

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 04/05/2016

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Galveston District–Regulatory Division: USACE Subject No. SWG-2016-00193

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Undeveloped block bordered by Sand Canyon Drive, Sugarland Howell road, Rustling Leaves Drive, and Renn Road.

State: Texas County/parish/borough: Harris City: Houston
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 29.685096 Long. -95.632568
Universal Transverse Mercator: 245251 E, 3286791 N Zone 15N

Name of nearest waterbody: Keegan’s Bayou Approximately 0.60 Miles south of site.

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: None

Name of watershed or HUC: Keegan’s Bayou-Bray’s Bayou (HUC 120401040401)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: January 2016

Field Determination. Date(s): January 22, 2016

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** “*navigable waters of the U.S.*” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** “*waters of the U.S.*” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹

- TNWs, including territorial seas
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: None Present

Wetlands: None Present

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: N/A

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): NA

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Wetlands on the property are small isolated palustrine forested wetlands that are located outside of the 100-year floodplain. There is no known hydrologic interconnectivity with any aquatic features in the 100-year floodplain. USGS maps indicate that the site is at approximately 87 feet (MSL) in elevation, indeed above the 100-year floodplain elevation (84.7 MSL) as shown by the National Flood Hazard Maps by FEMA. The nearest water, Keegan's Bayou) is approximately 3500 feet south of these areas.

Wetland areas are scattered throughout the interior of the property. These areas are palustrine forested wetland depressions that have formed in and around a historic agricultural canal. These areas do not exist within a designated FEMA floodplain (Zone X). Wetland areas are dominated by vegetation including Yaupon Holly (*Ilex vomitoria*) and common rush (*Juncus effusus*). Wetland areas do not appear to have a hydrologic connection to any Waters of the U.S. These wetlands have no known nexus to a TNW.

Based on the topography and aerial imagery, the majority of the site is level. The wetlands were identified using the 1987 Manual regional supplement: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region, which requires that all three wetland criteria be present under normal circumstances for areas to be determined a wetland. All wetlands are depressional areas that experience seasonal hydrology during and after rain events, providing the conditions necessary for wetlands to establish. The nearest Water of the U.S. is Keegan's Bayou, tributary to Bray's Bayou, located approximately 3500 feet south of T1-1 and T3-2, approximately 3160 feet south of T1-2, approximately 3730 feet south of Area T3-1, approximately 3370 feet south of T2-3, approximately 3700 feet south of T1-3, approximately 3525 feet south of T1-1, and approximately 3640 feet south of T2-2. The nearest Traditionally Navigable Waters, navigable section of Bray's Bayou (TNW) are approximately 6.2 aerial miles southeast of the project area. As such, under normal conditions in the hydrologic cycle, these wetlands would not be anticipated to share surface hydrology with the nearest Waters of the U.S. They are not tidal waters, nor party of a surface water tributary system to interstate water or navigable waters of the U.S. nor are they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations) to any tributary waters; as such, all three wetlands have been determined to be "ISOLATED" as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)).

"Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3 is defined as "bordering, contiguous or neighboring." Wetlands separated from other Waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are 'adjacent wetlands.'" The nearest Waters of the U.S. to the wetlands is Keegan's Bayou. These wetlands do not border nor are they contiguous (abutting) to Keegan's bayou. The wetlands are not neighboring because they not located in reasonably close proximity to another Water of the U.S. (and are not located in a contiguous or bordering landscape position) that would have shared surface hydrology with another Water of the U.S. during expected high flow (e.g. the 100-year floodplain). Nor is there any known demonstrable species ecological interconnection requiring both the wetlands in question and the nearest Waters of the U.S. to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. All onsite wetlands are physically separated from other Waters of the U.S. by geographic factors that do not allow the exchange of waters, via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and are not inseparably bound with Keagans Bayou. "Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) is: "those non-tidal Waters of the U.S. that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable Waters of the U.S.; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." All wetlands have been identified as wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated.

"Waters of the U.S." are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 thru 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or were used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or, (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of Waters of the U.S.; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to Waters of the U.S. identified in (a) (1) through (6). Therefore, these wetland areas are not Waters of the U.S.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: approximately 481,789 acres, HUC 12040102
Drainage area: approximately 1,827 acres
Average annual rainfall: 48.99 inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

- Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are _____ river miles from TNW.
Project waters are _____ river miles from RPW.
Project waters are _____ aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are _____ aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: **Pick List**.
Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Water flows into Little Cypress Creek, which flows directly into Cypress Creek, a TNW. **Pick List**
Tributary stream order, if known: **Pick List**

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is: Natural
 Artificial (man-made). Explain: **n/a**
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: **n/a**

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width:
Average depth:
Average side slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

Silts Sands Concrete
 Cobbles Gravel Muck
 Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover:
 Other. Explain: _____

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Tributary is stable with vegetated banks.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: n/a.

Tributary geometry:

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): n/a

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for:

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:

Describe flow regime: Flow is continuous throughout the year

Other information on duration and volume: n/a

Surface flow is: **n/a**. Characteristics: n/a.

Subsurface flow: **n/a**. Explain findings: n/a.

Dye (or other) test performed: .

Tributary has (check all that apply):

Bed and banks

OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply):

clear, natural line impressed on the bank

changes in the character of soil

shelving

vegetation matted down, bent, or absent

leaf litter disturbed or washed away

sediment deposition

water staining

other (list):

Discontinuous OHWM.⁷ Explain: .

the presence of litter and debris

destruction of terrestrial vegetation

the presence of wrack line

sediment sorting

scour

multiple observed or predicted flow events

abrupt change in plant community

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

High Tide Line indicated by:

oil or scum line along shore objects

fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)

physical markings/characteristics

tidal gauges

other (list):

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

survey to available datum;

physical markings;

vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water was clear with very low amounts of suspended sediments.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): .

Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Two fringe PEM wetlands (Areas B and C) are located within the subject property.

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

Wetland type. Explain:

Wetland quality. Explain:

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is **Pick List**. Explain:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting- Areas B and C directly abut Little Cypress Creek

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Areas A, D, and E are located in swales that drain into Little Cypress Creek..

Ecological connection. Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Herbaceous wetland

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis:

For each wetland, specify the following:

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. **SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Areas A, D, and E are located in swales that drain directly into Little Cypress Creek. This drainage offers a significant hydrologic connection. These areas are located within the 100-year floodplain and would also be expected to share surface hydrologic connection.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

- TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Area F, Little Cypress Creek, carries water continuously throughout the year and flows directly into Cypress Creek.
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters:
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

3. **Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Areas B, and C directly abut the OHWM of Area F, Little Cypress Creek.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Areas B (0.003 ac) and C (0.003 ac) 0.006 total acre.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Area A (0.066 ac), Area D (0.039 ac), and Area E (0.007 ac) Total 0.112 acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: _____ acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
 Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
 Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
 from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
 which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
 Interstate isolated waters. Explain: _____
 Other factors. Explain: _____

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: _____ linear feet _____ width (ft).
 Other non-wetland waters: _____ acres.
Identify type(s) of waters: _____
 Wetlands: _____ acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
 Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
 Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: The wetlands onsite have no direct connection or significant connection to any TNW. They are located outside of any flood hazard area.
 Other: (explain, if not covered above): Explanation is provided in Section II.B.2.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): _____ linear feet _____ width (ft).
 Lakes/wetlands: _____ acres.
 Other non-wetland waters: _____ acres. List type of aquatic resource: _____
 Wetlands: 1.06 acres.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following *Rapanos*.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/wetlands: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:.
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: West Galveston Bay Watershed (HUC 12040204).
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Survey of Harris County, Texas - 1976.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:Houston NE, SE, NW, SW, Texas - 1990-1991.
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: .
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): .

Historical Aerial Photographs

| Date | Scale | Source |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| 1995 TC | 1" = 180' | TXDOT |
| 2009 IR | 1" = 180' | USDA |
| 2014 TC | 1" = 180' | USDA |

B&W: Black and white photograph
 IR: Infrared photograph
 TC: True color photograph

- or Other (Name & Date): .
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): FEMA Flood Map on Aerial Photograph, Site Photographs.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT

Wetlands on the property are small isolated palustrine forested wetlands that are located outside of the 100-year floodplain. There is no known hydrologic interconnectivity with any aquatic features in the 100-year floodplain. USGS maps indicate that the site is at approximately 87 feet (MSL) in elevation, indeed above the 100-year floodplain elevation (84.7 MSL) as shown by the National Flood Hazard Maps by FEMA. The nearest water, Keegan's Bayou is approximately 3500 feet south of these areas.

Wetland areas are scattered throughout the interior of the property. These areas are palustrine forested wetland depressions that have formed in and around a historic agricultural canal. These areas do not exist within a designated FEMA floodplain (Zone X). Wetland areas are dominated by vegetation including Yaupon Holly (Ilex vomitoria) and common rush (Juncus effusus). Wetland areas do not appear to have a hydrologic connection to any Waters of the U.S. These wetlands have no known nexus to a TNW.

Based on the topography and aerial imagery, the majority of the site is level. The wetlands were identified using the 1987 Manual regional supplement: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region, which requires that all three wetland criteria be present under normal circumstances for areas to be determined a wetland. All wetlands are depressional areas that experience seasonal hydrology during and after rain events, providing the conditions necessary for wetlands to establish. The nearest Water of the U.S. is Keegan's Bayou, tributary to Bray's Bayou, located approximately 3500 feet south of T1-1 and T3-2, approximately 3160 feet south of T1-2, approximately 3730 feet south of Area T3-1, approximately 3370 feet south of T2-3, approximately 3700 feet south of T1-3, approximately 3525 feet south of T1-1, and approximately 3640 feet south of T2-2. The nearest Traditionally Navigable Waters, navigable section of Bray's Bayou (TNW) are approximately 6.2 aerial miles southeast of the project area. As such, under normal conditions in the hydrologic cycle, these wetlands would not be anticipated to share surface hydrology with the nearest Waters of the U.S. They are not tidal waters, nor party of a surface water tributary system to interstate water or navigable waters of the U.S. nor are they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations) to any tributary waters; as such, all three wetlands have been determined to be "ISOLATED" as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)).

"Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3 is defined as "bordering, contiguous or neighboring." Wetlands separated from other Waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are 'adjacent wetlands.' The

nearest Waters of the U.S. to the wetlands is Keagan's Bayou. These wetlands do not border nor are they contiguous (abutting) to Keagan's bayou. The wetlands are not neighboring because they not located in reasonably close proximity to another Water of the U.S. (and are not located in a contiguous or bordering landscape position) that would have shared surface hydrology with another Water of the U.S. during expected high flow (e.g. the 100-year floodplain). Nor is there any known demonstrable species ecological interconnection requiring both the wetlands in question and the nearest Waters of the U.S. to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. All onsite wetlands are physically separated from other Waters of the U.S. by geographic factors that do not allow the exchange of waters, via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and are not inseparably bound with Keagans Bayou. "Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) is: "those non-tidal Waters of the U.S. that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable Waters of the U.S.; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." All wetlands have been identified as wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated.

"Waters of the U.S." are defined in 33 CFR 328.3 (a) 1 thru 7 which is addressed in the following. Due to the fact that these wetlands: (1) are not currently used, or were used in the past, nor susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce nor subject to the ebb and flow of the daily tide; (2) do not cross interstate or tribal boundaries; (3) the destruction of these wetlands is not expected to affect (i) interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes or other purposes or, (ii) fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce or (iii) current use or potential use for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce; (4) are not impoundments of Waters of the U.S.; (5) are not part of a surface tributary system of (a) (1) through (4); (6) are not part of the territorial seas; and (7) are not adjacent to Waters of the U.S. identified in (a) (1) through (6). Therefore, these wetland areas are not Waters of the U.S.

Table 1. Features Delineated on the Project Site

| Area ID | Type | Approximate Area (acres) | Length (feet) | Latitude | Longitude | UTM Zone 15N | |
|--------------|------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | | Northing (meters) | Easting (meters) |
| T1-2 | PFO | 0.004 | NA | 29.6850 | -95.6336 | 3286783 | 245150 |
| T1-3 | PFO | 0.011 | NA | 29.6840 | -95.6336 | 3286672 | 245148 |
| T2-2 | PFO | 0.18 | NA | 29.6854 | -95.6325 | 3286825 | 245258 |
| T2-3 | PFO | 0.34 | NA | 29.6856 | -95.6323 | 3286847 | 245278 |
| T3-2 | PFO | 0.29 | NA | 29.6852 | -95.6318 | 3286801 | 245325 |
| T3-3 | PFO | 0.24 | NA | 29.6851 | -95.6318 | 3286790 | 245325 |
| Total | | 1.065 | | | | | |

PEM = Palustrine Emergent Wetland
PFO = Palustrine Forested Wetland
RPW = Relatively Permanent Water
PSS = Palustrine Scrub/scrub Wetland