drained soil with very low to moderately low infiltration rate (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr), respectively. The prevalence of information indicates that hydrology that falls on the project site remains there until it evapo-transpires.

Wet 1 is located approximately 1,600 feet to the east of the nearest water of the United States, specifically Magnolia Creek, a relatively permanent water (RPW), a surface water tributary of Clear Creek, a traditional navigable water (TNW). The project site is located outside of any mapped floodplain (outside the 500-year floodplain) of any water of the United States. The nearest floodplain is the 100-year floodplain of Magnolia Creek which is located approximately 1,500 feet to the west of the project site (per 4854880025 D & LOMR 21-Mar-02). If water was to leave the property (only in extreme storm events), the water it must sheet flow over an elevated roadway (Bay Area Boulevard), meander through a residential sub-development, and finally sheet flow across a power transmission line right-of-way, prior to entering Magnolia Creek some 1,600 feet to the west. As such Wet 1 is not located within a reasonable close proximity to any waters of the United States (neither on a horizontal or a vertical geometric plane). As such under normal conditions of the hydrologic cycle, the wetland would not share surface hydrology with the nearest waters of the United States. The wetland is not tidal waters, nor part of a surface tributary system to interstate waters of navigable waters of the United States nor or they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)).

"Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3(c) is defined: "bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the United States by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are 'adjacent wetlands'." The nearest waters of the United States to Wet 1 is Magnolia Creek, RPW. Wet 1 does not border nor is contiguous (abutting) to Magnolia Creek. Wet 1 is not neighboring Magnolia Creek as determined by the fact that they are, under normal conditions in the hydrologic cycle, not located in a reasonably close proximity (both on the horizon and vertical geometric plane) to another water of the United States (and are not located in a contiguous nor bordering landscape position) that would have shared surface hydrology with the another water of the United States (Magnolia Creek) during expected high flow (e.g. the 100-year floodplain). Nor is there any known species demonstrable ecological interconnection requiring both the wetland in question and the nearest Traditional Navigable Water, specifically Clear Creek, located 7,000 feet to the north, to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. Wet 1 is physically separated from other waters of the United States by geographic factors that do not allow the exchange waters, via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and they are not inseparably bound with Magnolia Creek or Clear Creek.

"Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) is: "those non-tidal waters of the United States that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the United States; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." Wet 1 has been identified as wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated. "Waters of the United States" are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 thru 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or was used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or, (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of waters of the United States; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to waters of the United States identified in (a) (1) through (6) these are not waters of the United States.

Isolated water (wetlands) requires a nexus to commerce under 33 CFR 328.3(a)(3) to be waters of the United States subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. SWG has not found any nexus to interstate commerce for Wet 1.

In conclusion, SWG has been determined that Wet 1 is an isolated, non-jurisdictional wetland that is not a water of the United States subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1	TRIVE
1.	TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List
Drainage area: Pick List
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☐ Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵:

Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wack line sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii)

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

	(iv)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	•	Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: attify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	All	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List proximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that
	tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are
	jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows
	seasonally: .

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

0	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
0 0 0	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
f. j: [[Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR actors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional address (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: 0.14 acres.
a [[Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SEC1	TION IV: DATA SOURCES.
a	DPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked nd requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: . Corps navigable waters' study: . U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: . USGS NHD data. ☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
Ī	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Algoa Quad 1:24K. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey (Galveston County, Texas). National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Mapper Online. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 4854880025D published 22-Sep-99 and LOMR 21-Mar-02. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ESRI Bing Maps, 2009 NAIP CIR & NC, 1995 TX_DOQQ, Google Earth Aerials /10/11, 1/8/10, 1/4/10, 12/31/08, 3/31/08, 1/8/08, 3/31/06, 1/14/06, 6/27/05, 12/9/04, 1/3/04, 12/31/01, 1/14/1995, 1989, 1988, 1986,
1 	969, 1944. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:D9821(01). Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):Galveston County Lidar.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Wet 1, a 0.14-acre palustrine, scrub-shrub wetland, has been determined by the Galveston District to be an Isolated, non-jurisdictional wetland. Wet 1 was delineated utilizing the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region Supplement to the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual that requires all three mandatory criteria be present under normal circumstances.

Wet 1 is a low depressional area surrounded by uplands: To the south - League City Parkway, to the west - Bay Area Blvd, to the north uplands, and to the east, uplands. Currently the project site has become an un-developed island surrounded by development and the agricultural canal that had split the property has been severed to the west by Bay Area Boulevard and to the east by League City Parkway and a child care facility. No hydrology flows off-site. The primary source of hydrology for the wetland is precipitation received on the project site. Wet 1 exhibits a defined wetland boundary and an abrupt topographic gradient. Hydrology that accumulates within Wet 1 does not leave the project site, the elevation of the developed roads is greater than 3-feet above the wetland boundary of Wet 1. No culverts or hydrologic surface conveyances exist connecting Wet 1 to any water of the United States. The soil under Wet 1 is mapped by the USDS-NRCS as Leton Loam and Bernard-Edna Complex. A poorly drained soil with moderately low to moderately high infiltration rate (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr) and a somewhat poorly drained soil with very low to moderately low infiltration rate (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr), respectively. The prevalence of information indicates that hydrology that falls on the project site remains there until it evapo-transpires.

Wet 1 is located approximately 1,600 feet to the east of the nearest water of the United States, specifically Magnolia Creek, a relatively permanent water (RPW), a surface water tributary of Clear Creek, a traditional navigable water (TNW). The project site is located outside of any mapped floodplain (outside the 500-year floodplain) of any water of the United States. The nearest floodplain is the 100-year floodplain of Magnolia Creek which is located approximately 1,500 feet to the west of the project site (per 4854880025 D & LOMR 21-Mar-02). If water was to leave the property (only in extreme storm events), the water it must sheet flow over an elevated roadway (Bay Area Boulevard), meander through a residential sub-development, and finally sheet flow across a power transmission line right-of-way, prior to entering Magnolia Creek some 1,600 feet to the west. As such Wet 1 is not located within a reasonable close proximity to any waters of the United States (neither on a horizontal or a vertical geometric plane). As such under normal conditions of the hydrologic cycle, the wetland would not share surface hydrology with the nearest waters of the United States. The wetland is not tidal waters, nor part of a surface tributary system to interstate waters of navigable waters of the United States nor or they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)).

"Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3(c) is defined: "bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the United States by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are 'adjacent wetlands'." The nearest waters of the United States to Wet 1 is Magnolia Creek, RPW. Wet 1 does not border nor is contiguous (abutting) to Magnolia Creek. Wet 1 is not neighboring Magnolia Creek as determined by the fact that they are, under normal conditions in the hydrologic cycle, not located in a reasonably close proximity (both on the horizon and vertical geometric plane) to another water of the United States (and are not located in a contiguous nor bordering landscape position) that would have shared surface hydrology with the another water of the United States (Magnolia Creek) during expected high flow (e.g. the 100-year floodplain). Nor is there any known species demonstrable ecological interconnection requiring both the wetland in question and the nearest Traditional Navigable Water, specifically Clear Creek, located 7,000 feet to the north, to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. Wet 1 is physically separated from other waters of the United States by geographic factors that do not allow the exchange waters, via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and they are not inseparably bound with Magnolia Creek or Clear Creek.

"Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) is: "those non-tidal waters of the United States that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the United States; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." Wet 1 has been identified as wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated.

"Waters of the United States" are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 thru 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or was used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or, (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of waters of the United States; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to waters of the United States identified in (a) (1) through (6) these are not waters of the United States.

Isolated water (wetlands) requires a nexus to commerce under 33 CFR 328.3(a)(3) to be waters of the United States subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. SWG has not found any nexus to interstate commerce for Wet 1.

In conclusion, SWG has been determined that Wet 1 is an isolated, non-jurisdictional wetland that is not a water of the United States subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUN	ID INFORMATION
----------------------	----------------

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 18-Jun-12

DISTRICT OFFICE FILE NAME AND NUMBER: Colvector District 1333 Ray Area Development SWC-2011-00703

D.	Wet 2
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Texas County/parish/borough: Galveston City: League City Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 29.480065° N, Long95.144231° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15N, 292099mN, 3263090mE Name of nearest waterbody: Magnolia Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: NONE Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 12040204 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 18-Jun-12 Field Determination. Date(s): 4-May-12
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Pick List "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Pick List "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

Foxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- Wet 2, a 3.2-acre palustrine, scrub-shrub, emergent wetland, has been determined by the Galveston District to be an Isolated, non-jurisdictional wetland. Wet 2 was delineated utilizing the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region Supplement to the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual that requires all three mandatory criteria be present under normal circumstances.
- Wet 2 is a naturalized, unfunctioning agricultural irrigation canal with abutting wetlands. The previous agricultural irrigation canal provided water for rice agriculture many decades ago. Currently the project site has become an island surrounded by development and the agricultural canal has been severed to the west by Bay Area Boulevard and to the east by League City Parkway and a child care facility. No hydrology flows off-site. The primary source of hydrology for the wetland is precipitation received on the project site. Wet 2 exhibits a defined wetland boundary and an abrupt topographic gradient. Hydrology that accumulates within Wet 2 does not leave the project site, the elevation of the developed roads is greater than 3-feet above the wetland boundary of Wet 2. No culverts or hydrologic surface conveyances exist connecting Wet 2 to any water of the United States. The soil under Wet 2 is mapped by the USDS-NRCS as Leton Loam, a poorly drained soil with moderately low to moderately high infiltration rate (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr). The prevalence of information indicates that hydrology that falls on the project site remains there until it evapo-transpires.
 - Wet 2 is located approximately 1,600 feet to the east of the nearest water of the United States, specifically Magnolia Creek, a relatively permanent water (RPW), a surface water tributary of Clear Creek, a traditional navigable water (TNW). The project site is located outside of any mapped floodplain (outside the 500-year floodplain) of any water of the United States. The nearest floodplain is the 100-year floodplain of Magnolia Creek which is located approximately 1,500 feet to the west of the project site (per 4854880025 D & LOMR 21-Mar-02). If water was to leave the property (only in extreme storm events), the water it must sheet flow over an elevated roadway (Bay Area Boulevard), meander through a residential sub-development, and finally sheet flow across a power transmission line right-of-way, prior to entering Magnolia Creek some 1,600 feet to the west. As such Wet 2 is not located within a reasonable close proximity to any waters of the United States (neither on a horizontal or a vertical geometric plane). As such under normal conditions of the hydrologic cycle, the wetland would not share surface hydrology with the nearest waters of the United States. The wetland is not tidal waters, nor part of a surface tributary system to interstate waters of navigable waters of the United States nor or they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)).
- "Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3(c) is defined: "bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the United States by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are 'adjacent wetlands'." The nearest waters of the United States to Wet 2 is Magnolia Creek, RPW. Wet 2 does not border nor is contiguous (abutting) to Magnolia Creek. Wet 2 is not neighboring Magnolia Creek as determined by the fact that they are, under normal conditions in the hydrologic cycle, not located in a reasonably close proximity (both on the horizon and vertical geometric plane) to another water of the United States (and are not located in a contiguous nor bordering landscape position) that would have shared surface hydrology with the another water of the United States (Magnolia Creek) during expected high flow (e.g. the 100-year floodplain). Nor is there any known species demonstrable ecological interconnection requiring both the wetland in question and the nearest Traditional Navigable Water, specifically Clear Creek, located 7,000 feet to the north, to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. Wet 2 is physically separated from other waters of the United States by geographic factors that do not allow the exchange waters, via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and they are not inseparably bound with Magnolia Creek or Clear Creek.
- "Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) is: "those non-tidal waters of the United States that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the United States; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." Wet 2 has been identified as wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated. "Waters of the United States" are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 thru 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or was used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or, (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of waters of the United States; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to waters of the United States identified in (a) (1) through (6) these are not waters of the United States.

Isolated water (wetlands) requires a nexus to commerce under 33 CFR 328.3(a)(3) to be waters of the United States subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. SWG has not found any nexus to interstate commerce for Wet 2.

In conclusion, SWG has been determined that Wet 2 is an isolated, non-jurisdictional wetland that is not a water of the United States subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

TNIX

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination:	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Pick List Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches
(ii)	Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: httify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii)

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

	(iv)	Biological Characteristics. Channel s Riparian corridor. Characteristics: Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain Fish/spawn areas. Explain findi Other environmentally-sensitiv Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Exp	(type, average width): in findings: ngs: e species. Explain findings:	
2.	Cha	Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW		
	(i)	Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as	state boundaries. Explain:	
		(b) General Flow Relationship with No. Flow is: Pick List. Explain:	on-TNW:	
		Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:		
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Expla Dye (or other) test performed		
		(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrolog Ecological connection. Ex Separated by berm/barrier.	c connection. Explain:	
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List rive Project waters are Pick List aerial Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of v		
	(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general water characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:			
	(iii)	Biological Characteristics. Wetland s Riparian buffer. Characteristics (ty Vegetation type/percent cover. Ex Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain finds Other environmentally-sensitiv Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Exp	rpe, average width): plain: un findings: ngs: e species. Explain findings:	
3.	Cha	racteristics of all wetlands adjacent to All wetland(s) being considered in the of Approximately () acres in total a		

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
 other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:				
	TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.				
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.				
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.				
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that				
	tributary is perennial:				
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are				
	jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows				
	seasonally: .				

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.			
F.		ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):			
	fact	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 3.2 acres.			
		wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such adding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.			
		ON IV: DATA SOURCES. PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked			
		requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Algoa Quad 1:24K. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey (Galveston County, Texas). National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:USFWS NWI Mapper Online. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 4854880025D published 22-Sep-99 and LOMR 21-Mar-02. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ESRI Bing Maps, 2009 NAIP CIR & NC, 1995 TX_DOQQ, Google Earth Aerials			
	3/10	0/11, 1/8/10, 1/4/10, 12/31/08, 3/31/08, 1/8/08, 3/31/06, 1/14/06, 6/27/05, 12/9/04, 1/3/04, 12/31/01, 1/14/1995, 1989, 1988, 1986, 9, 1944. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: D9821(01).			
		Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):Galveston County Lidar.			

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Wet 2, a 3.2-acre palustrine, scrub-shrub, emergent wetland, has been determined by the Galveston District to be an Isolated, non-jurisdictional wetland. Wet 2 was delineated utilizing the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region Supplement to the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual that requires all three mandatory criteria be present under normal circumstances.

Wet 2 is a naturalized, unfunctioning agricultural irrigation canal with abutting wetlands. The previous agricultural irrigation canal provided water for rice agriculture many decades ago. Currently the project site has become an island surrounded by development and the agricultural canal has been severed to the west by Bay Area Boulevard and to the east by League City Parkway and a child care facility. No hydrology flows off-site. The primary source of hydrology for the wetland is precipitation received on the project site. Wet 2 exhibits a defined wetland boundary and an abrupt topographic gradient. Hydrology that accumulates within Wet 2 does not leave the project site, the elevation of the developed roads is greater than 3-feet above the wetland boundary of Wet 2. No culverts or hydrologic surface conveyances exist connecting Wet 2 to any water of the United States. The soil under Wet 2 is mapped by the USDS-NRCS as Leton Loam, a poorly drained soil with moderately low to moderately high infiltration rate (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr). The prevalence of information indicates that hydrology that falls on the project site remains there until it evapo-transpires.

Wet 2 is located approximately 1,600 feet to the east of the nearest water of the United States, specifically Magnolia Creek, a relatively permanent water (RPW), a surface water tributary of Clear Creek, a traditional navigable water (TNW). The project site is located outside of any mapped floodplain (outside the 500-year floodplain) of any water of the United States. The nearest floodplain is the 100-year floodplain of Magnolia Creek which is located approximately 1,500 feet to the west of the project site (per 4854880025 D & LOMR 21-Mar-02). If water was to leave the property (only in extreme storm events), the water it must sheet flow over an elevated roadway (Bay Area Boulevard), meander through a residential sub-development, and finally sheet flow across a power transmission line right-of-way, prior to entering Magnolia Creek some 1,600 feet to the west. As such Wet 2 is not located within a reasonable close proximity to any waters of the United States (neither on a horizontal or a vertical geometric plane). As such under normal conditions of the hydrologic cycle, the wetland would not share surface hydrology with the nearest waters of the United States. The wetland is not tidal waters, nor part of a surface tributary system to interstate waters of navigable waters of the United States nor or they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)).

"Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3(c) is defined: "bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the United States by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are 'adjacent wetlands'." The nearest waters of the United States to Wet 2 is Magnolia Creek, RPW. Wet 2 does not border nor is contiguous (abutting) to Magnolia Creek. Wet 2 is not neighboring Magnolia Creek as determined by the fact that they are, under normal conditions in the hydrologic cycle, not located in a reasonably close proximity (both on the horizon and vertical geometric plane) to another water of the United States (and are not located in a contiguous nor bordering landscape position) that would have shared surface hydrology with the another water of the United States (Magnolia Creek) during expected high flow (e.g. the 100-year floodplain). Nor is there any known species demonstrable ecological interconnection requiring both the wetland in question and the nearest Traditional Navigable Water, specifically Clear Creek, located 7,000 feet to the north, to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. Wet 2 is physically separated from other waters of the United States by geographic factors that do not allow the exchange waters, via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and they are not inseparably bound with Magnolia Creek or Clear Creek.

"Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) is: "those non-tidal waters of the United States that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the United States; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." Wet 2 has been identified as wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated.

"Waters of the United States" are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 thru 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or was used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or, (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of waters of the United States; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to waters of the United States identified in (a) (1) through (6) these are not waters of the United States.

Isolated water (wetlands) requires a nexus to commerce under 33 CFR 328.3(a)(3) to be waters of the United States subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. SWG has not found any nexus to interstate commerce for Wet 2.

In conclusion, SWG has been determined that Wet 2 is an isolated, non-jurisdictional wetland that is not a water of the United States subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

.