DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹ **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SE	CTION I	· R	ACKGR	OUND	INFORM	MATION
OL:			$\Delta CIXOIX$	OUND	THE OWN	

Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED	JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION (J	D): May	v 10.	2017
----	----------------------------	----------	----------------	------------------	---------	-------	------

В.	DIS	IRIC1 OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWG-2016-00861, City of Roma Storm Water Improvement Project					
C.	C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:						
	State: Texas County/parish/borough: Starr City: Roma Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 26.414764 °, Long98.980740 ° Universal Transverse Mercator: 14R 501920.46 m E, 2921617.36 m N						
		ne of nearest waterbody: Rio Grande ne of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 13090001					
	✓ Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.						
		Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.					
D.	D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):						
	~	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 10, 2017					
		Field Determination. Date(s): Click here to enter a date.					
SEC	CTIO	N II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS					
		SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.					
The	re are	e no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.					
B.	CWA	SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.					
The	re are	e no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.					
SEC	CTIO	N III: DATA SOURCES.					
A.		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and					
		ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Maps submitted by Kelly Environmental on 4 Oct 2016					
		Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.					
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.					
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.					
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:					
		U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:					
		USGS NHD data.					
		USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.					
	~	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Roma-Los Saenz East, Texas					
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:					
	~	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:					
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):					
	~	FEMA/FIRM maps: NEPAssist Web Tool (accessed 5/10/2017)					
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)					
	~	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth imagery (1/2017)					
		or 🔽 Other (Name & Date): Photos provided by Kelly Environmental on 4 Oct 2016					
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:					
		Applicable/supporting case law:					

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: Based on a review of data listed in Section III above, it has been determined that there are no

REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: Based on a review of data listed in Section III above, it has been determined that there are no aquatic resources or potential aquatic resources in the 5,628-linear-foot review area that would warrant the application of a wetland delineation, significant nexus analysis, navigability determination, or delineation of the ebb and flow of the tides. There are no features that exhibit lateral limits of jurisdiction (e.g. OHWM).