APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 15 June 2017

В

B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWG 2016-00529, 3 Wetland Areas
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Texas County/parish/borough: Galveston City: League City Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. *PLEASE SEE TABLE A° N, Long. *PLEASE SEE TABLE A° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: *PLEASE SEE TABLE A
	Name of nearest waterbody: Magnolia Bayou
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Dickinson Bayou Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Dickinson Bayou 120402040200 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 13 April 2017 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 23 January 2017, 11 May 2017
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	we are a. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 100 linear feet: 6 width (ft) and/or 0.02 acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: Three individual isolated wetland polygons were identified within the project site, totaling approximately 3.74

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below. ² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

acres. A desk review was conducted which indicated a need for a subsequent site visit. Data collected during the site visit verified the presence of seven wetlands. Table A indicates the approximate center for each wetland polygon. The nearest wetland is approximately 73-feet from the nearest water of U. S. and approximately 3.15 miles away from the nearest navigable water (Dickinson Bayou).

The subject wetlands were identified using the Atlantic Gulf Coast Regional Supplement to 87 Wetland Delineation Manual. The exact boundaries (as standard with isolated wetlands) was not verified, but the wetland polygon was examined in the field to ensure that it is an enclosed polygon surrounded by uplands.

The site is located above the normal elevation of the adjacent flood plain.

According to federal regulation for waters to be subject to federal jurisdictional determination under Section 404 of the Clean Water (33 CFR 328.3 a) waters of the U.S.):

- 1) The wetlands/waters are NOT subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide.
- 2) The wetlands/waters are NOT located on interstate or tribal boundaries.
- 3) These "Isolated*" wetlands do NOT have any known significant nexus to interstate commerce.
 - 4) The subject wetlands/waters are NOT impoundments of waters of the United States.
 - 5) The subject wetlands/waters are NOT part of a tributary of the above waters.
 - 6) The subject wetlands/waters are NOT part of the territorial seas.
- 7) The subject wetlands are NOT located "adjacent**" to waters of the US (other than waters that are themselves wetlands).
- * 33 CFR 328.3 a) 3) waters for "isolated" waters: Federal regulations, specifically 33 CFR 330.2 (e), Definitions: (e) Isolated waters means those non-tidal waters of the U.S. that are:

Not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the US; and Not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies.

- ** 33 CFR 328.3 a) 7) adjacent wetlands: Federal regulations, specifically 33 CFR 328.3 c) defines "ADJACENT" as: bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are "adjacent wetlands".
- These wetlands were identified per the appropriate wetland identification manual and they are not 1) tidally affected, 2) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the U.S. and 3) not "adjacent" to such tributary waterbodies.
- These wetlands are NOT located reasonably close to a waters of the US as to infer they are "ecologically adjacent"; for a wetland to be determined to "reasonable close" it must be in a geomorphic position such that an ecologic interconnectivity is beyond speculation or insubstantial for a known biologic species that requires both, the subject water/wetlands and the nearest known waterbody (a known water of the United States other than an adjacent wetland) to fullfill spawning and/or life cycle requirements. There is not any known species in this geo-regions that requires both these wetlands in review and the nearest known waterway to fulfill their life cycle requirements, therefore these wetlands are ecologically isolated.

In conclusion, there are wetlands that were identified per the appropriate wetland identification manual on the site. These wetlands are "isolated" per federal regulation and there is not a known nexus to interstate commerce to make them "waters of the U.S." according to federal regulation 33 CFR 328 a) 3. Therefore, these wetlands are not waters of the U.S. subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act..

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:					
	Summarize rationale supporting determination:					

Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 375.95 square miles Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: 58.07 inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

Identify flow route to TNW5: Water flows approximately 82-feet from the culvert drop-off to Magnolia Bayou (Outside the provided project boundaries). From this confluence with Magnolia Bayou, a relatively permanent water (RPW) the water flows 3.15-miles to Dickinson Bayou. At this point Dickinson Bayou is a TNW. Tributary stream order, if known: General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): ☐ Natural Tributary is: Artificial (man-made). Explain: A small portion of the ditch from alongside the gravel road on this project area extends the OHWM of Magnolia Bayou in the northerly direction. ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: **Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List. Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): _] Concrete ☐ Silts ☐ Sands Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Incised bank that appears to be stable and not expanding. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): (c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Flow is directly corelated to rainful events. However, extreme high tides will restrict flow of Magnolia Bayou and cause water to stay in the bayou for much longer durations. Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply): ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil П destruction of terrestrial vegetation Shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM.⁷ Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings; physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where

☐ tidal gauges ☐ other (list):

⁷Ibid.

the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
Explain: water color was partially opaque and brown.
Identify specific pollutants, if known:

	(iv)		logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: attify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	All	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List proximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that
	tributary is perennial:
	☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are
	jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows
	seasonally: .

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SU	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.						
	\boxtimes	DN-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).						
proj	∐ ⊠ ect b	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): Within the project bondaries, ditches were dug through uplands. Just outside of the boundaries, there may be potentially jurisdictional waters.						
	facto	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .						
		Wetlands: 3.74 acres. Vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.						
SEC	TIO	N IV: DATA SOURCES.						
A. S	and	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.						
	\boxtimes	 ☑ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps:Site Visit on 1-23-2017. Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. 						
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24000 Dickinson 1955 (photorevised 1969 and 1974 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Accessed 13 April 2017 . National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:USFWS NWI. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps:Map 4854880030E, 9-22-1999. 								
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth (1944-2015). or ☐ Other (Name & Date):NOAA 2006 LIDAR. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:						
		Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):Historical Topographical Maps-USACE 1943.						

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: There are a total of approximately 3.74 acres of wetlands on the site that are "isolated". There are three individual wetland polygons that vary from appx. 0.27 to 2.85 acre in size. These wetlands extend beyond the

project boundaries, but are are all surrounded by uplands. The closest edge of any of the wetlands is appx 73-feet away from the nearest known water of the US and appx 3.15 miles from the nearest navigable waterway (Dickinson Bayou).

The subject wetlands were identified using the Atlantic Gulf Coast Regional Supplement to 87 Wetland Delineation Manual. The exact boundaries (as standard with isolated wetlands) were not verified, but the wetland polygon was examined in the field to ensure that it is an enclosed polygon surrounded by uplands.

The site is located above the normal elevation of the adjacent flood plain.

According to federal regulation for waters to be subject to federal jurisdictional determination under Section 404 of the Clean Water (33 CFR 328.3 a) waters of the U.S.):

- 1) The wetlands/waters are NOT subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide.
- 2) The wetlands/waters are NOT located on interstate or tribal boundaries.
- 3) These "Isolated*" wetlands do NOT have any known significant nexus to interstate commerce.
- 4) The subject wetlands/waters are NOT impoundments of waters of the United States.
- 5) The subject wetlands/waters are NOT part of a tributary of the above waters.
- 6) The subject wetlands/waters are NOT part of the territorial seas.
- 7) The subject wetlands are NOT located "adjacent**" to waters of the US (other than waters that are themselves wetlands).
- * 33 CFR 328.3 a) 3) waters for "isolated" waters: Federal regulations, specifically 33 CFR 330.2 (e), Definitions: (e) Isolated waters means those non-tidal waters of the U.S. that are:

Not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the US; and Not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies.

- ** 33 CFR 328.3 a) 7) adjacent wetlands: Federal regulations, specifically 33 CFR 328.3 c) defines "ADJACENT" as: bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are "adjacent wetlands".
- These wetlands were identified per the appropriate wetland identification manual and they are not 1) tidally affected, 2) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable waters of the U.S. and 3) not "adjacent" to such tributary waterbodies.
- These wetlands are NOT located reasonably close to a waters of the US as to infer they are "ecologically adjacent"; for a wetland to be determined to "reasonable close" it must be in a geomorphic position such that an ecologic interconnectivity is beyond speculation or insubstantial for a known biologic species that requires both, the subject water/wetlands and the nearest known waterbody (a known water of the United States other than an adjacent wetland) to fullfill spawning and/or life cycle requirements. There is not any known species in this geo-regions that requires both these wetlands in review and the nearest known waterway to fulfill their life cycle requirements, therefore these wetlands are ecologically isolated.

In conclusion, there are wetlands that were identified per the appropriate wetland identification manual on the site. These wetlands are "isolated" per federal regulation and there is not a known nexus to interstate commerce to make them "waters of the U.S." according to federal regulation 33 CFR 328 a) 3. Therefore, these wetlands are not waters of the U.S. subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Table A: SWG 2016-00529-RG Miller Engineers Drainage Project at Ervin Road Wetland Area Information

Wetland ID	Latitude	Longitude	Easting	Northing	Appx. Size (Acres)	Appx. Distance (feet) Nearest water	Appx. Distance (miles) Nearest navig water
(Dickinson Bayou-River Miles)							
Wet F	29.467047°	-95.108265°	295561.00	3261583.00	2.85	806	3.15
Wet K	29.462474°	-95.108161°	295562.00	3261076.00	0.62	73	3.15
Wet L	29.464369°	-95.108169°	295565.00	3261286.00	0.27	806	3.15

3.74

Total Approximate Wetland Acreage