APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION	DATE FOR APPROVED	JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION	(JD)
----	-------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------------	--------------

DISTRICT OFFICE FILE NAME AND NUMBER, Harris County Flood Control District, SWC 2021 00772, V500-21 00

	1)1, Mercer Stormwater Detention Basin Tract
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Texas County/parish/borough: Harris County City: Houston Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 30.030498° N, Long95.402789° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 268293, 3324597 Name of nearest waterbody: Cypress Creek (K100-00-00) Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Cypress Creek watershed Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 05/11/2022 Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
rev	warea. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: N/A. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: N/A linear feet: Varies width (ft) and/or N/A acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known): N/A.
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Non-Regulated Waters

- The nearest traditional navigable water is Cypress Creek. Non-regulated wetlands present on the subject property are detailed in Table 1 in Section IV.B, along with their distance to Cypress Creek.
- All of the wetlands listed in Table 1 (W-1, W-3, W-4, W-6, and W-8) are located outside of the mapped FEMA 100-year floodplain. These wetlands are not impoundments of jurisdictional waters, are not in the floodplain of the nearest TNW, and have no hydrological connection to any jurisdictional waters or wetlands in the area. Therefore, these wetlands have been determined to be "ISOLATED" as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e).
- Based on topography and aerial imagery, the majority of the site is level, with gradual sloping north/northeast towards Cypress Creek which forms the northern boundary of the Project area. The wetlands were identified using the 1987 Manual and 2010 Regional Supplement: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region (Version 2.0), which requires that all three wetland criteria be present under normal circumstances for an area to be determined a wetland. The wetlands are located in depressional areas that experience seasonal hydrology during and after rain events, providing the conditions necessary for wetlands to establish.
- "Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3 is defined as "bordering, contiguous or neighboring. Wetlands seperated from other waters of the United States by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are 'adjacent wetlands.'" None of the five wetlands listed in Table 1 in Section IV.B meet the definition of adjacent in 33 CFR 328.3. They do not border nor are they contiguous (abutting) to Cypress Creek (a TNW); they are not neighboring Cypress Creek because they are, under normal conditions in the hydrologic cycle, not located in reasonably close proximity to another Water of the U.S.; and they are not located in a contiguous or bordering landscape position that would have shared surface hydrology with another Water of the U.S. during expected high flow (e.g., the 100-year floodplain). In addition, there are not any known or demonstrable species ecological interconnections requiring the wetlands in question with the nearest Water of the U.S. to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements.
- "Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) is: "those non-tidal Waters of the U.S. that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable Waters of the U.S.; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." All five wetlands in Table 1, Section IV.B meet the definition of isolated wetlands. They are physically separated from other Waters of the U.S. by geographic factors that do not allow the exchange of waters during normal conditions, and they are not inseparably bound with Cypress Creek and considered adjacent (as noted in the previous paragraph).
- "Waters of the U.S." are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 thru 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or were used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or, (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of Waters of the U.S.; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to Waters of the U.S. identified in (a) (1). Therefore, these five wetlands are considered "ISOLATED" and are, therefore, not regulated by the USACE under Section 404 of the CWA.
- The subject wetland is not located reasonably close to a water of the US as to infer it is "ecologically adjacent"; for a water/wetland to be determined to "reasonably close" it must be in a geomorphic position such that an ecologic interconnectivity is beyond speculation or insubstantial for a known biologic species that requires both, the subject water/wetland and the nearest known water of the U.S. other than an adjacent wetland to fulfill spawning and/or life cycle requirements.

 There are no known species in this geo-region that require both this water/wetland under review and the nearest known waterway to fulfill their life cycle requirements, therefore this wetland is ecologically isolated. The wetland has no known nexus to interstate commerce. Therefore, it is SWG position that Wetland (W-1, W-3, W-4, W-6, and W-8) is not a Water of the U.S. and is not subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: N/A.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: N/A. See PJD form for additional information regarding jurisdictional features.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": N/A. See PJD form for additional information regarding jurisdictional features.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: N/A square miles

Drainage area: N/A acres

Average annual rainfall: N/A inches Average annual snowfall: N/A inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☐ Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: N/A.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

		Tributary stream order, if known: N/A.		
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: N/A. Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: N/A.		
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: N/A feet Average depth: N/A feet Average side slopes: Pick List.		
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: N/A.		
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: N/A. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: N/A. Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): N/A %		
(c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: N/A. Other information on duration and volume: N/A.				
		Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: N/A.		
		Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: N/A. Dye (or other) test performed: N/A.		
		Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: N/A.		
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:		
(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: N/A. httify specific pollutants, if known: N/A.		

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

(iv)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
		Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): N/A.
		Wetland fringe. Characteristics: N/A.
	Ш	Habitat for:
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings: N/A.
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: N/A.
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: N/A.
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: N/A.
Cha	ıract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i)	Phy	vsical Characteristics:
` '		General Wetland Characteristics:
		Properties:
		Wetland size: N/A acres
		Wetland type. Explain: N/A.
		Wetland quality. Explain: N/A.
		Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.
	(b)	Canaral Flow Polationship with Non TNW:
	(0)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: N/A.
		110W IS. 1 ICK DISC. DAPIGIII. 1V/1.
		Surface flow is: Pick List
		Characteristics: N/A.
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: N/A.
		\square Dye (or other) test performed: N/A.
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
	(0)	Directly abutting
		□ Not directly abutting
		☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: N/A.
		☐ Ecological connection. Explain: N/A.
		☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: N/A.
		•
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
		Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
		Flow is from: Pick List.
		Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:
(11)		aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
	Circ	characteristics; etc.). Explain: N/A.
	Ide	ntify specific pollutants, if known: N/A.
(iii)	_	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
	님	Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): N/A.
		Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: N/A.
	Ш	Habitat for:
		☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: N/A. ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: N/A.
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: N/A.
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: N/A.
		- Aquato mane diversity. Dapami intelligo, 1971.
Cha	ıract	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
	All	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List

3.

2.

Approximately (N/A) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> <u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> N/A

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: N/A.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for f ish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: N/A.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: N/A.
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: N/A.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: N/A linear feet N/A width (ft), Or, N/A acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: N/A acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: N/A. Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flow seasonally: N/A.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: N/A linear feet N/Awidth (ft). Other non-wetland waters: N/A acres. Identify type(s) of waters: N/A.
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: N/A linear feet N/A width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: N/A acres. Identify type(s) of waters: N/A.
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: N/A.
	■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: N/A.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: N/A acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: N/A acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: N/A acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SU 	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: N/A. Other factors. Explain: N/A.

E.

 ⁸See Footnote#3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	ovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: N/A linear feet N/Awidth (ft). Other non-wetland waters: N/A acres. Identify type(s) of waters: N/A. Wetlands: N/A acres.
404 of the Novem Section and wh	ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): Pond-01 is a man-made stock pond that is considered "not regulated" under Section the CWA. Under the Final Rule for Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers (51 Fed. Reg. 291 [41206-41260], ber 13, 1986), referred to as the 1986 Preamble, some waters are clarified as not being considered "Waters of the U.S." 328.3(c) of the 1986 Preamble lists artificial ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water ich are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing to be not jurisdictional erefore, not regulated. Pond-01 meets this definition and is not regulated under Section 404 of the CWA.
fac jud	ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ctors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional dgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): N/A linear feet N/A width (ft). Lakes/ponds: N/A acres. Other non-wetland waters: N/A acres. List type of aquatic resource: N/A. Wetlands: 0.44 acres.
	ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): N/A linear feet, N/A width (ft). Lakes/ponds: N/A acres. Other non-wetland waters: N/A acres. List type of aquatic resource: N/A. Wetlands: acres.
SECTION	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUI an Ur X	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked d requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Attached Wetland and Jurisdictional Waters of the nited States Delineation (BGE 2021). Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: Galveston District 2010 list. U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1916 and 2019 7.5-minute Spring, Texas topographic quadrangle. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: 2021 NRCS SSURGO Harris County Dataset. National wetlands inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps:48201C0270M. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): USDA (1938, 1944, 1953, 1964), GLO (1977, 1988), USGS (1995, 2006, 2014), Nearmap or Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature:	
Other information (please specify): .	

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Table 1. Non-regulated aquatic resources.

Aquatic	Cowardin/				
Resource ID	Stream Classification	Acreage/Linear Feet	Latitude†	Longitude†	Wetland Type
W-1	PFO1	0.03 ac	30.03063	-95.40269	Isolated
W-3	PFO1	0.23 ac	30.03024	-95.40288	Isolated
W-4	PFO2	0.10 ac	30.03013	-95.40266	Isolated
W-6	PEM	0.05 ac	30.02995	-95.40274	Isolated
W-8	PSS	0.03 ac	30.02991	-95.40267	Isolated
Pond-01	Man-made pond	0.44 ac	30.02927	-95.40054	Not Regulated

Please see the Mercer Stormwater Detention Basin Wetlands and Jurisdictional Waters Delineation Report for further details of the wetlands covered under this AJD. For more information regarding the jurisdictional aquatic features, please refer to the PJD form.