

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 7/23/2021

ORM Number: SWG-2020-00638

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Texas City: Liverpool County/Parish/Borough: Brazoria

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 29.301032 Longitude -95.193135

II. FINDINGS

Α.	Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the
	corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
	☐ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including
	wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
	☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the
	review area (complete table in Section II.B).
	There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area

(complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).

□ There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	g 1,000 linear		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
Mustang Bayou 1			RHA Non-tidal water is on the district's Section 10 waters list	Persimmon Bayou is listed on the Galveston District Navigable Waters List and includes a 1.3-mile common channel with Mustang Bayou.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters):3						
(a)(1) Name	me (a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination		
Mustang Bayou 1			(a)(1) Water is also subject to Sections 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act - RHA Tidal water is subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.	Persimmon Bayou is listed on the Galveston District Navigable Waters List and includes a 1.3-mile common channel with Mustang Bayou.		

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):					
(a)(2) Name	ame (a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
Mustang Bayou 2	/, 		(a)(2) Perennial tributary contributes surface water flow directly or indirectly to an (a)(1) water in a typical year.	This feature is a naturally occurring perennial surface water channel that contributes surface water flow to an (a)(1) water, Persimmon/Mustang Bayou, in a typical year. This part of Mustang Bayou is immediately above and flows directly into the Traditionally Navigable Waters (TNW) portion of Persimmon/Mustang Bayou. Water is visible in this portion of Mustang Bayou in all the Google Earth aerial photos.	

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):						
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Siz	e	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination		
N/A.	N/A. N/A.		N/A.	N/A.		

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):							
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Siz	e	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination			
N/A.	N/A. N/A.		N/A.	N/A.			

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b	Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$: ⁴							
Exclusion Name	Exclusion	on Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination				
Man-Made Pond 1	320	acre(s)	(b)(8) Artificial lake/pond constructed or excavated in upland or a non-jurisdictional water, so long as the artificial lake or pond is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water that meets (c)(6).	The pond is man-made, constructed for a water storage reservoir and is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water. Therefore, the (c)(6) condition is irrelevant.				
Man-Made Pond 2	340	acre(s)	(b)(8) Artificial lake/pond constructed or excavated in upland or a non-jurisdictional water, so long as the artificial lake	The pond is man-made, constructed for a water storage reservoir and is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water. Therefore, the (c)(6) condition is irrelevant				

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$:4							
Exclusion Name	Exclusion	n Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination			
			or pond is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water that meets (c)(6).				
Man-Made Pond 3	102	acre(s)	(b)(8) Artificial lake/pond constructed or excavated in upland or a non-jurisdictional water, so long as the artificial lake or pond is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water that meets (c)(6).	The pond is man-made, constructed for a water storage reservoir and is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water. Therefore, the (c)(6) condition is irrelevant.			
Man-Made Ditch 1	9,625	linear feet	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Canal is a man-made feature that is artificially filled with water via a pump station for distribution to agricultural and industrial clients. The canal was constructed from uplands and is not a rerouted tributary and does not flow into an (a)(1) water.			
Man-Made Ditch 2	11,010	linear feet	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Canal is a man-made feature that is artificially filled with water via a pump station for distribution to agricultural and industrial clients. The canal was constructed from uplands and is not a rerouted tributary and does not flow into an (a)(1) water.			

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - ☐ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Freese and Nichols, Inc. 24 August 2020

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: N/A



	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial: Google Earth 1938-2020
	Corps site visit(s) conducted on: N/A
	Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): ORM Number(s) and date(s).
\times	Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
\times	USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Brazoria County WebSoil Survey
\times	USFWS NWI maps: Brazoria County USFWS NWI Map
	USGS topographic maps: N/A

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	Mustang Bayou, Texas Quadrangle Maps 1963, 2010, and 2019
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	ORM for Historical review
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
FEMA/FIRM maps	FEMA NFHL Panels 48039C0305K Eff 12/30/2020, 48167C0375G Eff
	8/15/2019, and 48039C0320K Eff 12/30/2020; and 48039C0315K Eff
	12/30/2020

B. Typical year assessment(s): Freese and Nichols conducted a desk top review on 30 July 2020. According to the Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT), the hydrologic conditions on the day of Freese and Nichols, desk top review were wetter than normal (15). Freese and Nichols based information off of Google Earth Images listed below (Agents Aerial) with those analysis by the USACE.

Date	WETS	APT	Season	PDSI	Preceding 72 hr Rainfall
31 December 1943	14	Normal	Wet	Mild wetness	~1"
(USACE Aerial)					
31 December 1968	13	Normal	Wet	Mild Wetness	<1"
(USACE Aerial)					
14 January 1995	13	Normal	Wet	Moderate Wetne	ss ~1"
(USACE Aerial)					
15 January 1995	15	Normal	Wet	Moderate Wetne	ess ~1"
(Agents Aerial)					
31 January 2004	14	Normal	Wet	Mild Wetness	~2"
(USACE Aerial)					
15 December 2004	15	Above	Wet	Severe Wetnes	~1.5"
(Agents Aerial)	4.0				0.0
15 February 2010	16	Above	Wet	Moderate Wetn	ess 2"
(Agents Aerial)	4.4	A.I.	107	0 14/4	411
15 Novemebr 2015	14	Above	Wet	Severe Wetnes	ss ~1"
(Agents Aerial	4.4	Dolovy	10/-4	Madayata	0
21 March 2018	11	Below	Wet	Moderate Wet	ness 0
(USACE Aerial)	4.5	Abovo	Des	Mild Drought	-1"
15 Auguat 2020	15	Above	Dry	Mild Drought	<1"
(Agents Aerial)					



Water was seen in Mustang Bayou on all aerial photographs analysed. The man made ponds can be seen starting in 1969.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A